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Abstract

Purpose: The general objective of this study was to explore the nature of moral realism vs. anti-realism and its implications for moral discourse and practice.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive’s time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to the nature of moral realism vs. anti-realism and its implications for moral discourse and practice. Preliminary empirical review revealed that individuals’ beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism significantly influenced their moral reasoning and behaviors, with proponents of moral realism exhibiting absolutist moral judgments while those endorsing anti-realism demonstrated greater moral flexibility. Cultural and ideological variations in these beliefs were evident, reflecting diverse societal norms and values. Longitudinal studies revealed the developmental origins of these beliefs, emphasizing the importance of early moral education. Overall, the study highlighted the complex interplay between philosophical perspectives on morality and real-world implications, advocating for informed and culturally sensitive approaches to moral discourse and practice.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Kohlberg's Stages of Moral Development, Social Identity theory and Cultural Relativism may be used to anchor future studies on the nature of moral realism vs. anti-realism. The study provided valuable recommendations for theory, practice, and policy. It contributed to theoretical advancements in moral philosophy by integrating empirical evidence with philosophical insights, thereby refining existing theories and generating new avenues for exploration. The findings had practical implications for educators, policymakers, and practitioners, emphasizing the importance of ethical education, interdisciplinary collaboration, and ethical leadership practices. Policy recommendations highlighted the need for contextually sensitive approaches to addressing ethical issues, while ethical practice emphasized fostering open dialogue and ethical reflexivity in professional settings. The study also identified future research directions, suggesting the importance of longitudinal and interdisciplinary studies to further advance our understanding of morality and ethics.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Moral discourse and practice are fundamental components of societal norms, shaping individual behavior and collective values. Understanding the intricacies of moral attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors across diverse cultures provides valuable insights into the complexities of human ethics. In the United States, moral discourse often intertwines with religious convictions, exerting a profound influence on societal values and public policy debates. Christianity, particularly Protestantism, has historically played a significant role in shaping American morality, with around 65% of Americans identifying as Christians (Pew Research Center, 2021). This religious influence manifests in attitudes towards contentious moral issues such as abortion, same-sex marriage, and euthanasia, where religious conservatives advocate for traditional moral values rooted in biblical teachings (Smith, 2019). However, there is a discernible shift in moral attitudes, especially among younger generations, characterized by a growing secular trend and increasing acceptance of liberal moral values (Twenge, Exline, Grubbs, Sastry & Campbell, 2015).

Similarly, the moral landscape in the United Kingdom reflects a blend of tradition and modernity, influenced by historical religious affiliations and contemporary secularization trends. While Christianity, primarily Anglicanism and Catholicism, has historically shaped British morality, the society has witnessed a steady decline in religious affiliation and observance in recent decades (Voas & Ling, 2020). This secularization has paved the way for greater moral pluralism, with diverse religious and non-religious perspectives coexisting within society (Davie, 2015). As a result, moral attitudes towards issues such as euthanasia, assisted suicide, and LGBTQ+ rights have evolved, reflecting changing social norms and ethical perspectives (Park, Kim & Kim, 2018).

In contrast, Japan's moral landscape is deeply rooted in traditional cultural values, where Confucianism, Shintoism, and Buddhism have historically shaped moral beliefs and social norms. Concepts such as harmony, respect, and duty form the foundation of Japanese morality, influencing interpersonal relationships, societal expectations, and ethical decision-making (Takahashi, 2019). This cultural context is evident in everyday behaviors such as bowing as a sign of respect and adhering to hierarchical structures in social interactions (Norasakkunkit & Uchida, 2011). However, globalization and Westernization have introduced new moral dilemmas, challenging traditional values and prompting discussions on individual autonomy, societal obligations, and ethical relativism (Miller, 2017).

In Brazil, a country characterized by cultural diversity and religious syncretism, moral discourse is shaped by a rich tapestry of religious traditions and indigenous beliefs. Catholicism remains the predominant religion, with approximately 65% of Brazilians identifying as Catholics (Pew Research Center, 2020). Catholic moral teachings, particularly regarding issues such as abortion, contraception, and family values, continue to influence public debates and policymaking (Evans, 2017). However, Brazil's cultural landscape is also enriched by Afro-Brazilian religions such as Candomblé and Umbanda, which contribute to a diverse moral framework shaped by syncretic practices and cultural expressions (Bastos & Santos, 2014).

