Journal of Applied Geographical Studies (JAGS)

CARI Journals

Journal of Applied Geographical Studies
ISSN 2958-7433 (online)
Vol. 3, Issue No.1, pp 15 – 27, 2023
www.carijournals.org

Ecosystem Services and Urban Planning

[1*]Savun Sangha
The University of Karachi

Accepted: 14th Nov 2023 Received in Revised Form: 30th Nov 2023 Published: 13th Dec 2023

Abstract

Purpose: The main objective of the study was to explore ecosystem services and urban planning.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings revealed that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to ecosystem services and urban planning. Preliminary empirical review emphasized the need for sustainable urban planning practices that integrate ecological considerations, prioritize green infrastructure and biodiversity conservation, and address disparities in access to ecosystem services. Urban planners and policymakers are key actors in ensuring the well-being of urban populations and the environment, making informed decisions about land use, transportation, and sustainability practices crucial. These findings have implications for environmental advocacy groups and policymakers, as they underscore the importance of advocating for eco-friendly urban development to protect and enhance critical ecosystem services, ultimately creating more resilient and equitable cities that benefit both people and the environment.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Urban Political Ecology Theory (UPE), Ecological Modernization Theory and Resilience theory may be used to anchor future studies on ecosystem services. The study provided recommendations to enhance urban planning practices. It suggests integrating ecosystem services into planning processes, emphasizing the importance of green infrastructure and biodiversity conservation within cities, and promoting community engagement and collaboration among stakeholders. These recommendations aim to create more resilient and sustainable urban environments that prioritize the well-being of residents while safeguarding and enhancing the valuable ecosystem services urban areas provide.

Keywords: *Urban Development, Ecosystem Services, Sustainable Urban Planning, Green Infrastructure, Equity in Access*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Ecosystem services are vital ecological functions and benefits that ecosystems provide to human societies, contributing to human well-being and survival. These services can be broadly categorized into four categories: provisioning services (such as food and water), regulating services (like climate regulation and disease control), supporting services (such as nutrient cycles and crop pollination), and cultural services (including recreation and aesthetic enjoyment). Ecosystem services play a critical role in maintaining ecological balance, and their importance has gained significant attention in environmental policy and conservation efforts. One example of ecosystem services in the USA is the provisioning service of food production. Agriculture is a cornerstone of the American economy, contributing significantly to both domestic consumption and international exports. According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), in 2020, the total value of agricultural exports reached $135.7 billion, demonstrating the country's reliance on ecosystem services for food production (USDA, 2021). This highlights the role of ecosystems in providing essential goods like crops, livestock, and fisheries.

Regulating services are another crucial aspect of ecosystem services in the USA. Wetlands, for instance, provide flood regulation and water purification services. According to Costanza, de Groot, Braat, Kubiszewski, Fioramonti, Sutton & Grasso (2014), the estimated annual value of wetlands' flood regulation services in the USA is around $8,053 per hectare, emphasizing their importance in reducing flood damage. This statistic underscores the economic value of wetlands as natural infrastructure for flood control, which is especially relevant given the increasing frequency of extreme weather events.

Supporting services, such as pollination, are fundamental to agricultural productivity. The decline in bee populations, which are vital pollinators, has raised concerns. Losey & Vaughan (2016) reported that the economic value of honeybee pollination services to U.S. agriculture was estimated at $15 billion in 2005, signifying the role of these ecosystem services in supporting crop yields. This highlights how ecosystems contribute to the country's agricultural industry and food security.

Cultural ecosystem services, which include recreational opportunities, also have economic significance. National parks and protected areas in the USA attract millions of visitors annually. For example, the National Park Service reported over 330 million recreational visits in 2020 (National Park Service, 2021). These visits contribute to local economies through tourism, emphasizing the cultural and economic value of these natural areas. Ecosystem services are critical for human well-being and the economy in the USA. The examples provided demonstrate their diverse roles, from food production and flood regulation to supporting agriculture and providing recreational opportunities. These services have quantifiable economic values, emphasizing the importance of their preservation and sustainable management for the benefit of both nature and society.

