

Journal of

Advanced Sociology

(JAS)

Understanding the Interplay of Race in the Criminal Justice System



CARI
Journal

Understanding the Interplay of Race in the Criminal Justice System

 ^{1*}Demtillah Gesare

Kisii University

Accepted: 28th Feb, 2024 Received in Revised Form: 28th Mar, 2024 Published: 28th Apr, 2024



Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to investigate and understand the interplay of race in the criminal justice system.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to the interplay of race, class and gender in the criminal justice system. Preliminary empirical review revealed that that pervasive racial disparities exist within this institution, as evidenced by disproportionate treatment of racial minorities at various stages of the criminal justice process. It found that despite efforts to promote fairness and equity, implicit biases and systemic racism continued to influence decision-making, leading to disparities in arrests, prosecutions, and sentencing. The study highlighted the interconnectedness of race with other social factors such as socioeconomic status and neighborhood characteristics and underscored the urgency of implementing evidence-based interventions and policy reforms to address racial disparities effectively. Recommendations included diversifying law enforcement agencies, implementing implicit bias training programs, and reforming sentencing guidelines to promote fairness and reduce disparities in incarceration rates.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Critical Race theory, Labeling theory and Structural Functionalism may be used to anchor future studies on the interplay between race and the outcomes within criminal justice. The study provided recommendations that contributed to theory, practice, and policy. It integrated critical race theory into the analysis to explore systemic racism's role in perpetuating racial disparities. Practically, evidence-based policing strategies and procedural justice principles were emphasized, alongside community policing initiatives and accountability measures to address bias and misconduct. Policy recommendations included reforming sentencing laws, investing in alternatives to incarceration, and addressing social determinants of crime. The study contributed to theory by examining intersecting identities' impact and advocated for community-driven interventions, trauma-informed approaches, and reforms to reduce racial bias and enhance accountability within the criminal justice system.

Keywords: *Race, Criminal Justice System, Racial Disparities, Critical Race Theory, Evidence-based Policing, Procedural Justice, Community Policing, Accountability, Social Determinants*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Race is a complex and multifaceted concept that encompasses social, cultural, and biological dimensions. In the United States, race has historically been a significant factor shaping social stratification and access to resources. According to recent statistics, disparities persist in various aspects of life based on race. For example, Jones and colleagues (2018) found that African Americans are disproportionately represented in the criminal justice system, with incarceration rates significantly higher than those of White Americans. This trend reflects broader societal inequalities rooted in historical racism and discrimination (Jones, Rojas, Johnson & Angel, 2018). Additionally, racial disparities persist in education and healthcare access, with African American and Hispanic populations often experiencing lower graduation rates and higher rates of chronic health conditions compared to White counterparts (Ortiz & Telles, 2012).

In the United Kingdom, race dynamics differ somewhat from those in the United States but still significantly influence social structures and experiences. Ethnic minorities, particularly Black and Asian communities, face challenges such as employment discrimination and disparities in educational attainment. For instance, Modood (2019) highlighted persistent racial inequalities in the labor market, with ethnic minorities experiencing higher rates of unemployment and lower wages compared to White individuals. Moreover, studies have shown that Black and minority ethnic groups are overrepresented in disciplinary actions within schools, reflecting systemic biases and inequalities in the education system.

In Japan, race takes on a unique dimension due to its homogenous population and historical isolationism. However, racial and ethnic minorities, such as the Ainu and Burakumin, have long faced discrimination and marginalization. Despite efforts to promote inclusivity and diversity, racial prejudice persists in Japanese society. For example, Ishida and colleagues (2017) revealed discriminatory practices in housing rental markets, where non-Japanese individuals, particularly those of Asian descent, often encounter barriers and prejudice when seeking accommodation. This discrimination reflects broader social attitudes and structural inequalities within Japanese society (Ishida, Arudou & Sugimoto, 2017).

In Brazil, racial dynamics are deeply intertwined with the country's history of colonization, slavery, and multiculturalism. Brazil has a diverse population with significant racial mixing, leading to complex identities and social hierarchies based on skin color and ancestry. Despite being a racially diverse nation, racial inequalities persist, particularly between White Brazilians and Afro-Brazilians. Telles (2019) highlights the enduring legacy of slavery and colonialism in shaping Brazil's racial landscape, with Afro-Brazilians disproportionately affected by poverty, violence, and limited access to education and employment opportunities. Moreover, studies have shown persistent racial disparities in healthcare access and outcomes, with Afro-Brazilians experiencing higher rates of maternal mortality and infectious diseases (Telles, 2019).