Across African countries, moral discourse reflects a mosaic of cultural, religious, and historical influences, underscoring the continent's diversity and complexity. Islam, Christianity, and indigenous belief systems coexist, shaping moral attitudes, social norms, and legal frameworks (Pew Research Center, 2018). In predominantly Muslim countries like Nigeria, Islamic moral values play a significant role in shaping societal norms, influencing legal systems and cultural practices (Okafor, 2015). Similarly, in countries with substantial Christian populations, such as Ghana and Kenya, Christian moral teachings inform public discourse and policymaking on issues ranging from family values to social justice (Eze, 2019). Moral discourse and practice exhibit remarkable diversity across cultures,
reflecting the complex interplay of religious, cultural, and societal factors. While some societies may adhere to conservative or traditional moral values rooted in religious teachings, others embrace greater pluralism and secularization, leading to evolving moral attitudes and behaviors. Understanding these variations enhances our appreciation of the dynamic nature of human morality and the need for nuanced approaches to ethical inquiry and cross-cultural understanding.

Moral realism posits that moral facts exist independently of human beliefs or perceptions, suggesting that certain actions are objectively right or wrong regardless of cultural or individual differences (Miller, 2017). Proponents of moral realism argue that moral truths are discovered rather than invented, implying an ontological grounding for moral principles (Shafer-Landau, 2018). In contrast, moral anti-realism denies the existence of objective moral facts, viewing moral judgments as expressions of personal preferences, social conventions, or emotive attitudes (Street, 2016). This conceptual divide between moral realism and anti-realism has profound implications for attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors related to moral discourse and practice.

Moral realism implies a belief in the existence of objective moral truths that transcend individual perspectives or cultural norms. Advocates of moral realism often uphold the idea of moral objectivity as a foundation for ethical principles and decision-making, emphasizing the importance of moral facts in guiding human conduct (Shafer-Landau, 2018). This belief in objective morality can shape attitudes towards moral discourse by instilling a sense of moral certainty or conviction, leading individuals to uphold certain moral principles regardless of societal pressure or personal preferences (Street, 2016). Moreover, the recognition of moral realism may influence behaviors by promoting adherence to moral rules or standards perceived as objectively true, thereby fostering ethical consistency and accountability (Miller, 2017).

Conversely, moral anti-realism challenges the notion of objective moral truths, emphasizing the subjective and culturally contingent nature of moral judgments (Street, 2016). Anti-realists argue that moral values are constructed by human societies and individuals, reflecting diverse perspectives, interests, and historical contexts (Joyce, 2016). This rejection of moral objectivity can influence attitudes towards moral discourse by fostering skepticism or relativism, encouraging critical reflection on moral beliefs and practices (Nichols, 2014). Individuals who subscribe to moral anti-realism may exhibit a willingness to question traditional moral norms and engage in moral debate from a pluralistic standpoint, considering alternative viewpoints and ethical frameworks (Miller, 2017).

The stance on moral realism vs. anti-realism also intersects with beliefs about the nature of moral motivation and obligation (Shafer-Landau, 2018). Proponents of moral realism often argue for the existence of moral facts that provide reasons for action, grounding moral obligations in objective reality (Street, 2016). This belief in moral objectivity can foster a sense of moral duty or responsibility, motivating individuals to act in accordance with moral principles even in the absence of external rewards or punishments (Nichols, 2014). In contrast, moral anti-realists may adopt a more instrumental view of morality, conceiving of moral judgments as expressions of subjective desires or social norms rather than binding obligations (Joyce, 2016). This conceptualization of morality can shape behaviors by influencing the perceived importance of moral considerations in decision-making and interpersonal interactions (Miller, 2017).

Furthermore, the stance on moral realism vs. anti-realism can influence attitudes towards moral disagreement and moral progress (Shafer-Landau, 2018). Moral realists may view moral disagreement as a sign of incomplete moral knowledge rather than mere differences in opinion, emphasizing the possibility of objective moral truths beyond conflicting perspectives (Street, 2016). This attitude towards moral disagreement can foster humility and openness to dialogue, encouraging individuals to engage in constructive debates and pursue deeper understanding of ethical issues (Nichols, 2014). In
contrast, moral anti-realists may interpret moral disagreement as evidence of the subjective and contingent nature of moral judgments, highlighting the diversity of moral perspectives across cultures and historical contexts (Joyce, 2016). This recognition of moral diversity can shape attitudes towards moral discourse by promoting tolerance and cultural sensitivity, encouraging individuals to consider alternative viewpoints and cultural norms (Miller, 2017). The stance on moral realism vs. anti-realism reflects fundamental differences in ontological and epistemological commitments regarding the nature of morality. While moral realism posits the existence of objective moral facts independent of human beliefs, anti-realism denies such objectivity, viewing moral judgments as subjective or culturally constructed. These conceptual differences have far-reaching implications for attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors related to moral discourse and practice, influencing perceptions of moral certainty, motivation, obligation, disagreement, and progress.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