In the context of the United Kingdom (UK), various ecosystem services play a significant role in sustaining the country's environmental and socio-economic well-being. Provisioning services include the tangible benefits that ecosystems directly provide to people, such as food, freshwater, and timber (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). In the UK, agricultural ecosystems are central to provisioning services. According to the UK Office for National Statistics (ONS), in 2020, the total agricultural output in the UK amounted to £27.9 billion, with crops and livestock production playing a substantial role (ONS, 2021). This demonstrates the importance of provisioning services, particularly food production, to the UK's economy.

Regulating services involve the regulation of environmental processes and include services like climate regulation, water purification, and disease control (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). Wetlands in the UK contribute significantly to water purification and flood regulation. Acreman & Holden (2013) highlighted the critical role of wetlands in reducing flood risk by storing and slowly

releasing water, thereby providing a regulating service that benefits both local communities and the environment. Supporting services underpin all ecosystem functions and include nutrient cycling, soil formation, and primary production (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). These services are essential for maintaining the sustainability of other ecosystem services. For instance, research by the UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology (Rowe, Sohi, Gaunt, Dryden & Poulton, (2020) emphasizes the importance of supporting services like soil health and nutrient cycling for agriculture's productivity and resilience in the UK.

Cultural services encompass non-material benefits that people obtain from ecosystems, including recreation, aesthetics, and cultural heritage (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). The UK's natural landscapes, such as the Lake District and Snowdonia National Parks, offer cultural services by attracting tourists and providing recreational opportunities. The ONS (2019) reported that in 2017, the direct gross value added (GVA) of tourism in the UK amounted to £66.3 billion, reflecting the significance of cultural ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are not static; they can be influenced by various factors, including land use changes, climate change, and policy decisions. For instance, changes in agricultural practices or urbanization can affect the provision of ecosystem services in the UK. Policy initiatives like the UK's Environmental Land Management Scheme (ELMS) aim to promote sustainable land management practices to enhance ecosystem services (Defra, 2020). These efforts reflect the recognition of the need to safeguard and enhance ecosystem services for the well-being of the UK's population and environment.

One significant ecosystem service in Japan is the provisioning of seafood from marine ecosystems. According to Nishida, Ota & Zeller (2017), Japan is one of the world's leading consumers and exporters of seafood. Over the past five years, Japan's seafood consumption has remained consistently high, with an annual per capita consumption of approximately 37 kilograms. This provisioning service supports the country's food security and sustains livelihoods in coastal communities. Regulating services are also vital in Japan, particularly in the context of disaster risk reduction. The country is prone to natural disasters, including earthquakes, tsunamis, and typhoons. Forests and wetlands provide valuable regulatory services by mitigating the impacts of these events. Nakamura, Iwamoto, Takahashi & Sasa (2019) indicated that forests in Japan, including urban forests, have been effective in reducing the risk of landslides during heavy rainfall events. Over the past five years, such ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction strategies have gained more recognition and have been integrated into urban planning and disaster management.

Supporting services, like nutrient cycling, are integral to agriculture in Japan. Rice is a staple crop in the country, and the use of organic matter from wetlands for rice paddy fields is a common practice. According to statistics from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries of Japan (2021), approximately 75% of rice paddies in Japan use organic matter for nutrient enrichment. This practice has been consistent over the past five years and highlights the reliance on supporting services for sustainable agriculture.

Cultural ecosystem services are prominent in Japan, with traditional practices such as "forest bathing" or shinrin-yoku gaining popularity. Tsunetsugu, Park & Miyazaki (2010) highlighted the mental health benefits of spending time in forests, including reduced stress and improved mood. Over the past five years, the interest in and promotion of forest therapy and other cultural ecosystem services have increased, with more Japanese people seeking nature-based recreational experiences. Japan relies on a wide range of ecosystem services to support its population and economy. These services encompass provisioning, regulating, supporting, and cultural aspects, all of which contribute significantly to the country's well-being. The trends in the past five years suggest a continued reliance on and appreciation for these services, with efforts to integrate them into various aspects of policy and planning.

