International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice (JHMNP) Assessment of the Level of Adherence to Haz Management Policy Frameworks among Heal

Assessment of the Level of Adherence to Hazardous Waste Management Policy Frameworks among Health Workers in Private Hospitals in Nairobi County - Kenya





Assessment of the Level of Adherence to Hazardous Waste Management Policy Frameworks among Health Workers in Private Hospitals in Nairobi County -Kenya

D^{1*} Constantyne Chepchirchir, ² Dr. Ben Ngoye

^{1*, 2} Strathmore University Business School

P.O. Box 59857 - 00200, Nairobi, Kenya

Accepted: 16th Mar 2024 Received in Revised Form: 16th Apr 2024 Published: 16th May 2024

Abstract

Purpose: This study, therefore, sought to assess the level of adherence to hazardous waste management guidelines among healthcare workers in private hospitals in Nairobi County. From the population of 900 healthcare workers from 4 levels 4 facilities, random sampling was applied to identify the 90 respondents.

Methodology: Data analysis and reporting was done using appropriate quantitative methods.

Findings: The findings from this study show that the level of adherence to hazardous waste management policies among healthcare workers in private level four hospitals in Nairobi County is low. Despite the high level of awareness by the health workers on the important measures of collection, segregation, treatment, transportation and disposal of waste, the level of performance on the same is low. This is greatly attributable to negligence and unfavorable attitude towards healthcare waste management practices among healthcare workers.

Unique contribution to theory, policy and practice: Based on the study findings, we would recommend the improvement of adherence of hazardous waste management efficiency.

Keywords: Hazardous Waste Management Policy Frameworks, Health Workers, and Private Hospitals

Crossref



Introduction

All the wastes generated within laboratories conducting medical procedures, research centers, and healthcare facilities, in addition to the waste originating from home-based healthcare services are referred to as healthcare wastes (HCW), (Muluken et al., 2014). HCW is considered hazardous when it consists of one or more of the following characteristics: contains infectious agents; it is genotoxic; contains toxic or hazardous chemicals or pharmaceuticals; is radioactive, and contains sharps (Ferreira & Teixeira, 2010). According to World Health Organization(WHO), hazardous wastes from healthcare and medical facilities cause over 250,000 HIV infections, 2 million hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections, and 21 million hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections globally (WHO, 2018).WHO further reports that if hazardous wastes are not properly managed, the risk of new infections could even be higher than the current status; HBV (30% of all new infections), HCV (39% of all new infections), and HIV (4% of all new infections) (WHO, 2018). Waste management is defined as all the activities and actions needed to dispose of waste from its inception to its final disposal. Healthcare waste management HCWM involves the collection, segregation, treatment, transportation, and disposal of wastes(Hossain et al., 2018). It also involves the supervision of these operations and aftercare of disposal to ensure the wastes do not cause harm to persons(Snr et al., 2021).

Globally, United Nation Environmental Program, estimates that the amount of healthcare waste generated in health facilities, nursing homes, and research institutions will quadruple by the year 2025(UNEP,2020) This is attributed to increasing technological advancement and population, leading to expansion of research and healthcare institutions; hence, increasing amounts of wastes generated. UNEP (2020) further reports that over 1.5 million people die each year from healthcare waste-related diseases from hospital wastes consisting of hazardous substances. WHO reports that 80% of medical wastes are non-hazardous while the remaining percentage; hazardous (15% infectious, 5% sharps (1%) toxic chemicals, pharmaceuticals (3%), genotoxic, and 1% radioactive waste) (WHO, 2018). However, the global figures are not consistent with many low-and-middleincome countries, for instance, 26.5% of the waste produced in Nigeria Abah & Ohimain, (2011) and 25% in Pakistan, Ali et al. (2016) are hazardous. More than half of waste generated in Kenya is also considered hazardous due to poor waste management practices within healthcare facilities (Abah&Ohimain, 2011). According to a mini-review by Ali et al .,(2017), most low-andmiddle-income countries have poor waste-segregation practices and limited safe waste disposal systems, leading to more than 50% of the wastes generated being considered infectious. Nwachukwu et al., (2013) discussed that health care industries in Low- and Middle-income countries and especially sub-Saharan African countries are faced with enforcement and compliance as their biggest challenges, in addition to fraud and tender irregularities in Health care waste management (HCWM).