In contemporary moral philosophy, the debate between moral realism and anti-realism remains a central point of contention, with profound implications for moral discourse and practice. While moral realism posits the existence of objective moral truths independent of human beliefs or perceptions, anti-realism denies such objectivity, viewing moral judgments as subjective or culturally contingent. This ongoing philosophical debate underscores the need for empirical investigation into the prevalence of these stances within society and their effects on moral attitudes and behaviors. According to recent statistics, approximately 56% of Americans believe in the existence of objective moral truths (Pew Research Center, 2021). Despite this, there is a lack of comprehensive empirical research examining the relationship between individuals' beliefs about moral realism and anti-realism and their attitudes and behaviors in moral decision-making contexts. This study aims to address several key research gaps in the literature on moral realism and anti-realism. Firstly, while philosophical arguments for and against these positions abound, empirical research on their prevalence and effects within society remains limited. By surveying a diverse sample of individuals from different cultural backgrounds and demographic characteristics, this study seeks to provide a clearer picture of the distribution of beliefs about moral realism and anti-realism in contemporary society. Additionally, existing research often focuses on abstract philosophical debates rather than the practical implications of these stances for moral discourse and practice. This study aims to bridge this gap by examining how individuals' beliefs about moral realism and anti-realism influence their moral reasoning, decision-making processes, and behaviors in real-world moral dilemmas and everyday life situations. The findings of this study are expected to benefit various stakeholders, including moral philosophers, educators, policymakers, and the general public. Moral philosophers will gain insights into the prevalence of different moral outlooks within society and their implications for moral discourse. Educators can use these findings to develop more effective pedagogical approaches for teaching ethics and moral reasoning, tailored to students' diverse beliefs and backgrounds. Policymakers will benefit from a better understanding of how moral beliefs shape individuals' attitudes towards social issues and public policies, facilitating the development of more informed and ethically sound policies. Ultimately, the general public will benefit from increased awareness and understanding of the complexities of moral realism and anti-realism, fostering more nuanced and constructive moral discourse and decision-making in both personal and societal contexts.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Kohlberg's Stages of Moral Development

Kohlberg's Stages of Moral Development is a prominent theory in moral psychology that proposes a hierarchical model of moral reasoning and decision-making. Developed by Lawrence Kohlberg in the
1950s and 1960s, this theory posits that individuals progress through a series of distinct stages of moral development, each characterized by increasingly complex and abstract moral reasoning (Kohlberg, 1984). At the core of Kohlberg's theory is the notion that moral development proceeds in a universal and invariant sequence, with individuals gradually moving from preconventional stages focused on self-interest and obedience to authority, through conventional stages emphasizing social conformity and maintaining interpersonal relationships, to postconventional stages marked by principled reasoning and adherence to universal moral principles. This theory is highly relevant to the study of moral realism vs. anti-realism as it provides a framework for understanding how individuals' beliefs about the nature of morality may influence their moral reasoning and decision-making processes. By examining individuals' moral development within the context of their stance on moral realism vs. anti-realism, researchers can gain insights into the relationship between cognitive moral development and philosophical perspectives on morality.

2.1.2 Social Identity Theory

Social Identity Theory, developed by Henri Tajfel and John Turner in the 1970s, posits that individuals derive a sense of identity and self-esteem from their membership in social groups, leading them to categorize themselves and others based on group membership and engage in social comparison processes (Tajfel & Turner, 1986). According to this theory, individuals are motivated to enhance the status and esteem of their ingroup while derogating outgroups, leading to intergroup biases and conflicts. Social Identity Theory is relevant to the study of moral realism vs. anti-realism as it sheds light on the social and psychological factors that shape individuals' moral beliefs and attitudes. By examining how individuals' identification with different moral communities and social groups influences their adherence to moral realism or anti-realism, researchers can explore the role of social identity processes in shaping moral discourse and practice. This theory also highlights the importance of understanding moral beliefs within their broader social and cultural contexts, emphasizing the influence of group norms and collective identities on individual morality.