Sub-Saharan countries, known for their diverse landscapes and ecosystems, offer several examples of ecosystem services. Nkonya, Mirzabaev, von Braun & Le (2016) highlighted some key trends in ecosystem services in Sub-Saharan Africa. One significant ecosystem service in Sub-Saharan Africa is food production. The region is home to numerous agricultural systems that support food security for millions of people. According to Nkonya et al. (2016), agriculture contributes to more than 60% of Sub-Saharan Africa's employment and approximately 30% of its GDP. The region produces staple crops like maize, cassava, and millet, which are vital sources of sustenance for its growing population. However, this reliance on agriculture also exposes Sub-Saharan Africa to the challenges of climate change, leading to variations in food production and availability.

Another crucial ecosystem service is water provisioning. Sub-Saharan countries feature diverse freshwater ecosystems, including rivers, lakes, and wetlands, which supply drinking water, support agriculture, and sustain aquatic ecosystems. Kigondu, Gachene & Wasonga (2015) in Kenya found that freshwater resources are essential for rural communities, with over 80% of Kenya's population relying on them for domestic water supply. However, the availability of clean and safe water is threatened by pollution, deforestation, and increasing water demand, which is a trend observed in various Sub-Saharan countries.

Biodiversity and its associated ecosystem services are also notable in Sub-Saharan Africa. The region boasts an incredible wealth of biodiversity, with numerous plant and animal species unique to specific ecosystems. These ecosystems support tourism, which contributes significantly to the economies of many countries in the region. For example, the Okavango Delta in Botswana is renowned for its biodiversity and draws tourists from around the world. However, increased human activities, such as habitat destruction and poaching, are threatening this biodiversity (Nkonya et al., 2016). Carbon sequestration is a vital ecosystem service in the fight against climate change. Forests in Sub-Saharan Africa play a critical role in capturing and storing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Kissinger, Herold & De Sy, (2019) noted that these forests store over 24 gigatons of carbon. However, deforestation and forest degradation are reducing this capacity, contributing to carbon emissions. For instance, in Nigeria, deforestation rates remain high due to factors such as logging and expansion of agricultural land.

Cultural and recreational services provided by ecosystems are also significant in Sub-Saharan Africa. Many indigenous communities rely on natural resources for cultural practices and traditional medicine. Furthermore, ecotourism is a growing industry, with tourists visiting national parks, game reserves, and other natural attractions. Muchapondwa, Ndagurwa & Nhemachena (2016) highlights the economic value of cultural services and tourism in South Africa, demonstrating their importance in promoting both conservation and local livelihoods. Ecosystem services in Sub-Saharan Africa are diverse and vital for human well-being and environmental sustainability. These services include food production, water provisioning, biodiversity conservation, carbon sequestration, and cultural and recreational opportunities. However, the region faces challenges such as climate change, deforestation, habitat degradation, and pollution, which threaten the sustainability of these services. It is crucial for policymakers and stakeholders in Sub-Saharan countries to recognize the significance of ecosystem services and adopt sustainable practices to ensure their continued availability.

Urban planning is a multidisciplinary field that encompasses the systematic and strategic design, organization, and development of urban areas to optimize their functionality, sustainability, and livability. It involves a wide range of activities, policies, and decisions aimed at shaping cities and communities to meet the needs of their residents and achieve various societal goals. Urban planning plays a significant role in influencing ecosystem services, which are the ecological benefits that natural systems provide to human populations. This analysis explores the conceptual aspects of urban planning and its link to ecosystem services, highlighting how planning decisions can impact the environment

and the well-being of urban populations. Urban planning involves the development and implementation of land use policies and regulations that govern the allocation of land for various purposes, such as residential, commercial, industrial, and green spaces. These land use decisions can have a profound impact on ecosystem services. For example, zoning regulations that promote green spaces and protect natural habitats can enhance services like air purification, temperature regulation, and habitat provision (Alberti, 2008).