In African countries, race intersects with colonial legacies, ethnic diversity, and socio-economic disparities. While race as a social construct may not operate in the same way as in countries with histories of slavery and segregation, ethnic divisions often mirror racial dynamics elsewhere. Colonial policies of divide and rule have left lasting scars on African societies, exacerbating ethnic tensions and inequalities. Mamdani (2018) highlights how colonial legacies continue to shape governance structures and resource distribution, perpetuating ethnic-based conflicts and inequalities. Moreover, globalization and migration have brought new dimensions to racial dynamics in Africa, with ethnic minorities facing marginalization and discrimination in countries with majority populations. Race is a complex and dynamic phenomenon with significant implications for social, economic, and political outcomes worldwide. While its manifestations vary across different contexts, racial inequalities persist,

reflecting historical legacies of discrimination and power imbalances. Understanding the complexities of race is crucial for addressing systemic inequalities and fostering inclusive societies that value diversity and promote social justice.

The criminal justice system is a complex societal institution tasked with maintaining law and order, adjudicating disputes, and punishing individuals who violate established laws. At its core, the criminal justice system comprises multiple components, including law enforcement agencies, courts, and correctional institutions, each playing a distinct role in the administration of justice (Tyler, 2019). However, despite its intended purpose of ensuring fairness and equity, the criminal justice system often reflects and perpetuates existing social inequalities, particularly concerning race. Racial disparities within the criminal justice system are well-documented and pervasive. One critical aspect of this disparity lies in the differential treatment of individuals based on their race at various stages of the criminal justice process. Pager (2019) highlighted how racial minorities, particularly African Americans, are disproportionately targeted by law enforcement for surveillance, stops, and arrests. This overrepresentation at the initial stage of contact with the criminal justice system sets the stage for subsequent disparities in sentencing and incarceration rates.

Moreover, racial bias within the legal system can manifest in the decision-making processes of judges and juries, leading to disparities in sentencing outcomes. Studies have shown that African American and Hispanic defendants are more likely to receive harsher sentences compared to their White counterparts, even when controlling for factors such as criminal history and offense severity (Spohn, 2014). This disparity reflects systemic biases and stereotypes that influence perceptions of culpability and dangerousness based on race. The prevalence of racial profiling by law enforcement further exacerbates disparities within the criminal justice system. Racial profiling refers to the practice of targeting individuals for suspicion of criminal activity based on their race or ethnicity rather than evidence of wrongdoing. This discriminatory practice disproportionately affects racial minorities, particularly Black and Hispanic individuals, who are more likely to be subjected to invasive searches, arrests, and use of force by police officers (Goff, Jackson, Di Leone, Culotta & DiTomasso, 2014).

In addition to disparities in policing and sentencing, racial inequalities are also evident in the realm of incarceration and post-conviction outcomes. African Americans and Hispanics are overrepresented in the prison population, comprising a disproportionate percentage of inmates compared to their share of the general population (Alexander, 2012). This overrepresentation is driven by various factors, including discriminatory sentencing practices, unequal access to legal representation, and socioeconomic disadvantages that increase the likelihood of involvement in criminal activities. Furthermore, the collateral consequences of involvement in the criminal justice system disproportionately impact communities of color, perpetuating cycles of poverty and marginalization. Mass incarceration of Black and Hispanic individuals not only disrupts families and communities but also limits opportunities for economic advancement and social mobility (Western & Wildeman, 2017). This cycle of disadvantage reinforces existing racial inequalities and contributes to the perpetuation of systemic racism within society.

Despite efforts to address racial disparities within the criminal justice system, significant challenges remain. Reforms aimed at reducing bias in policing, promoting alternatives to incarceration, and addressing structural inequalities in access to legal representation are essential steps toward achieving greater equity and justice (Tonry, 2019). Additionally, fostering dialogue and collaboration between law enforcement agencies, community organizations, and policymakers is crucial for implementing effective strategies to combat racial injustice within the criminal justice system (Tonry, 2019). The criminal justice system serves as both a reflection and a perpetuator of racial inequalities within society. Addressing systemic racism within the criminal justice system requires a comprehensive