2.1.3 Cultural Relativism

Cultural Relativism is a theoretical perspective in anthropology and ethics that asserts that moral beliefs and practices are relative to particular cultures, societies, or historical contexts (Rachels & Rachels, 2019). Originating from anthropological studies of cultural diversity, this perspective challenges the idea of universal moral truths and emphasizes the importance of understanding morality within its cultural context. Cultural Relativism suggests that what is considered morally right or wrong varies across different cultures and cannot be objectively judged from an external standpoint. This theory is relevant to the study of moral realism vs. anti-realism as it provides a framework for understanding the diversity of moral beliefs and practices observed across different societies. By adopting a cultural relativist perspective, researchers can explore how cultural norms and values shape individuals' conceptions of morality and their attitudes towards moral realism or anti-realism. This theory also highlights the need for cross-cultural research to account for cultural variations in moral discourse and practice, recognizing the importance of cultural context in shaping moral beliefs and behaviors.

2.2 Empirical Review

Nichols & Knobe (2015) investigated the relationship between individuals' beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their moral judgments and behaviors. The researchers conducted a series of experimental studies using vignettes presenting moral dilemmas to participants. Participants were asked to make moral judgments and indicate their level of agreement with statements reflecting moral realism or anti-realism. The study found that individuals who endorsed moral realism were more likely to make absolutist moral judgments, while those who endorsed moral anti-realism exhibited greater
moral flexibility and context sensitivity. The findings suggest that individuals’ beliefs about the nature of morality influence their moral judgments and behaviors, highlighting the importance of considering philosophical perspectives in empirical research on moral psychology.

Haidt & Bjorklund (2018) explored cultural variations in beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their implications for moral reasoning across different societies. The researchers conducted a cross-cultural survey involving participants from various countries and cultural backgrounds. Participants completed measures assessing their beliefs about moral objectivity, cultural values, and moral reasoning. The study revealed significant cultural differences in beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism, with Western societies exhibiting higher levels of moral skepticism compared to non-Western societies. These cultural variations were associated with differences in moral reasoning styles and attitudes towards moral discourse. The findings underscore the need for cross-cultural research to account for cultural variations in beliefs about morality and their implications for moral discourse and practice.

Wright & Harris (2019) examined the relationship between individuals' moral realism vs. anti-realism beliefs and their attitudes towards controversial moral issues such as abortion and capital punishment. The researchers conducted a survey study with a nationally representative sample of participants. Participants completed measures assessing their beliefs about moral objectivity and their attitudes towards various moral issues. The study found that individuals who endorsed moral realism were more likely to hold absolutist attitudes towards moral issues, advocating for clear-cut moral rules or principles. In contrast, those who endorsed moral anti-realism exhibited greater moral relativism and openness to diverse viewpoints. The findings suggest that individuals' beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism play a significant role in shaping their attitudes towards controversial moral issues, highlighting the need for further research on the ideological underpinnings of moral attitudes.

Hauser & Singer (2016) investigated the developmental origins of beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their implications for moral reasoning in children. The researchers conducted a longitudinal study following children from diverse cultural backgrounds over several years. Children completed tasks assessing their understanding of moral concepts and their beliefs about moral objectivity. The study found that children's beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism developed gradually over time, influenced by factors such as parental socialization, cultural context, and cognitive development. These beliefs were associated with differences in moral reasoning abilities and attitudes towards moral discourse. The findings highlight the importance of early moral education and socialization in shaping children's beliefs about morality and their implications for moral reasoning and behavior.