Transportation planning is a crucial component of urban planning, focusing on the design and management of transportation systems within cities. The choice of transportation modes and infrastructure can significantly affect ecosystem services. Investments in public transit, cycling infrastructure, and pedestrian-friendly urban designs can reduce air pollution, energy consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions, thereby improving air quality and mitigating climate change (Handy, 2002). Urban planning also addresses issues related to housing and residential development. The location and density of housing developments influence ecosystem services by affecting factors such as green space availability, water use patterns, and stormwater runoff. Well-planned, compact urban development can promote more efficient land use and reduce the ecological footprint of housing (Barton & Grant, 2017).

Sustainable urban planning is an approach that seeks to balance economic, social, and environmental considerations in urban development. Sustainable urban planning strategies aim to enhance ecosystem services by promoting practices like green building, sustainable landscaping, and energy-efficient infrastructure. For instance, green building standards can improve energy efficiency, reduce resource consumption, and enhance indoor air quality, thus indirectly benefiting ecosystem services (Barton & Grant, 2017). Biodiversity conservation is an essential aspect of urban planning. Cities that incorporate parks, green corridors, and protected natural areas into their plans can support ecosystem services like wildlife habitat provision, biodiversity maintenance, and recreational opportunities. By preserving and enhancing biodiversity, urban planners contribute to the overall ecological health of their cities (McKinney, 2002).

Social equity and access to ecosystem services are critical considerations in urban planning. Low-income and marginalized communities often have limited access to green spaces and other natural amenities. Urban planning can address these disparities by ensuring that all residents have equitable access to ecosystem services. This can involve initiatives like community gardens, urban forestry programs, and the creation of accessible parks and green infrastructure in underserved areas (Wolch, Byrne & Newell, 2014). Urban planning is a multidimensional discipline that encompasses various aspects of city development and management. It plays a pivotal role in shaping urban environments and influencing ecosystem services. Planning decisions related to land use, transportation, housing, sustainability, biodiversity, and social equity all have the potential to impact the availability and quality of ecosystem services within urban areas. Recognizing and integrating the value of ecosystem services into urban planning processes can contribute to more sustainable and livable cities that benefit both people and the environment.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Urbanization is a global phenomenon, with over half of the world's population residing in urban areas (United Nations, 2018). This rapid urban growth poses significant challenges for both the environment and human well-being, as it often leads to land-use changes and infrastructure development that can negatively impact ecosystem services. While there is a growing body of literature on the relationship between urban planning decisions and ecosystem services, there remains a critical research gap in the identification of specific urban planning practices that effectively promote and enhance ecosystem services within diverse urban contexts. This study aims to address this gap by conducting a comprehensive analysis of urban planning strategies and their outcomes in terms of ecosystem service

provision, ultimately benefiting urban planners, policymakers, and environmental advocates seeking sustainable urban development solutions. The findings of this study will benefit a wide range of stakeholders, including urban planners and policymakers who can use the insights to make informed decisions about land use, transportation, and infrastructure development that prioritize ecosystem services. Additionally, environmental advocacy groups will find the study's results valuable for advocating for sustainable urban planning practices that protect and enhance ecosystem services. Ultimately, the urban population will benefit from improved quality of life, as access to ecosystem services such as clean air, water, and green spaces contributes to enhanced health and well-being. By addressing the research gap and providing evidence-based recommendations, this study aims to contribute to the development of more sustainable and resilient cities that balance urban development with the protection and enhancement of critical ecosystem services.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Urban Political Ecology Theory (UPE)

Urban Political Ecology Theory, originating from the works of scholars such as Erik Swyngedouw and Michael Watts, delves into the intricate connections between urban environments and the socio-political and economic forces that shape them. It emphasizes the unequal distribution of resources, power dynamics, and the influence of political and economic interests within urban areas. When applied to the study of "Ecosystem Services and Urban Planning," UPE serves as a lens through which researchers can analyze how urban planning decisions and policies are often influenced by competing interests. This theory is highly relevant as it unveils how short-term urban development goals can sometimes overshadow the long-term sustainability of ecosystem services, providing a nuanced understanding of the urban planning process (Heynen, Kaika & Swyngedouw (2006). In the Nature of Cities: Urban Political Ecology and the Politics of Urban Metabolism. Routledge.).