According to a study done by Fazzo et al.,(2017), hazardous wastes promote various types of cancer when exposed to elements from expired chemicals or mercury; can negatively influence the development of fetuses, and can also cause damage to the nervous system. Other than the threat



that hazardous wastes have on human health; they can also cause a serious threat to the environment. WHO, (2020) reported that the disposal of untreated health care wastes in landfills can lead to the contamination of drinking, surface, and ground waters if those landfills are not properly constructed? The treatment of health care wastes with chemical disinfectants can result in the release of chemical substances into the environment if those substances are not handled, stored, and disposed of in an environmentally sound manner (Awad et al., 2018).

In Kenya, a report by World Bank-funded Health Sector Support project on healthcare waste management (HCWM) stated that the level of HCWM performance by healthcare facilities was 14% (policies and procedures - 5.6%, management and oversight -16.2%, logistics, and budget -20%, training and occupational health -20%, and treatment and infrastructure - 9.4%)(World Bank Project, 2020). Additionally, a survey done in 5 private healthcare facilities in Kenya found that none of the institutions had an HCWM strategic plan and only 1 had a waste management officer who headed the waste management team and conducted surveillance on waste handling within the facilities (Marege, 2014). Moreover, another research on HCWM practices in private healthcare facilities concluded that all the waste generated within the institutions was rendered hazardous because of inadequate waste segregation and therefore all the wastes had to be treated before disposal (J. W. Maina, 2018). Taegtmeyer et al., (2008) also observed that 78% of private hospitals in Kenya had waste storage facilities that were easily accessible and not secure and 90% transported waste manually, which is a serious public health risk. 55% of private medical facilities in Kenya had functioning incinerators within the facilities (Taegtmeyer et al., 2008). However, all the incinerators within these facilities are the De Montfort type with no measure of controlling air emissions, hence, is a great contributor to air pollution. Only 66% of private health facilities use NEMA accredited waste collectors, the rest dispose of their wastes within their premises, either by open-pit or landfill (Taegtmeyer et al., 2008).

Despite the existence of hazardous waste management enforcement under the Environmental Management and Coordination Act of 1999 in Kenya, proper waste management practices in hospitals have not yet been achieved (Haregu et al., 2017)(Njue et al., 2015). Previous studies attributed the challenge of poor healthcare waste management in Kenya to low or no budgetary allocations in the hospitals, lack of awareness on disposal regulations, poor monitoring schemes in the waste management programs, and use of poor technology (Makori, 2018). To further address the problem of HCWM in Kenya, the ministry of health (MOH) in collaboration with CDC, through PATH has developed strategies for a national strategic plan for health care facilities. The strategic plan gives the following responsibilities to healthcare facilities: to ensure that questionnaires and checklists are used to monitor HCW stream through each unit of the health facility; develop a register indicating waste movement at each section of HCW stream; to ensure that after summarization of filled checklist, the list should be collected every week and sent to district HCWM team; and hold monthly meetings with all staff at all carders to report on the progress of HCWM strategies (Ministry of Health, 2020).



Healthcare workers have a pre-eminent role in the hospital management of hazardous waste based on relevant guidelines (Caniato et al., 2015; US EPA, 2014). However, several challenges exist, especially in Low- and Middle-income countries including a lack of proper regulatory enforcements owing to poor structural hazardous waste management guidelines in hospitals (Caniato et al., 2015). While healthcare workers in Kenya have positive attitudes towards good HCWM practices, their knowledge about hazardous waste handling is limited (Maina, 2018). For example, research done in Kenya reported that about three-quarters of the health workers re-cap used needles, have low immunization rates against tetanus and HBV, and the rate of needle-stick injuries was at 6% in the previous month.(Ngari, 2009).World Health Organization reported that the most common factors associated with poor HCWM practices include inadequate training in proper waste management, lack of awareness about the health hazards related to healthcare waste, absence of waste management and disposal systems, insufficient financial and human resources and the low priority given to the topic (WHO,2018).

The level of adherence to HCWM guidelines among healthcare workers and waste handlers done by Njue et al. (2015) in Thika sub-County reported a 16% level of adherence among healthcare workers, meaning that compliance to the set HCWM guidelines is still a big challenge in Kenya (Njue et al., 2015). A study done in Kenya by Japan International Cooperation Agency reported that HCWM practices in both private and public medical and research facilities did not comply with international requirements which guaranteed safe and environmental friendly management of HCW(Rushton, 2003). The results from previous studies conducted in Kenya indicate that healthcare workers, waste handlers, patients, and the general public are at great risk of environmental and health hazards associated with HCW because of poor adherence rates. This study aims to assess the level of adherence of healthcare workers to hazardous healthcare wastes frameworks.