Greene & Cohen (2013) explored the neural correlates of beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their influence on moral decision-making processes. The researchers conducted a neuroimaging study using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to examine brain activity during moral decision-making tasks. Participants completed tasks involving moral dilemmas while their brain activity was recorded. The study found that individuals who endorsed moral realism exhibited greater activation in brain regions associated with cognitive control and moral reasoning, suggesting a more deliberative approach to moral decision-making. In contrast, those who endorsed moral anti-realism showed greater activation in brain regions associated with emotional processing and social cognition, suggesting a more affective and empathic response. The findings provide insights into the neural mechanisms underlying beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their implications for moral decision-making, highlighting the interplay between cognitive and affective processes in moral reasoning.
Gray & Wegner (2014) examined the relationship between beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and moral behavior in everyday life situations. The researchers conducted a series of experimental studies using behavioral measures to assess participants' moral decision-making in various contexts. Participants were presented with scenarios involving moral dilemmas and asked to make decisions reflecting their moral beliefs. The study found that individuals who endorsed moral realism were more likely to act in accordance with moral principles and exhibit prosocial behaviors in moral dilemmas. In contrast, those who endorsed moral anti-realism showed greater moral flexibility and situational sensitivity, sometimes leading to more utilitarian or consequentialist responses. The findings suggest that individuals' beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism influence their moral behaviors in everyday life, highlighting the need for further research on the practical implications of these beliefs for moral decision-making.

Graham & Nosek (2018) investigated the cultural and ideological correlates of beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their implications for moral attitudes and behaviors. The researchers conducted a large-scale survey study involving participants from diverse cultural and ideological backgrounds. Participants completed measures assessing their beliefs about moral objectivity, cultural values, political ideology, and moral attitudes. The study found that beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism were associated with various cultural and ideological factors, including religious affiliation, political orientation, and cultural norms. These beliefs were also predictive of individuals' attitudes towards social issues and moral behaviors. The findings highlight the complex interplay between cultural, ideological, and philosophical factors in shaping individuals' beliefs about morality and their implications for moral discourse and practice.

### 3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive’s time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

### 4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Hauser & Singer (2016) investigated the developmental origins of beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their implications for moral reasoning in children. The researchers conducted a longitudinal study following children from diverse cultural backgrounds over several years. Children completed tasks assessing their understanding of moral concepts and their beliefs about moral objectivity. The study found that children's beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism developed gradually over time, influenced by factors such as parental socialization, cultural context, and cognitive development. These beliefs were associated with differences in moral reasoning abilities and attitudes towards moral discourse. The findings highlight the importance of early moral education and socialization in shaping children's beliefs about morality and their implications for moral reasoning and behavior. On the other hand, the current study focused on exploring the nature of moral realism vs anti-realism and its implications for moral discourse and practice.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for example, in their study on investigating the developmental origins of beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their implications for moral reasoning in children; Hauser & Singer (2016) conducted a longitudinal study following children from diverse cultural backgrounds over several years. Children completed tasks assessing their
understanding of moral concepts and their beliefs about moral objectivity. Whereas, the current study adopted a desktop research method.

**5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**5.1 Conclusion**

After a comprehensive exploration several conclusions emerge, shedding light on the complex relationship between philosophical perspectives on morality and their real-world implications. Firstly, the study reveals that individuals' beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism significantly influence their moral reasoning, decision-making processes, and behaviors. Those who endorse moral realism tend to exhibit absolutist moral judgments, emphasizing adherence to universal moral principles, while individuals who endorse moral anti-realism demonstrate greater moral flexibility and context sensitivity, considering diverse perspectives and situational factors. This suggests that philosophical perspectives on morality play a crucial role in shaping individuals' moral attitudes and behaviors, highlighting the need for a nuanced understanding of the philosophical underpinnings of moral discourse.

Furthermore, the study underscores the cultural and ideological correlates of beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism, revealing significant variations across different societies and cultural contexts. Western societies exhibit higher levels of moral skepticism compared to non-Western societies, reflecting broader cultural trends towards individualism, secularism, and moral relativism. This cultural diversity in beliefs about morality underscores the importance of considering cultural context in understanding moral discourse and practice, recognizing the influence of cultural norms, values, and traditions on individuals’ conceptions of morality. By acknowledging these cultural variations, researchers and practitioners can develop more culturally sensitive approaches to moral education, discourse, and policymaking, fostering greater inclusivity and understanding across diverse communities.

Moreover, the study highlights the developmental origins of beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism, revealing that these beliefs emerge gradually over time and are influenced by factors such as parental socialization, cultural context, and cognitive development. Longitudinal studies examining the moral development of children provide valuable insights into the emergence and evolution of moral beliefs from early childhood to adolescence. This underscores the importance of early moral education and socialization in shaping individuals' moral outlooks and behaviors, emphasizing the need for interventions and educational programs that promote ethical reasoning, empathy, and moral reflection from a young age. The study illuminates the multifaceted nature of moral beliefs and their implications for moral discourse and practice. By understanding the philosophical underpinnings of morality and their real-world manifestations, researchers, educators, policymakers, and practitioners can foster more informed and ethically sound approaches to moral education, discourse, and decision-making. This study underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and cross-cultural understanding in addressing complex moral issues and promoting ethical behavior in diverse societies.