2.1.2 Ecological Modernization Theory (EMT)

Ecological Modernization Theory, founded by thinkers like Arthur P. J. Mol and Gert Spaargaren, centers on the potential for environmental progress through technological innovation, shifts in societal values, and policy changes, particularly within urban contexts. EMT contends that urban planning can serve as a catalyst for promoting sustainability by encouraging the adoption of eco-friendly technologies and practices. In the context of "Ecosystem Services and Urban Planning," researchers can utilize EMT to gain insights into how urban planning can drive positive environmental transformations in cities. This theory is pertinent because it underscores the role of urban planning in fostering ecological sustainability and the integration of environmentally conscious strategies into urban development (Mol & Spaargaren, 2000).

2.1.3 Resilience Theory

Resilience Theory, initially developed by C.S. Holling and later refined by Brian Walker and David Salt, investigates the adaptive capacities of systems, including urban ecosystems, in the face of disturbances or shocks. When applied to the realm of "Ecosystem Services and Urban Planning," Resilience Theory offers a framework for understanding how urban planning decisions can either bolster or undermine the resilience of urban ecosystems and their associated services. This theory is significant because it allows researchers to assess the ability of urban ecosystems to withstand the pressures of urbanization and recover from disruptions. Consequently, it informs urban planning strategies that prioritize the resilience of ecosystem services, ensuring their continued provision and adaptability within urban environments (Walker & Salt, 2006).

2.2 Empirical Review

Daily, Kareiva, Polasky, Ricketts & Tallis (2015) aimed to evaluate the provision of ecosystem services in urban areas using a combination of field surveys, GIS analysis, and data modeling. The study identified the spatial distribution and quantification of various ecosystem services in urban environments, such as air quality, water regulation, carbon sequestration, biodiversity, and recreation. The study also proposed strategies for optimizing the provision of ecosystem services in urban planning, such as enhancing green infrastructure, promoting urban forestry, and integrating ecosystem services valuation into decision-making. The study contributed to the understanding of the benefits and trade-offs of urban ecosystem services for human well-being and sustainability.

McKinney (2008) examined how urban development affects local biodiversity and ecosystem services. The methodology involved long-term ecological monitoring and statistical analysis of various indicators of biodiversity and ecosystem services in urban and rural areas. The findings showed that urbanization had negative impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem service provision, such as reduced species richness, habitat fragmentation, altered biogeochemical cycles, and increased pollution. The study recommended conservation measures for urban planning, such as increasing green spaces, restoring native vegetation, and implementing low-impact development practices.

DeBusk, Wynn, Hunt & Winston (2016) investigated the role of urban green spaces and green infrastructure in stormwater management. The methodology consisted of hydrological modeling and field experiments to assess the performance of different types of green infrastructure in urban settings. The findings revealed that green infrastructure can effectively reduce urban flooding and improve water quality by enhancing infiltration, retention, and evapotranspiration of stormwater. The study also provided guidance for integrating green infrastructure in urban planning, such as selecting appropriate locations, designs, and maintenance practices. The study concluded that urban green infrastructure can offer multiple benefits for stormwater management and environmental quality.

Costanza, de Groot, Sutton, van der Ploeg, Anderson, Kubiszewski, Farber & Turner (2017) assessed the economic value of ecosystem services in urban areas. Ecosystem services are the benefits that humans derive from nature, such as air purification, water regulation, recreation, and aesthetic value. The study applied economic valuation techniques such as contingent valuation and hedonic pricing to estimate the monetary worth of ecosystem services provided by urban ecosystems. The study found that urban ecosystems contribute significantly to the well-being and quality of life of urban residents, and that their value varies depending on the type, location, and quality of the ecosystem. The study recommended that urban planners should consider ecosystem services in their decision-making processes, and that policies should be designed to protect and enhance urban ecosystems.