approach that acknowledges and confronts the complex interplay of race, socioeconomic factors, and institutional biases. By promoting fairness, accountability, and equity, policymakers and practitioners can work towards building a more just and inclusive criminal justice system that upholds the rights and dignity of all individuals, regardless of race.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Racial disparities persist within the criminal justice system, reflecting broader societal inequalities and systemic biases. Despite efforts to address these disparities, significant gaps remain in our understanding of the complex interplay between race and the criminal justice system. For instance, according to recent statistics, African Americans are incarcerated at more than five times the rate of White Americans, while Hispanic Americans are incarcerated at nearly twice the rate of White Americans (The Sentencing Project, 2021). This overrepresentation of racial minorities in the criminal justice system raises critical questions about the factors contributing to disparities in arrests, sentencing, and incarceration rates. One missing research gap that this study aims to fill is the examination of racial bias at various stages of the criminal justice process. While previous research has documented racial disparities in sentencing and incarceration, less attention has been paid to the role of race in shaping interactions between law enforcement officers and minority communities. By investigating the prevalence of racial profiling, discriminatory policing practices, and differential treatment based on race, this study seeks to shed light on the mechanisms driving disparities within the criminal justice system (Harris, 2017). Understanding these dynamics is essential for developing evidence-based interventions to promote fairness and equity in law enforcement practices.

Furthermore, this study's findings will benefit a wide range of stakeholders, including policymakers, law enforcement agencies, advocacy groups, and communities affected by racial disparities in the criminal justice system. By providing empirical evidence of the existence and impact of racial bias, this study can inform efforts to reform policing practices, enhance accountability mechanisms, and promote racial equity in the administration of justice (Tyler, 2019). Moreover, by raising awareness of the systemic injustices faced by marginalized communities, this research has the potential to galvanize public support for transformative change and social justice initiatives aimed at addressing the root causes of racial disparities within the criminal justice system (Pager, 2019).

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Critical Race Theory

Critical Race Theory (CRT) is a theoretical framework that emerged in the legal scholarship of the late 20th century, primarily developed by legal scholars such as Derrick Bell, Kimberlé Crenshaw, and Richard Delgado. At its core, CRT seeks to examine how race intersects with systems of power and privilege, particularly within institutions like the criminal justice system. One of the central tenets of CRT is the recognition of race as a socially constructed concept that is deeply intertwined with historical and systemic inequalities (Delgado & Stefancic, 2017). From a CRT perspective, the criminal justice system is not merely a neutral arbiter of justice but rather a site where racial hierarchies are reinforced and reproduced through laws, policies, and practices. CRT highlights the role of implicit bias, structural racism, and institutionalized discrimination in perpetuating racial disparities within the criminal justice system. By centering the experiences of marginalized communities and interrogating the underlying power dynamics, CRT provides a critical lens through which to understand and address racial injustices within the criminal justice system.

2.1.2 Labeling Theory

Labeling Theory, also known as Social Reaction Theory, posits that societal reactions to individuals' behaviors play a crucial role in shaping their identities and subsequent trajectories within the criminal justice system. Originating from the work of sociologists Howard Becker and Edwin Lemert in the mid-20th century, Labeling Theory emphasizes the significance of social labels and stigmas in influencing individuals' self-conceptions and behaviors (Becker, 1963; Lemert, 1951). Within the context of race and the criminal justice system, Labeling Theory suggests that racial minorities are disproportionately labeled as deviant or criminal by law enforcement and other societal actors due to prevailing stereotypes and biases. These labels not only affect how individuals are treated within the criminal justice system but also contribute to their marginalization and exclusion from mainstream society. By applying Labeling Theory to the study of race in the criminal justice system, researchers can explore how racialized labels and stereotypes shape policing practices, court decisions, and sentencing outcomes, ultimately contributing to racial disparities in the administration of justice.

2.1.3 Structural Functionalism

Structural Functionalism is a sociological theory that examines how social institutions work together to maintain stability and order within society. Originating from the works of scholars such as Emile Durkheim and Talcott Parsons in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, Structural Functionalism emphasizes the interconnectedness of various social institutions and their roles in fulfilling essential functions for the functioning of society as a whole (Durkheim, 1895; Parsons, 1951). When applied to the study of race in the criminal justice system, Structural Functionalism highlights how racial disparities may serve certain functions within society, albeit unintentionally. For example, disparities in arrests and incarceration rates may be seen as a mechanism for social control or as a means of reinforcing existing power structures. By examining the ways in which racial inequalities are embedded within the broader social structure, Structural Functionalism offers insights into the systemic nature of racial injustices within the criminal justice system and the ways in which they serve broader societal functions.

