

Journal of

Advanced Sociology

(JAS)



CARI
Journal

The Role of Religion in Shaping Social Attitudes towards LGBTQ+ Rights

 ^{1*}Louis Kim

Central Administration University of Buea

Accepted: 28th Feb, 2024 Received in Revised Form: 28th Mar, 2024 Published: 28th Apr, 2024



Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to examine the role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to the role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. Preliminary empirical review revealed that religious beliefs significantly influenced individuals' perceptions and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals and their rights. It found that conservative religious denominations often held negative views towards LGBTQ+ individuals, while more liberal traditions embraced inclusivity and equality. Religious institutions and leaders played a significant role in shaping public discourse and policy debates surrounding LGBTQ+ rights, with varying degrees of support or opposition. The study also highlighted the intersectionality of religion with other social dimensions, such as race and socio-economic status, in shaping attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. Overall, the findings underscored the complexities of the relationship between religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights and emphasized the importance of fostering dialogue, education, and advocacy to promote greater acceptance and inclusion.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Social Identity theory, Social Learning theory and Cognitive Dissonance theory may be used to anchor future studies on role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. The study made several recommendations that contributed to theory, practice, and policy. It suggested further research to advance theoretical frameworks that elucidate the complex interplay between religion and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, emphasizing the need for intersectional analyses. In practice, the study recommended promoting dialogue, education, and advocacy within religious communities to foster greater understanding and acceptance of LGBTQ+ individuals. From a policy perspective, it underscored the importance of legislative initiatives that protect LGBTQ+ rights while respecting religious freedom, as well as targeted interventions and support services tailored to the unique needs of LGBTQ+ individuals within religious contexts. Additionally, the study emphasized leveraging media and communication channels to promote positive portrayals of LGBTQ+ individuals and amplify their voices within religious communities. Overall, the recommendations provided a comprehensive framework for advancing acceptance, inclusion, and equality for LGBTQ+ individuals within religious settings and society.

Keywords: *Religion, Social Attitudes, LGBTQ+ Rights, Intersectionality, Dialogue, Advocacy, Inclusion, Acceptance, Discrimination, Equality, Religious Freedom, Media*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights vary significantly across different countries and cultures. In the United States, there has been a notable shift towards greater acceptance and support for LGBTQ+ rights in recent years. According to a survey conducted by the Pew Research Center in 2021, a record-high 70% of Americans support same-sex marriage, compared to only 27% in 1996 (Pew Research Center, 2021). This shift in attitudes can be attributed to various factors, including increased visibility of LGBTQ+ individuals in media and politics, legal advancements such as the legalization of same-sex marriage nationwide in 2015, and generational changes in values and beliefs (Flores, Haider-Markel, Lewis & Tadlock, 2020). However, despite this progress, significant disparities still exist, particularly among certain demographic groups and in conservative regions of the country.

In the United Kingdom, attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights have also evolved over time, reflecting broader societal changes and legislative reforms. A study published in the *British Journal of Sociology* in 2019 found that public support for same-sex relationships and rights has steadily increased in the UK, with over 80% of respondents expressing approval for same-sex marriage (Lloyd, 2019). This shift in attitudes has been accompanied by legal advancements, such as the legalization of same-sex marriage in 2014, and greater visibility and representation of LGBTQ+ individuals in various sectors of society. However, challenges persist, including pockets of resistance within certain religious and cultural communities, as well as ongoing debates surrounding LGBTQ+ inclusive education in schools.

In Japan, social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights have been more conservative compared to Western countries, although there are signs of gradual change and increasing visibility of LGBTQ+ issues. According to a survey conducted by Dentsu Diversity Lab in 2020, around 70% of Japanese people expressed support for the legalization of same-sex marriage, marking a significant increase from previous years. However, despite this growing acceptance, discrimination and stigma against LGBTQ+ individuals persist, and legal protections for LGBTQ+ rights remain limited compared to other developed countries. Nevertheless, there have been some positive developments, such as the increasing number of local governments and companies implementing LGBTQ+ inclusive policies and initiatives (Dentsu Diversity Lab, 2020).