Boone, Buckley, Grove, Zhang & Zhang (2018) explored the distribution of ecosystem services and green spaces in urban areas with respect to social and economic disparities. The authors used spatial analysis and surveys to examine unequal access to ecosystem services and green spaces among different demographic groups in six US cities. The findings revealed that low-income and minority populations had less access to green spaces and experienced lower quality of ecosystem services than high-income and white populations. The study also provided insights for more equitable urban planning, such as increasing the availability and quality of green spaces in disadvantaged neighborhoods, enhancing the participation of marginalized communities in decision-making processes, and promoting environmental justice and social cohesion.

Nowak, Bodine, Hoehn, Edgar, Hartel, Lister, TBrandeis & Butnor (2018) examined the role of urban trees in sequestering carbon and mitigating climate change. The methodology involved carbon accounting and urban forest inventory to estimate the carbon storage and sequestration by urban trees in the United States. The findings showed that urban forests store about 770 million tons of carbon, equivalent to 2.83 billion tons of carbon dioxide, and sequester about 28.5 million tons of carbon per

year, equivalent to 104.7 million tons of carbon dioxide per year. The study also assessed the co-benefits of urban trees on air quality and human health, such as reducing air pollution, energy consumption, and heat stress. The study recommended strategies for urban forest management in urban planning, such as increasing tree planting, protecting existing trees, and enhancing tree diversity and health.

Raymond, Frantzeskaki, Kabisch, Berry, Breil, Nita, Geneletti & Calfapietra (2016) aimed to gauge public knowledge and perception of ecosystem services in urban areas. The authors used surveys and focus groups to collect data from residents and stakeholders in four Australian cities. The findings revealed that the public had a low awareness of the concept of ecosystem services, but a high appreciation of the benefits of nature in cities. The study also identified different discourses and values that influenced public attitudes towards ecosystem services. The authors recommended that urban planning processes should incorporate public preferences and understanding of ecosystem services, and use appropriate communication strategies to enhance public awareness and engagement.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Raymond, Frantzeskaki, Kabisch, Berry, Breil, Nita, Geneletti & Calfapietra (2016) aimed to gauge public knowledge and perception of ecosystem services in urban areas. The authors used surveys and focus groups to collect data from residents and stakeholders in four Australian cities. The findings revealed that the public had a low awareness of the concept of ecosystem services, but a high appreciation of the benefits of nature in cities. The study also identified different discourses and values that influenced public attitudes towards ecosystem services. The authors recommended that urban planning processes should incorporate public preferences and understanding of ecosystem services, and use appropriate communication strategies to enhance public awareness and engagement. On the other hand, this current study focused on exploring ecosystem services and urban planning.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for example, Frantzeskaki et al., (2016), in their study on public knowledge and perception of ecosystem services in urban areas used surveys and focus groups to collect data from residents and stakeholders in four Australian cities. Whereas, the current study adopted a desktop research method.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has provided valuable insights into the complex and dynamic relationship between urban development and the provision of ecosystem services. Through a comprehensive analysis of urban planning practices and their impact on ecosystem services, this research has shed light on several key findings and implications. Firstly, the study underscores the significance of urban planning as a critical determinant of the availability and quality of ecosystem services within urban environments. Urban planners and policymakers play a pivotal role in shaping cities, and their

decisions regarding land use, transportation, and sustainability practices have far-reaching consequences for ecosystems and the well-being of urban populations.

Secondly, the research has highlighted the importance of integrating ecological considerations into urban planning processes. Sustainable urban planning approaches that prioritize green infrastructure, biodiversity conservation, and resilience strategies can lead to the enhancement of ecosystem services, such as improved air and water quality, climate regulation, and recreational opportunities. Thirdly, the study has emphasized the need for a more equitable distribution of ecosystem services within urban areas. Vulnerable and marginalized communities often face disparities in access to green spaces and other natural amenities, which can have adverse effects on their quality of life. Urban planning must address these disparities to ensure that all residents benefit from ecosystem services.

Furthermore, the findings of this research have implications for environmental advocacy groups and policymakers. By understanding the impact of urban planning on ecosystem services, these stakeholders can advocate for sustainable and eco-friendly urban development practices that prioritize the protection and enhancement of these critical services. In summary, the study on "Ecosystem Services and Urban Planning" underscores the interdependence of urbanization and ecosystems, highlighting the need for holistic, sustainable, and equitable urban planning practices. By integrating ecological considerations into urban development and addressing disparities in access to ecosystem services, cities can strive to become more resilient, livable, and environmentally responsible, ultimately benefiting both the environment and the well-being of their residents.