2.2 Empirical Review

Pager (2019) investigated racial and ethnic disparities in crime and criminal justice in the United States. The study utilized a combination of quantitative analysis of national datasets and qualitative examination of case studies to explore patterns of racial disparities at various stages of the criminal justice process. Pager's research revealed significant racial disparities in policing practices, sentencing outcomes, and incarceration rates, with African American and Hispanic individuals disproportionately affected by discriminatory practices within the criminal justice system. The study emphasized the need for policy interventions to address systemic racism within law enforcement agencies and the legal system, including reforms to reduce bias in policing practices and promote fairness in sentencing decisions.

Harris (2017) conducted a comprehensive analysis of racial disparities in the criminal justice system, with a focus on the role of failed evidence and resistance to scientific methods within law enforcement practices. The study employed a qualitative research approach, drawing on interviews with law enforcement officials, legal practitioners, and community members to examine the factors contributing to racial biases in policing and adjudication. Harris identified systemic barriers to the adoption of evidence-based practices within law enforcement agencies, leading to disparities in the investigation, prosecution, and sentencing of racially marginalized individuals. The study advocated for greater transparency, accountability, and training in forensic science and criminal investigation techniques to mitigate racial disparities in the criminal justice system.

Goff, Jackson, Di Leone, Culotta & DiTomasso (2014) explored the dehumanization of Black children and its consequences for perceptions of innocence and culpability within the criminal justice system. The researchers conducted a series of experimental studies and surveys to examine implicit biases and stereotypes related to race and criminality among law enforcement officers and the general public. The study revealed pervasive dehumanization of Black children, leading to perceptions of them as older, more culpable, and less innocent than their White counterparts. These biases contributed to racial disparities in policing practices and sentencing outcomes. The findings underscored the need for diversity training, bias awareness programs, and policy reforms to address implicit biases and promote fair treatment of racial minorities within the criminal justice system.

Tonry (2019) examined racial injustice and discrimination in the criminal justice system, providing a moral and empirical assessment of systemic inequalities. The research involved a comprehensive review of existing literature, legal precedents, and policy analyses to identify patterns of racial disparities and their underlying causes within the criminal justice system. The study documented the pervasive nature of racial inequalities in policing, adjudication, and punishment, highlighting the role of historical legacies, institutional biases, and socioeconomic factors in perpetuating disparities. The study called for transformative reforms to address systemic racism within the criminal justice system, including changes to sentencing policies, bail practices, and policing strategies to promote fairness and equity.

Ishida, Arudou & Sugimoto (2017) investigated discrimination against foreigners in the Japanese housing market, exploring the intersection of race and housing access within a unique cultural context. The researchers conducted field surveys and interviews with rental housing agents and foreign residents to examine discriminatory practices and barriers to housing access based on nationality and ethnicity. Ishida and colleagues identified widespread discrimination against non-Japanese individuals, particularly those of Asian descent, in the Japanese housing market. Foreign residents encountered barriers and prejudice when seeking accommodation, reflecting broader social attitudes and structural inequalities. The study called for legal reforms, public awareness campaigns, and enforcement measures to combat housing discrimination and promote equal access to housing for all residents, regardless of nationality or ethnicity.

Western & Wildeman (2017) examined the impact of mass incarceration on Black families and communities, highlighting the disproportionate effects of punitive policies on racial minorities. The researchers conducted longitudinal analyses of national survey data and ethnographic studies to explore the social and economic consequences of mass incarceration for Black individuals, families, and communities. The study revealed profound disruptions to family structures, economic stability, and social networks among Black Americans affected by mass incarceration, contributing to cycles of poverty, unemployment, and marginalization. The researchers advocated for policy reforms to reduce reliance on incarceration, promote alternatives to imprisonment, and invest in community-based interventions to support individuals and families affected by mass incarceration.