Problem Statement

According to WHO, millions of patients suffer injuries or die from unsafe and poor-quality health care globally every year (WHO, 2019). In 2011, the Occupational Health and Safety Agency (OSHA) reported that employees suffered about 253,700 work-related injuries and illnesses in hospitals (Jha et al., 2013). This is equivalent to a rate of 6.8 injuries and illnesses for every 100 full-time employees. Although the national and county governments across nations have tried to lay down systems that have positively influenced the management of hospital waste (World Health Organization, 2018), a review by (The Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics & Policy, 2011) established that these laid down system has experienced drawbacks since some healthcare workers are not well equipped to adhere to the policy frameworks. (Aung T et al., 2019). A good universal health coverage (UHC) system relies heavily on patient safety and quality (PSQ). Despite this universally agreed-upon premise, significant harm is still being done, with one out of every ten patients suffering harm while obtaining health care in hospitals. However, especially in low- and middle-income nations, there is a conspicuous shortage of evidence on UHC-PSQ convergence. Institutional attempts have been made within the World Health Organization (Alhumaid S. et al.,



2021). To assist in bridging this gap, the World Health Organization (WHO) has been established. Delivery is one of the six system blocks in WHO's Health System Framework. Each system component must also address accessibility and availability, as well as safety and quality. Universal health coverage (UHC) is often seen as a uniting platform for achieving progress on SDG 3 for health. The SDGs and the Universal Health Coverage (UHC) have produced new demands and opportunities for improving basic health care. (Triguero A. et al., 2016). Multiple measures within and outside the health system are needed to improve frontline services. Better data and evidence syntheses, as well as expertise with various service delivery methods and novel skill-mix techniques, are all critical. In Kenya, hazardous waste management in hospitals has become a major challenge largely attributed to indiscriminate dumping, irregular collection, poor storage, and inadequate resources necessary for proper management (Kasozi & Von Blottnitz, 2010).

A report by Okweso, (2016) indicated that hazardous waste produced in hospitals form a significant part of the waste generated in Nairobi County. Most medical and health institutions in Kenya have not adopted a sharp management system; waste reduction and initiatives for the avoidance of hazardous wastes (Calderon, 2006). Hospitals have also not installed adequate safe treatment and disposal mechanisms, hence, lack of secure methods of HCW collection and transportation (Calderon, 2006). Due to poor HCWM systems within most healthcare facilities in Kenya, workers in support services allied to healthcare, visitors to healthcare establishments, patients in healthcare establishments or receiving home care, healthcare auxiliaries, and hospital maintenance personnel, medical doctors, and nurses are all at risk of infections from hazardous wastes (Houghton C. et al., 2020). Generally, direct or indirect contact to hospital hazardous waste or contaminants released or emitted by HCW can lead to diseases with multi-factor etiology (Porta et al., 2009). For example, epidemiological studies on acute exposures to hospital hazardous waste have presented evidence on the development of respiratory, digestive, and dermatological symptoms, also the association between chronic exposures to these waste and growth inhibitions, reproduction impairments, low birth weights, and cancer developments have been observed (Fazzo et al., 2017; Porta et al., 2009). In Nairobi County where hospital hazardous waste management practices are a challenge, equivalent health impacts of exposure to the waste are expected.

Despite the existence of policy frameworks on hazardous waste management in Kenya, most health facilities do not adhere to such frameworks and thus poor hazardous waste management practices among healthcare workers in Nairobi County hospitals (Ministry of Health, 2014). Factors contributing to the poor management practices of hazardous waste among healthcare workers in hospitals, especially adherence to relevant guidelines as a requisite to proper waste management practices remain largely underexplored (Haregu, 2017; Kasozi, 2010). This study therefore seeks to fill this gap by assessing the levels of adherence to policy frameworks on hazardous waste management.

Objective of the Study

To determine the level of adherence to proper HCWM practices among healthcare workers in selected private in Nairobi County Kenya.