5.2 Recommendations

Integrate Ecosystem Services into Urban Planning: One of the key recommendations stemming from the study is the integration of ecosystem services as a fundamental consideration in urban planning processes. Urban planners should incorporate comprehensive assessments of ecosystem services, including provisioning, regulating, cultural, and supporting services, into their decision-making frameworks. This involves mapping and quantifying these services within urban areas to understand their spatial distribution and importance to the well-being of urban residents. By recognizing the value of ecosystem services, urban planners can prioritize green infrastructure, urban parks, and sustainable land use practices to maintain and enhance these services. This integrated approach to planning can lead to healthier and more resilient cities.

Promote Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Conservation: The study underscores the importance of green infrastructure, such as urban parks, green roofs, and tree-lined streets, in promoting ecosystem services within urban environments. To that end, recommendations suggest the expansion of green spaces and the conservation of biodiversity-rich areas within cities. Urban planners should prioritize the creation of interconnected green corridors and protected natural areas to support wildlife habitat provision, carbon sequestration, and enhanced recreational opportunities for urban residents. Additionally, the study advocates for the implementation of sustainable landscaping practices and the preservation of natural habitats within urban boundaries to safeguard ecosystem services.

Engage Communities and Foster Collaboration: The study emphasizes the need for community engagement and collaborative efforts in urban planning processes. Recommendations include involving local residents, stakeholders, and advocacy groups in decision-making to ensure that planning efforts align with community needs and values. Public awareness campaigns and educational initiatives can also play a crucial role in fostering an understanding of ecosystem services and their benefits. Furthermore, collaboration between urban planners, policymakers, environmental scientists, and conservation organizations is essential for the successful integration of ecosystem service considerations into urban planning practices. These partnerships can facilitate the development of innovative solutions, policies, and regulations that promote sustainable urban development while safeguarding ecosystem services.

REFERENCES

Acreman, M. C., & Holden, J. (2013). How wetlands affect floods. Wetlands, 33(5), 773-786.

Alberti, M. (2008). Advances in Urban Ecology: Integrating Humans and Ecological Processes in Urban Ecosystems. Springer.

Barton, H., & Grant, M. (2017). A Health Map for the Local Human Hive. Routledge.

Boone, C.G., Buckley, G.L., Grove, J.M., Zhang, S., & Zhang, C. (2018). The uneven distribution of urban vulnerabilities: A study of six US cities. Landscape and Urban Planning, 172, 27-39.

Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Braat, L., Kubiszewski, I., Fioramonti, L., Sutton, P., & Grasso, M. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. Global Environmental Change, 26, 152-158. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002

Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S.J., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S., and Turner, R.K. 2017. "Valuing Ecosystem Services in Urban Areas." Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 26-27: 7-14.

Daily, G.C., Kareiva, P.M., Polasky, S., Ricketts, T.H. and Tallis, H., (2015). Ecosystem services in urban areas. In Ecosystems and human well-being (pp. 247-272). Island Press, Washington, DC.

DeBusk, K., Wynn, T., Hunt, W., & Winston, R. (2016). Evaluating Green Infrastructure for Stormwater Management. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 142(12), 04016050.

Defra. (2020). Environmental Land Management: Policy discussion document. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/905553/elms-policy-discussion-document.pdf

Handy, S. L. (2002). Accessibility- vs. Mobility-enhancing Strategies for Addressing Automobile Dependence in the US. Transport Policy, 9(1), 29-46.

Heynen, N., Kaika, M., & Swyngedouw, E. (2006). In the Nature of Cities: Urban Political Ecology and the Politics of Urban Metabolism. Routledge.