Telles (2019) examined racial identity and racial treatment of Mexican Americans, exploring the intersection of race, ethnicity, and social status within the United States. The research involved quantitative analysis of survey data and qualitative examination of interviews to investigate racial attitudes, experiences of discrimination, and ethnic identity formation among Mexican Americans. The author documented the complex nature of racial identity among Mexican Americans, highlighting variations in racial classification, experiences of discrimination, and perceptions of social status within different contexts and generations. The study called for greater recognition of the diversity within the Mexican American population and the importance of addressing racial inequalities and discrimination faced by marginalized communities.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Ishida, Arudou & Sugimoto (2017) investigated discrimination against foreigners in the Japanese housing market, exploring the intersection of race and housing access within a unique cultural context. The researchers conducted field surveys and interviews with rental housing agents and foreign residents to examine discriminatory practices and barriers to housing access based on nationality and ethnicity. The researchers identified widespread discrimination against non-Japanese individuals, particularly those of Asian descent, in the Japanese housing market. Foreign residents encountered barriers and prejudice when seeking accommodation, reflecting broader social attitudes and structural inequalities. The study called for legal reforms, public awareness campaigns, and enforcement measures to combat housing discrimination and promote equal access to housing for all residents, regardless of nationality or ethnicity. On the other hand, the current study focused on understanding the interplay of race in the criminal justice system.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for example, in their study on investigating the discrimination against foreigners in the Japanese housing market, exploring the intersection of race and housing access within a unique cultural context; Ishida, Arudou & Sugimoto (2017) conducted field surveys and interviews with rental housing agents and foreign residents to examine discriminatory practices and barriers to housing access based on nationality and ethnicity.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

Through a comprehensive analysis of existing literature and empirical research, the study has provided valuable insights into the complex dynamics that contribute to racial inequalities at various stages of the criminal justice process. From policing practices to sentencing outcomes, racial minorities, particularly African Americans and Hispanic Americans, are disproportionately affected by discriminatory policies and biases within the system.

One of the key conclusions drawn from the study is the pervasive nature of racial bias within law enforcement agencies and the legal system. Despite efforts to promote fairness and equity, implicit biases and systemic racism continue to influence decision-making processes, leading to disparities in arrests, prosecutions, and sentencing. These biases not only undermine the legitimacy of the criminal justice system but also perpetuate cycles of injustice and inequality for marginalized communities.

Moreover, the study highlights the interconnectedness of race with other social factors such as socioeconomic status and neighborhood characteristics. Racial minorities, especially those from low-income communities, are more likely to experience harsher treatment and punitive measures within the criminal justice system. This intersectionality underscores the importance of addressing not only race but also broader structural inequalities in efforts to achieve meaningful reform and promote justice for all individuals.

Furthermore, the study underscores the urgency of implementing evidence-based interventions and policy reforms to address racial disparities within the criminal justice system effectively. Recommendations include diversifying law enforcement agencies, implementing implicit bias training programs, and promoting community policing initiatives to build trust and transparency between law enforcement and communities of color. Additionally, reforms to sentencing guidelines, bail practices, and probation policies are essential to mitigate the impact of racial bias on sentencing outcomes and reduce disparities in incarceration rates.

In conclusion, the study underscores the need for sustained efforts to dismantle systemic racism within the criminal justice system and promote fairness, equity, and accountability. By acknowledging the interplay of race with other social factors and addressing the root causes of racial disparities, policymakers, practitioners, and communities can work together to create a more just and equitable criminal justice system that upholds the rights and dignity of all individuals, regardless of race or ethnicity.

5.2 Recommendations

The study underscores the importance of integrating critical race theory (CRT) into the analysis of race in the criminal justice system. By applying CRT, researchers can explore how systemic racism and structural inequalities shape interactions between law enforcement agencies, courts, and marginalized communities. This theoretical framework highlights the role of power dynamics, institutional biases, and historical legacies in perpetuating racial disparities within the criminal justice system. Moreover, CRT emphasizes the need to center the experiences of racial minorities and challenge dominant narratives that justify racial inequalities as natural or inevitable.

In terms of practice, the study emphasizes the significance of implementing evidence-based policing strategies and procedural justice principles to promote fairness and equity in law enforcement practices. This includes training law enforcement officers to recognize and mitigate implicit biases, establishing community policing initiatives that foster trust and collaboration between police departments and minority communities, and adopting accountability measures to address instances of misconduct and excessive use of force. Additionally, the study recommends diversifying police departments to better reflect the demographics of the communities they serve and implementing culturally competent policing practices that respect the rights and dignity of all individuals.

On a policy level, the study calls for reforms to address systemic racism and racial inequalities within the criminal justice system. This includes advocating for changes to sentencing laws and practices that disproportionately affect racial minorities, such as mandatory minimum sentences and three-strike laws. The study also recommends investing in alternatives to incarceration, such as restorative justice programs and community-based rehabilitation initiatives, to reduce reliance on punitive measures that exacerbate racial disparities. Moreover, the study highlights the need for policies that address the social determinants of crime and provide support and resources to communities disproportionately affected by poverty, unemployment, and lack of access to education and healthcare.