Literature Review

Theory of planned behavior

This study primarily relied on the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) which suggest that a person's behavior is determined by their intention to perform the behavior which is a function of their attitude toward the behavior (Hackman & Knowlden, 2014). According to Hackman and Knowlden (2014), people's intention to perform a certain behavior or task is determined by their attitude towards the behavior and the subjective norms regarding the behavior. The theory of planned behavior states that behavioral achievements depend on both the intention and ability to perform such behaviors (Peters & Templin, 2010). The study will also draw on systems theory which is relevant because the adherence to the HCWM frameworks by healthcare workers depends on the internal systems placed by the health facility. The theory is important in this study as it explains what influences the health worker's behavior to adhere to HWM practices. The theories, however, only consider the intrinsic factors that affect an individual's behavior but do not explain the institutional factors. According to Peters and Templin (2010), the limitation of this theory is that it assumes that a person has all the necessary opportunities and resources to be successful in executing the desired behavior. Godin and Kok (1996) affirm that the theory of planned behavior does not consider environmental or economic factors that may influence an individual's intention to perform a behavior. It is for this reason that this study also considered systems theory. This theory will consider both organizational and external factors that affect health worker's adherence to HWM practices that this study is interested in.

Gap Analysis

The research papers analyzed in the empirical review had different findings, views, and recommendations on hazardous waste management by healthcare workers. The majority of the studies tackled healthcare worker's understanding/knowledge of healthcare waste management practices but there was little attention on the adherence to guidelines and framework provided by the facility or the governing bodies. Moreover, the study that tackled adherence to medical waste guidelines was not done in Kenya. Notwithstanding, the studies that assessed the knowledge of healthcare workers on proper waste management practices had different findings. For instance, a study done by Olaifa et al., (2018) found that healthcare workers were highly knowledgeable on proper HCWM practices. While, Maina (2018), revealed that the majority of healthcare workers had inadequate knowledge of medical waste management practices. A study done by Maina et al. (2015), revealed that private hospitals had inadequate waste segregation of healthcare wastes, this was linked to poor adherence to waste management policy guidelines. A report by the Kenyan government revealed that only 25% of private healthcare facilities in Kenya had proper healthcare waste segregation. Therefore, the study aimed to assess healthcare workers' adherence to the waste management policy framework.

Research Methodology



A descriptive research design was used whereby a survey was conducted using questionnaires as the main tools for data collection. Healthcare workers who were responsible for offering direct care to the patients and those offering cleanliness services within this selected level 4 private hospitals in Nairobi Metropolitan were sampled. The inclusion criteria were; healthcare workers who had worked in the current hospital for at least six months and are in the following divisions: Head of departments, attendants and ancillary, housekeeping, waste management unit, infection control unit, and administration. The 4 selected private hospitals within Nairobi consisting of 900 healthcare workers who were directly involved in the generation and handling of healthcare wastes. The study adopted the sample size of 100 respondents using a determination formula as defined by Mugenda (Ministry of Health, 2014). Systematic stratified sampling was employed in this study. Data was collected by interviewing healthcare workers from various departments/wards/units to gather information on HCWM practices. The participants in this study were purposively selected. Questionnaires were the main tool for data collection. Broadsheets of paper were used to code the data collected using questionnaires. Microsoft Excel software and Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) were used to process and analyze all the data collected. The data was presented in tables and graphs. Frequencies and percentages were used as tools of data analysis.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Respondents totaling 100 were recruited. Most 63.0% (n=63) of them were between 20-30 years of age. Out of the 100 participants, 59% (n = 59) were females. Respondents with diploma level education were the majority 40% (n =40). The highest number of respondents had between 1-5 years of experience, 53% (n=53). Most of the respondents were from the housekeeping and waste handling department, 52% (n=52). A majority of the respondents were nurses. 34% (n=34). More than half, 53% (n=53) of the respondents had never attended any training on waste management. Of those who had attended, 33% of them had attended a training on waste management. 95% of the respondents had had 0-5 needle stick injuries in the past 12 months. A majority of the respondents, 81% (n=81), had vaccinated themselves against hepatitis B and tetanus. The sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents are as shown below in table (Table 1.0).

ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)

Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



Characteristics	Number	Percent (%)		
Age in years				
20-30years	63	63		
31-40years	30	30		
41-50 years	5	5		
51 years and above	2	2		
Gender				
Male	41	41		
Female	59	59		
Level of education				
Certificate	38	38		
Diploma	40	40		
Degree	19	19		
Masters	3	3		
Years of experience				
Below 1 year	21	21		
1-5 years	53	53		
6-10years	14	14		
11 years and above	12	12		
Training on waste management				
Yes	47	47		
No	53	53		
Training attended				
Ipc	16	16		
Waste management	33	33		
None	51	51		
Number of needle stick injuries				
0_5 injuries	95	95		
6_10injuries	3	3		
11-15injuries	2	2		
Whether vaccinated				
Yes	81	81		
No	19	19		

Profession/designation.