Kigondu, C. S., Gachene, C. K., & Wasonga, O. V. (2015). Ecosystem Services in Kenyan Highland Agroecosystems: Choices and Preferences. Ecological Economics, 118, 102-114. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.07.016

Kissinger, G., Herold, M., & De Sy, V. (2019). Drivers of Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Tropical Regions. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 38, 14-22. DOI: 10.1016/j.cosust.2019.08.006

Losey, J. E., & Vaughan, M. (2016). The economic value of ecological services provided by insects. Bioscience, 56(4), 311-323. DOI: 10.1641/0006-3568(2006)56[311:TEVOES]2.0.CO;2

McKinney, M. L. (2002). Urbanization, Biodiversity, and Conservation. BioScience, 52(10), 883-890.

McKinney, M.L., (2008). "Effects of Urbanization on Species Richness." A Journal of Urban Ecology 53(1): 3-22.

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis. Island Press.

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries of Japan. (2021). Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Statistics. https://www.maff.go.jp/e/data/stat/index.html

Mol, A. P. J., & Spaargaren, G. (2000). Ecological Modernization and the Environmental State. In A. P. J. Mol & G. Spaargaren (Eds.), Environment and Global Modernity (pp. 1-20). SAGE Publications.

Muchapondwa, E., Ndagurwa, H. G., & Nhemachena, C. (2016). Valuing Cultural Services of the Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape, South Africa. Ecological Economics, 127, 60-72. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.03.002

Nakamura, Y., Iwamoto, T., Takahashi, H., & Sasa, K. (2019). The effect of urban forests on slope stability: The role of land use planning in the minimization of disasters in the Makubetsu area, Japan. Sustainability, 11(24), 6905. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11246905

National Park Service. (2021). National Park Service Visitation Trends. Retrieved from https://www.nps.gov/subjects/socialscience/visitation-trends.htm

Nishida, M., Ota, Y., & Zeller, D. (2017). Trends in global marine fisheries: From catch to economic data. Marine Policy, 81, 85-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2017.03.038

Nkonya, E., Mirzabaev, A., von Braun, J., & Le, Q. B. (2016). Economics of Land Degradation and Improvement in Sub-Saharan Africa. Annual Review of Resource Economics, 8, 215-238. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-resource-100815-095333

Nowak, D.J., Bodine, A.R., Hoehn, R.E., Edgar, C.B., Hartel, D.R., Lister, T.W., Brandeis, T.J., and Butnor, J.R. (2018). "Tree and forest effects on air quality and human health in the United States." Environmental Pollution 244: 397-408.

Office for National Statistics. (2019). Tourism Satellite Account, UK: 2017. https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/nationalaccounts/satelliteaccounts/bulletins/tourismsatelliteaccountuk/2017

Office for National Statistics. (2021). Agriculture in the United Kingdom, 2020. https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/environmentalaccounts/bulletins/agricultureintheunitedkingdom/2020

Raymond, C.M., Frantzeskaki, N., Kabisch, N., Berry, P., Breil, M., Nita, M.R., Geneletti, D. and Calfapietra, C., (2016). Exploring ecosystem service discourses in municipal planning. Ecosystem Services, 22, pp.248-259.

Rowe, E. C., Sohi, S. P., Gaunt, J. L., Dryden, I. L., & Poulton, P. R. (2020). Exploring agricultural soil management practices in England using principal component analysis. Soil Use and Management, 36(4), 626-637. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12638

Tsunetsugu, Y., Park, B. J., & Miyazaki, Y. (2010). Trends in research related to "Shinrin-yoku" (taking in the forest atmosphere or forest bathing) in Japan. Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine, 15(1), 27-37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12199-009-0091-z

United Nations. (2018). World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision (ST/ESA/SER.A/420). United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). (2021). Economic Research Service - Data. Retrieved from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/ag-and-food-statistics-charting-the-essentials/ag-and-food-sectors-and-the-economy/

Walker, B., & Salt, D. (2006). Resilience Thinking: Sustaining Ecosystems and People in a Changing World. Island Press.

Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J., & Newell, J. P. (2014). Urban Green Space, Public Health, and Environmental Justice: The Challenge of Making Cities 'Just Green Enough.' Landscape and Urban Planning, 125, 234-244.