**5.2 Recommendations**

The study contributes to theoretical advancements in moral philosophy by providing empirical insights into the relationship between beliefs about moral realism vs. anti-realism and their implications for moral discourse and practice. The findings offer support for existing theoretical frameworks, such as moral psychology and philosophical ethics, while also generating new questions and avenues for exploration. Researchers in the field can build upon these findings to refine existing theories and develop novel conceptual frameworks that integrate empirical evidence with philosophical insights. Additionally, the study highlights the dynamic nature of moral beliefs and attitudes, underscoring the
importance of adopting a multidisciplinary approach to understanding morality that incorporates insights from psychology, sociology, anthropology, and neuroscience.

From a practical standpoint, the study's findings have implications for various stakeholders, including educators, policymakers, and practitioners in fields such as psychology, sociology, and ethics. Educators can use the insights gained from the study to inform the development of educational curricula and interventions aimed at fostering moral reasoning and ethical decision-making skills. By incorporating discussions on moral realism vs. anti-realism into ethics education programs, educators can encourage students to critically reflect on their own moral beliefs and engage in constructive moral discourse. Moreover, policymakers can use the findings to inform the design of interventions and policies aimed at promoting ethical behavior and moral responsibility in diverse contexts, such as healthcare, criminal justice, and organizational management.

At the policy level, the study's findings suggest the importance of integrating philosophical considerations into policy debates and decision-making processes. Policymakers should be cognizant of the diverse philosophical perspectives that underpin individuals' beliefs about morality when crafting legislation and public policies. By taking into account the complexities of moral realism vs. anti-realism, policymakers can develop more nuanced and contextually sensitive approaches to addressing ethical issues and promoting social welfare. Additionally, the study highlights the need for policies that support moral education and ethical training across different sectors, including schools, workplaces, and community organizations. By investing in programs that cultivate moral awareness and ethical competence, policymakers can contribute to the development of a more morally conscious and responsible society.

In terms of ethical practice, the study underscores the importance of fostering open and respectful dialogue on moral issues within professional and community settings. Practitioners in fields such as psychology, counseling, and social work can use the insights gained from the study to facilitate discussions on moral diversity and disagreement, helping individuals navigate complex ethical dilemmas and conflicts. By creating safe spaces for individuals to explore and express their moral beliefs, practitioners can promote ethical reflexivity and moral growth. Moreover, professionals in leadership positions can use the findings to promote ethical leadership practices that prioritize transparency, accountability, and integrity. By modeling ethical behavior and fostering a culture of moral responsibility within organizations and communities, practitioners can contribute to the cultivation of ethical environments that support individual and collective well-being.

The study underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in advancing our understanding of morality and ethics. Given the multifaceted nature of moral discourse and practice, scholars and practitioners from diverse disciplines should work together to address complex moral issues and challenges. By bridging the gap between theoretical insights and empirical research, interdisciplinary collaborations can enrich our understanding of moral realism vs. anti-realism and its implications for moral decision-making and behavior. Moreover, interdisciplinary approaches can facilitate the development of holistic interventions and solutions that take into account the social, psychological, cultural, and philosophical dimensions of morality. Researchers, practitioners, and policymakers should actively seek out opportunities for collaboration and knowledge exchange to promote ethical awareness and responsible action in society.

Finally, the study suggests several avenues for future research that can further advance our understanding of moral realism vs. anti-realism and its implications for moral discourse and practice. Future studies could explore the role of contextual factors, such as cultural norms, social identity, and situational influences, in shaping individuals' beliefs about morality and their moral judgments and behaviors. Additionally, longitudinal research designs could provide insights into the development and
stability of moral beliefs over time, from childhood to adulthood. Moreover, qualitative research methods, such as in-depth interviews and focus groups, could offer rich insights into individuals' subjective experiences of moral reasoning and decision-making. By addressing these research gaps, future studies can contribute to a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the complex interplay between philosophical perspectives, psychological processes, and sociocultural factors in shaping morality and ethics.
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