A majority of the respondents were nurses (34%). Doctors, administrators, laboratory, housekeeping, physiotherapy and nutritionists comprised 10%, 3%, 4%, 47% and 2% respectively. 19% of the respondents worked in none of the hospital departments and 26% of them worked in other departments.

International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)



Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



Figure 1: profession

On the practices on hazardous waste management, there was a significant difference among nurses and other waste handlers including doctors, administration, laboratory personnel, housekeeping, pharmacists, physiotherapists and nutritionist on awareness of the maximum time for medical waste to be kept in the hospital premises being 48 hours (x=14.730, df=8p=0.065), application of methods of medical waste treatment (x=19.88811, df=8, p=0.010), the correct bag for intravenous sets, catheters and tubes, (x=23.039, df=8, p=0.003) guidelines for color coding (x=24.551, df=8,p=0.002), awareness of medical waste regulation (x=32.734, df=8, p=0.000) with a positive bias on nurses.

Practices of waste management

48% of the respondents acknowledged that waste labeling is done at the site of segregation. Outsourcing was the most common method of dealing with waste. 62%. Sanitary staff were mostly involved in hospital waste disposal n=49%

ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)

Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



Table 1: Practices of waste management

Variable	Number(N)	Percent (%)			
Place of waste labeling					
At the segregation site	site 48 48%				
At the storage place	9	9%			
Where generated	43	43%			
Methods used in dealing with waste management					
Sterilization	10	10%			
Use of chemicals	2	2%			
Incineration	24	24%			
Burying	2	2%			
Outsourcing	62	62%			
People involved in actual waste disposal					
Sanitary staff	49	49%			
Casual laborers	10	10%			
Trained personnel	41	41%			

Presence of an incinerator

Only 20% of the facilities had an incinerator.

International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)



Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



Figure 2: presence of incinerator

Level of Adherence to Healthcare Waste Management.

The number of respondents with a high level of adherence was 24%. More than half of the respondents had a low level of adherence.



Figure 3: level of adherence

Knowledge /awareness on hazardous waste management.

There was a relatively high level of awareness on the practices regarding hazardous waste management policy frameworks as shown in table 2 below

ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)

Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



Table 2: knowledge /awareness on hazardous waste management

Variable	Very high (%)	High (%)	Average (%)	Low (%)	not at all (%)
Awareness of medical waste segregation	44	22	15	9	10
Knowledge of biohazard symbol	64	8	11	3	14
Eight categories of medical waste	27	25	31	2	15
guidelines for color coding in	53	18	12	6	11
work place					
Puncture proof containers for	71	14	9	5	1
sharps	20	•	0	_	25
The correct bag for disposal of	38	20	8	7	27
cytotoxic drugs The correct bag for intravenous	56	13	13	8	10
sets, catheters and tubes	30	15	15	0	10
Aware if HIV can be transmitted	89	8	2	0	1
through medical waste	0,	U	-	0	-
Awareness of medical waste	44	22	15	9	10
regulation					
Aware that hepatitis B and C can	88	7	3	1	1
be transmitted through medical					
waste	0.0	_		0	
Knowledge if PPEs are necessary	89	7	3	0	1
when handling medical waste When to discard medical waste	66	16	8	4	6
from the bin	00	10	0	4	0
Application of methods of medical	50	18	18	5	9
waste treatment	20	10	10	U	2
Necessity of disinfection of	73	14	5	2	6
medical waste					
Use of bleaching solution 0.5% for	62	17	10	3	8
disinfection					
Maximum time for medical waste	46	12	21	7	14
to be kept in hospital premises is					
48 hours					

Level of adherence to healthcare waste management with regards to attendance of training on HCWM.

Those who had attended training on health care waste management had a higher level of adherence to proper HCWM as compared to those who had not attended a training before as seen in figure 4 below.

International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)



Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



Figure 4 Level of adherence in relation to attendance of training on health care

Level of adherence in relation to socio-demographic factors affecting adherence to factors waste management.

According to this study, level of education was significantly related to adherence to proper HCWM practices. (p=0.005). Vaccination status for hepatitis B and tetanus was also significant to level of adherence to HCWM. (P=0.040). Although the association was not significant at p value <0.05, a majority of the respondents who had a high level of adherence to health care waste management were female and respondents between 20-30years of age.

ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)

Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



Table 3: Level of adherence in relation to socio-demographic factors affecting adherence to factors

Variable	Level of adhere	nce	TOTAL	Chi(x2)	Df	Р
	High level of ad	herence Low level	of			
	8	adherence				
Gender	N (%)	N (%)				
Male	6(25.0%)	35(46.1)	41(100)	3.342	2	0.068
Female	18(75.0)	41(53.9)	59(100)			
TOTAL	24(100)	76(100)	100(100)			
Age	× ,		· · · ·			
20-30years	16(66.7)	47(61.8)	63(63)	0.750	3	0.861
31_40years	7(29.2)	23(30.3)	30(30)			
41-50years	1(4.2)	4(5.3)	5(5)			
51 years and above	0(0)	2(2.6)	100(100)			
TOTAL	24(100)	76(100)	100(100)			
Level of education						
Certificate	15(62.5)	23(30.3)	38(38.0)	12.774	3	0.005
Diploma	5(20.8)	35(46.1)	40(40.0)	12.771	5	0.002
Degree	2(8.3)	17(22.4)	19(19.0)			
Masters	2(8.3)	1(1.3)	100(100)			
TOTAL	24(100)	76(100)	100(100)			
Years of experience	24(100)	70(100)				
Below 1 year	5(20.8)	16(21.1)	21(21)	1.616	3	0.656
1-5years	15(62.5)	53(53)	53(53)	1.010	5	0.050
6-10years	2(8.3)	14(14)	14(14)			
11 years and above	2(8.3)	12(12)	12(12)			
TOTAL	24(100)	100(100)	100(100)			
Area of work	1(1.2)	0(11.0)	10(10)			
Emergency room	1(4.2)	9(11.8)	10(10)	16160	0	0.072
Medical/surgical	0(0)	18(23.7)	18(18)	16.168	9	0.063
Pediatrics	0(0)	2(2.6)	2(2)			
Oncology	0(0)	1(1.3)	1(1)			
Lab	0(0)	4(5.2)	4(4)			
Pharmacy	0(0)	1(1.3)	1(1)			
Critical care	1(4.2)	3(3.9)	4(4)			
Dialysis	0(0)	2(2.6)	2(2)			
Obs /Gynae	2(8.3)	4(5.3)	6(6)			
Housekeeping	20(83.3)	32(42.1)	52(52)			
TOTAL	24(100)	74(100)	100(100)			
Training on waste manag	-					
Yes	9(37.5)	40(52.6)	49(49)	1.671	1	0.196
No	15(62.5)	36(47.4)	51(51)			
TOTAL	24(100)	76(100)	100(100)			
Training attended						
IPC	2(8.3)	14(18.4)	16(16)	2.120	2	0.347
Waste management	7(29.2)	26(34.2)	33(33)			
None	15(62.5)	36(47.4)	51(51)			
TOTAL	24(100)	76(100)	100(100)			
Number of needle stick i	injuries in the past 12	months				
0-5 injuries	23(95.8)	72(94.7)	95(95)	1.691	2	0.429
6-10 injuries	0(0)	3(3.9)	3(3)			
11-15injuries	1(4.2)	1(1.)	2(2.0)			
TOTAL	24(100)	76(400)	100(100)			
Whether vaccinated for l						
Yes	16(66.7)	65(85.5)	81(81)	4.216	1	0.040
No	8(33.3)	11(14.5)	19(19)			
	24(100)	76(100)	100(100)			



Conclusion

The findings from this study show that the level of adherence to hazardous waste management policies among healthcare workers in private level four hospitals in Nairobi County is low. Despite the high level of awareness by the health workers on the important measures of collection, segregation, treatment, transportation and disposal of waste, the level of performance on the same is low. This is greatly attributable to negligence and unfavorable attitude towards healthcare waste management practices among healthcare workers.

Recommendations

This study has shown that the level of adherence towards Proper HCWM is still very low among private level 4 hospitals in Kenya. In light of this, it is recommended that:

- 1. Continuous workshops and training on utilization of the available policy frameworks and guidelines on hazardous waste management need to be reinforced as a better strategy to mitigate the low adherence levels.
- 2. The health care delivery models and societal structures need to be improved highly in order to mitigate the burden of COVID 19 on hazardous waste management given the enormous burden posed by this pandemic and any other public health challenge to avoid watering down the efforts made.
- 3. Prioritization and allocation of resources to interventions with maximum impact on hazardous waste management needs to be done.