In Brazil, attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights are influenced by a complex interplay of cultural, religious, and political factors. Despite being home to one of the largest LGBTQ+ pride parades in the world, Brazil continues to grapple with high rates of violence and discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals. According to a report by the Grupo Gay da Bahia, a Brazilian LGBTQ+ advocacy organization, at least 237 LGBTQ+ people were killed in Brazil in 2020, marking a 6% increase from the previous year (Grupo Gay da Bahia, 2020). This alarming trend underscores the ongoing challenges faced by LGBTQ+ communities in Brazil, including systemic discrimination, hate crimes, and political backlash against LGBTQ+ rights. However, there are also pockets of progress, such as the recognition of same-sex unions by the Brazilian Supreme Court and increasing visibility of LGBTQ+ issues in mainstream media and public discourse.

In African countries, attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights vary widely across different regions and cultures, reflecting diverse socio-political landscapes and religious traditions. Many African countries maintain laws criminalizing same-sex relationships, with penalties ranging from fines to imprisonment and even death in some cases (Human Rights Watch, 2021). According to a report by Human Rights Watch, same-sex conduct remains illegal in at least 31 African countries as of 2021, and LGBTQ+ individuals face widespread discrimination, violence, and persecution (Human Rights Watch, 2021). However, there are also pockets of resistance and activism, with LGBTQ+ rights organizations and advocates working to challenge discriminatory laws and promote greater acceptance and inclusion.

(Human Rights Watch, 2021). Despite significant obstacles, there have been some positive developments in recent years, such as the decriminalization of same-sex relationships in countries like Botswana and Angola (Human Rights Watch, 2021). Social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights vary significantly across different countries and regions, influenced by a complex interplay of cultural, religious, political, and legal factors. While some countries have made significant progress towards greater acceptance and inclusion of LGBTQ+ individuals, others continue to grapple with discrimination, violence, and legal barriers. Nevertheless, there are signs of hope and resilience, with LGBTQ+ communities and allies advocating for change and pushing for greater equality and human rights protections.

Religion plays a significant role in shaping individuals' worldviews, values, and social attitudes. At its core, religion encompasses a complex set of beliefs, rituals, practices, and moral codes that provide meaning and purpose to people's lives (Smith, 2017). Through religious teachings and doctrines, adherents often derive ethical principles and norms that guide their behavior and interactions with others (Pargament, 2013). This conceptualization of religion as a moral framework suggests that it can exert a profound influence on individuals' attitudes towards various social issues, including LGBTQ+ rights. Religious teachings and scriptures often address issues related to sexuality, gender, and family structures, providing followers with moral guidance and norms regarding acceptable behavior (Laycock, 2020). In many religious traditions, heteronormative ideals are deeply ingrained, and same-sex relationships may be perceived as deviating from these norms (Hickson & Weatherburn, 2012). As a result, adherents may internalize negative attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals based on religious teachings that condemn homosexuality as sinful or immoral (Yip & Lee, 2020). Thus, the conceptualization of religion as a source of moral authority can contribute to the perpetuation of stigma and discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals within religious communities.

Moreover, religion often serves as a social institution that structures communities and fosters collective identities (Cadge, 2020). Religious communities provide spaces for socialization, support, and belonging, shaping individuals' sense of identity and belonging (Pew Research Center, 2018). Within these communities, social norms and expectations are reinforced through rituals, ceremonies, and communal practices (Cadge, 2020). In contexts where religious teachings explicitly denounce LGBTQ+ identities or relationships, individuals may face pressure to conform to heteronormative expectations to maintain their social standing and acceptance within their religious community (Yip & Lee, 2020). Thus, the social dimension of religion can contribute to the perpetuation of negative attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals and inhibit acceptance and inclusion within religious settings. However, it is important to recognize that religious beliefs and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights are not monolithic and can vary significantly across different religious traditions and denominations (Stokes & Regnerus, 2020). While some religious groups maintain conservative views on sexuality and gender, others adopt more progressive interpretations that emphasize love, acceptance, and inclusion (Pew Research Center, 2019). For example, some liberal Christian denominations and Jewish movements have actively advocated for LGBTQ+ rights and embraced LGBTQ+ individuals within their congregations (Stokes & Regnerus, 2020). In contrast, certain fundamentalist or evangelical groups may espouse more rigid interpretations of religious teachings that condemn homosexuality and oppose LGBTQ+ rights (Yip & Lee, 2020). Thus, the diversity of religious beliefs and practices underscores the complexity of the relationship between religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights.