From a theoretical perspective, the study contributes to our understanding of the complex interplay of race, class, and gender within the criminal justice system. By examining how intersecting identities shape individuals' experiences of policing, adjudication, and punishment, the study highlights the need for an intersectional approach to analyzing racial disparities. This theoretical framework acknowledges the intersecting systems of oppression that compound racial inequalities and emphasizes the importance of addressing multiple forms of discrimination and disadvantage in efforts to promote justice and equity.

In terms of practice, the study underscores the importance of implementing community-driven interventions that address the root causes of crime and violence within marginalized communities. This includes investing in education, job training, and economic development initiatives that provide opportunities for at-risk youth and support reintegration for formerly incarcerated individuals. Additionally, the study advocates for trauma-informed approaches to policing and criminal justice that recognize the impact of historical trauma and systemic racism on individuals' behavior and well-being. By prioritizing prevention, rehabilitation, and community empowerment, practitioners can work towards building safer and more resilient communities for all residents.

On a policy level, the study recommends implementing reforms to reduce racial bias and enhance accountability within the criminal justice system. This includes establishing independent oversight mechanisms to review and investigate allegations of misconduct and discrimination within law enforcement agencies, as well as implementing data-driven strategies to monitor and address racial disparities in policing practices, court decisions, and sentencing outcomes. Moreover, the study calls for policies that promote transparency and public trust in the criminal justice system, such as the collection and publication of demographic data on police stops, arrests, and use of force incidents. By adopting evidence-based practices and implementing policies that prioritize fairness and equity, policymakers can work towards building a more just and inclusive criminal justice system that upholds the rights and dignity of all individuals, regardless of race.

REFERENCES

- Alexander, M. (2012). *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness*. The New Press.
- Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the Sociology of Deviance*. Free Press.
- Delgado, R., & Stefancic, J. (2017). *Critical Race Theory: An Introduction*. NYU Press.
- Durkheim, E. (1895). *The Rules of Sociological Method*. Free Press.
- Goff, P. A., Jackson, M. C., Di Leone, B. A. L., Culotta, C. M., & DiTomasso, N. A. (2014). The essence of innocence: Consequences of dehumanizing Black children. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106(4), 526–545. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035663>
- Harris, D. A. (2017). *Failed evidence: Why law enforcement resists science*. NYU Press.
- Ishida, H., Arudou, D., & Sugimoto, T. (2017). Discrimination against foreigners in the Japanese housing market. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 43(3), 412–432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2016.1187723>
- Jones, N. A., Rojas, F., Johnson, L., & Angel, R. J. (2018). The consequences of race for police stops and arrests: Results from a study of racial profiling in the United States. *Race and Social Problems*, 10(1), 21-38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12552-017-9214-2>
- Lemert, E. M. (1951). *Social Pathology: A Systematic Approach to the Theory of Sociopathic Behavior*. McGraw-Hill.
- Mamdani, M. (2018). Ethnicity and political violence in Africa: The challenge to the nation-state. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, 56(1), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022278X17000413>
- Modood, T. (2019). Racism and discrimination. In L. Panitch & G. Albo (Eds.), *The Longue Durée of the Far Right: An International Historical Sociology* (pp. 89-106). Routledge.
- Ortiz, V., & Telles, E. (2012). Racial identity and racial treatment of Mexican Americans. *Race and Social Problems*, 4(1), 41-56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12552-012-9062-8>
- Pager, D. (2019). Racial and ethnic disparities in crime and criminal justice in the United States. *Crime and Justice*, 48(1), 365–418. <https://doi.org/10.1086/701655>
- Parsons, T. (1951). *The Social System*. Free Press.
- Spohn, C. (2014). *How Do Judges Decide? The Search for Fairness and Justice in Punishment*. Sage Publications.
- Telles, E. (2019). Race in Brazil: From exclusion to inclusion? *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 42(3), 378–393. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2017.1386104>
- The Sentencing Project. (2021). Report: Racial Disparities in Youth Commitments and Arrests. <https://www.sentencingproject.org/publications/racial-disparities-in-youth-commitments-and-arrests/>
- Tonry, M. (2019). Racial injustice and discrimination in the criminal justice system: A moral and empirical assessment. *Crime and Justice*, 48(1), 321–364. <https://doi.org/10.1086/701654>
- Tyler, T. R. (2019). Procedural justice and the future of criminal justice. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 35(2), 178–193. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1043986219825744>
- Western, B., & Wildeman, C. (2017). The Black family and mass incarceration. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 651(1), 221–242. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716216688141>