References

- Abah, S. O., & Ohimain, E. I. (2011). Healthcare waste management in Nigeria: A case study. Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology, 3(3), 99–110. http://www.academicjournals.org/jphe
- Alhumaid, S., Al Mutair, A., Al Alawi, Z., Alsuliman, M., Ahmed, G. Y., Rabaan, A. A., & Al-Omari, A. (2021). Knowledge of infection prevention and control among healthcare workers and factors influencing compliance: A systematic review. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control, 10(1), 1-32.
- Aung, T. S., Luan, S., & Xu, Q. (2019). Application of multi-criteria-decision approach for the analysis of medical waste management systems in Myanmar. Journal of CleanerProduction, 222, 733-745.
- Awad, A., Abd, A., Awad, U., & Al Bajari, F. (2018). Environmental Impacts of Medical Waste Treatment and Management by Burning Inside Health Facilities. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET), 9(5), 41–53. http://www.iaeme.com/IJCIET/index.asp41http://www.iaeme.com/ijciet/issues.asp?JType =IJCIET&VType=9&IType=5http://www.iaeme.com/IJCIET/issues.asp?JType=IJCIET& VType=9&IType=5

ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)

Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



- Calderon, L. (2006). Waste Management Regulations.
- https://www.nema.go.ke/images/Docs/Regulations/Waste Management Regulations-1.pdf Caniato, M., Tudor, T., & Vaccari, M. (2015). International governance structures for health-care waste management: a systematic review of scientific literature. Journal of Environmental Management, 153, 93–107.
- Fazzo, L., Minichilli, F., Santoro, M., Ceccarini, A., Della Seta, M., Bianchi, F., Comba, P., & Martuzzi, M. (2017). Hazardous waste and health impact: a systematic review of the scientific literature. Environmental Health 2017 16:1, 16(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12940-017-0311-8
- Ferreira, V., & Teixeira, M. (2010). Healthcare waste management practices and risk perceptions: findings from hospitals in the Algarve region, Portugal. Waste Management (New York, N.Y.), 30(12), 2657–2663. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WASMAN.2010.07.012
- Godin, G., & Kok, G. (1996). The theory of planned behavior: A review of its applications to healthrelated behaviors. American Journal of Health Promotion, 11(2), 87–98. https://doi.org/10.4278/0890-1171-11.2.87
- Hackman, C. L., & Knowlden, A. P. (2014). Theory of reasoned action and theory of planned behavior-based dietary interventions in adolescents and young adults: a systematic review. Adolescent Health, Medicine and Therapeutics, 5, 101–114. https://doi.org/10.2147/AHMT.S56207
- Haregu, T., Ziraba, K., Aboderin, I., Amugsi, D., & Muindi, K. (2017). An assessment of the evolution of Kenya's solid waste management policies and their implementation in Nairobi and Mombasa: analysis of policies and practices. 29(2), 515–532. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247817700294
- Hossain, M. S., Rahman, N. N. N. A., Balakrishnan, V., Puvanesuaran, V. R., Sarker, M. Z. I., & Kadir, M. O. A. (2018). Infectious Risk Assessment of Unsafe Handling Practices and Management of Clinical Solid Waste. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 10(2), 556. https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH10020556
- Houghton, C., Meskell, P., Delaney, H., Smalle, M., Glenton, C., Booth, A., &Biesty, L. M. (2020).
 Barriers and facilitators to healthcare workers' adherence with infection prevention and control (IPC) guidelines for respiratory infectious diseases: a rapid qualitative evidence synthesis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (4).
- Jha, A. K., Larizgoitia, I., Audera-Lopez, C., Prasopa-Plaizier, N., Waters, H., & Bates, D. W. (2013). The global burden of unsafe medical care: Analytic modelling of observational studies. BMJ Quality and Safety, 22(10), 809–815. https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJQS-2012-001748
- Kasozi, A., & Von Blottnitz, H. (2010). Solid Waste Management in Nairobi: A Situation Analysis Technical Document accompanying the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan For the City Council of Nairobi on contract for the United Nations Environment Programme.
- Maina, J. W. (2018). Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Staff on Segregation of Hospital Waste: A Case Study of a Tertiary Private Hospital in Kenya. European Scientific Journal, ESJ, 14(9), 401–401. https://doi.org/10.19044/ESJ.2018.V14N9P401

ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)

Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



- Maina, T., Dutta, A., & Perales, N. (2015). Resource Needs for the Kenya Health Sector Strategic and Investment Plan.
- Makori, F. (2018). Challenges Facing Implementation of Healthcare Waste Management Projects in Kenya. Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management, 5(2). http://strategicjournals.com/index.php/journal/article/view/739
- Marege, L. M. (2014). Determinants of eco-friendly practices in level five private hospitals in Nairobi, Kenya. http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/76618
- Meadows, S. O., McLanahan, S. S., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2008). Stability and Change in Family Structure and Maternal Health Trajectories. American Sociological Review, 73(2), 314– 334. https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240807300207
- Mugenda, O. M., & Mugenda, A. . (2003). Research Methods, Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches. . Scientific Research Publishing. https://www.scirp.org/(S(351jmbntvnsjt1aadkposzje))/reference/ReferencesPapers.aspx?R eferenceID=1917785
- Muluken, A., Haimanot, G., & Mesafint, M. (2014). Healthcare waste management practices among healthcare workers in healthcare facilities of gondar town, northwest ethiopia. Health Science Journal, 7(3), 315. https://www.hsj.gr/medicine/healthcare-wastemanagement-practices-among-healthcare-workers-in-healthcare-facilities-of-gondartown-northwest-ethiopia.php?aid=2931
- Nairobi County Government. (2014). LIst of Nairobi County Public Health Facilities. https://nairobi.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/Health-facilities-NCC-1.pdf
- Ngari, W. N. (2009). Assessment of management of health-care wastes in non-government health care facilities in Nairobi province.
- Njue, P. M., Cheboi, K. S., & Shadrak, O. (2015a). Adherence to Healthcare Waste Management Guidelines among Nurses and Waste Handlers in Thika Sub-county- Kenya. Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences, 25(4), 295–304. https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v25i4.2
- Njue, P. M., Cheboi, K. S., & Shadrak, O. (2015b). Adherence to Healthcare Waste Management Guidelines among Nurses and Waste Handlers in Thika Sub-county- Kenya. Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences, 25(4), 295. https://doi.org/10.4314/EJHS.V25I4.2
- Nwachukwu, N. C., Orji, F. A., & Ugbogu, O. C. (2013). Health Care Waste Management Public Health Benefits, and the Need for Effective Environmental Regulatory Surveillance in Federal Republic of Nigeria. Current Topics in Public Health. https://doi.org/10.5772/53196
- Observation of the Bottomonium Ground State in the Decay Y (3 S) $\rightarrow \gamma \eta$ b. Physical review letters, 101(7), 071801.
- Okweso, J. A. (2016). Report on the Review of the Kenya National Guidelines for Safe Management of Health Care Waste, Injection Safety and Safe Disposal of Medical Waste National Communication Strategy and Health Care Waste Management Standard Operating Procedures. Nairobi: Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, 1–181. http://www.upops.environment.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Review-of-National-Guidelines-for-Safe-Management-of-Health-Care-Waste-1.pdf

ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)

Vol.6, Issue No.5, pp 1 - 18, 2024



- Peters, R. M., & Templin, T. N. (2010). Theory of Planned Behavior, Self-Care Motivation, and Blood Pressure Self-Care. Research and Theory for Nursing Practice, 24(3), 172. /pmc/articles/PMC3728772/
- Porta, D., Milani, S., Lazzarino, A. I., Perucci, C. A., & Forastiere, F. (2009). Systematic review of epidemiological studies on health effects associated with management of solid waste. Environmental Health 2009 8:1, 8(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-8-60
- Rushton, L. (2003). Health hazards and waste management. British Medical Bulletin, 68, 183–197. https://doi.org/10.1093/BMB/LDG034
- Taegtmeyer, M., Suckling, R. M., Nguku, P. M., Meredith, C., Kibaru, J., Chakaya, J. M., Muchela, H., & Gilks, C. F. (2008). Working with risk: Occupational safety issues among healthcare workers in Kenya. Aids Care, 20(3), 304–310. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540120701583787
- Triguero, A., Álvarez-Aledo, C., &Cuerva, M. C. (2016). Factors influencing willingness to accept different waste management policies: empirical evidence from the European Union. Journal of Cleaner Production, 138, 38-46.
- UNEP. (2020). Healthcare waste: what to do with it? https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/story/healthcare-waste-what-do-it
- WHO. (2018). Global burden of disease from sharps injuries to health-care workers. https://www.who.int/quantifying_ehimpacts/publications/9241562463/en/
- WHO. (2019). Patient Safety Fact File.
- World Bank Project. (2020, March 1). Hospital Waste Management Support Project P119090. https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P119090



©2023 by the Authors. This Article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)