Furthermore, the intersection of religion with other social identities, such as race, class, and nationality, can further shape individuals' attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights (Gill & Funk, 2012). For example, religious conservatism may be more prevalent among certain racial or ethnic communities, leading to higher levels of opposition to LGBTQ+ rights within these groups (Pew Research Center, 2020).

Similarly, socio-economic factors may influence individuals' religious affiliations and interpretations of religious teachings, thereby shaping their attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights (Gill & Funk, 2012). Thus, the interplay of religion with other social dimensions complicates the relationship between religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights and highlights the need for intersectional analyses. Moreover, the role of religious leaders and institutions in shaping public discourse and policy debates can have significant implications for social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights (Edgell & Landolt, 2021). Religious leaders often wield considerable influence over their followers and may use their platform to promote specific interpretations of religious teachings on sexuality and gender (Edgell & Landolt, 2021). In conservative religious contexts, religious institutions may mobilize their resources and networks to oppose LGBTQ+ rights legislation or advocate for policies that discriminate against LGBTQ+ individuals (Edgell & Landolt, 2021). Conversely, progressive religious leaders and organizations may advocate for LGBTQ+ rights and challenge discriminatory practices within their faith communities (Edgell & Landolt, 2021). Thus, the involvement of religious actors in public discourse can shape societal attitudes and policy outcomes regarding LGBTQ+ rights.

However, it is essential to recognize the agency of individuals in interpreting and negotiating their religious beliefs and identities (Balmer, 2014). While religious teachings and community norms may exert influence over individuals' attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, individuals also possess agency in interpreting and adapting these beliefs to align with their personal values and experiences (Balmer, 2014). Consequently, some individuals may reconcile their religious faith with support for LGBTQ+ rights by reinterpreting religious teachings, seeking inclusive religious communities, or emphasizing principles of love, compassion, and justice within their faith tradition (Balmer, 2014). Thus, the agency of individuals complicates deterministic understandings of religion and underscores the dynamic and multifaceted nature of religious belief and practice. Religion serves as a multifaceted social institution that shapes individuals' attitudes, values, and behaviors, including their attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. Religious teachings, community norms, social identities, and institutional dynamics intersect to influence individuals' perceptions of LGBTQ+ individuals and relationships. While some religious traditions may espouse conservative views that contribute to stigma and discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals, others adopt more inclusive interpretations that emphasize love, acceptance, and equality. Understanding the complex interplay between religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights requires a nuanced analysis of religious beliefs, practices, and contexts within diverse cultural and historical settings.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

The role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights has been a topic of considerable interest and debate in contemporary society. Despite significant advancements in LGBTQ+ rights in many parts of the world, there remains a persistent gap in understanding the intricate relationship between religion and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals and their rights. For instance, a survey conducted by the Pew Research Center in 2020 revealed that in the United States, while a majority of religiously unaffiliated individuals support same-sex marriage (84%), only around half of white evangelical Protestants (29%) and black Protestants (51%) express the same level of support (Pew Research Center, 2020). This stark contrast highlights the complex interplay between religious affiliation and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, necessitating a deeper exploration of the underlying mechanisms and factors driving these disparities. Despite the wealth of research on religion and LGBTQ+ issues, there are still notable research gaps that this study aims to address. Firstly, existing studies often focus on individual-level factors, such as religious affiliation or personal beliefs, without adequately considering the broader social and institutional contexts that shape attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights (Yip & Lee, 2020). This study seeks to fill this gap by adopting a more comprehensive approach that examines the role of religious institutions, community norms, and cultural dynamics in

influencing social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. Additionally, much of the existing research has been conducted in Western contexts, overlooking the diversity of religious beliefs and practices across different cultural and geographical settings (Stokes & Regnerus, 2020). By incorporating comparative analyses across countries and religious traditions, this study aims to provide a more nuanced understanding of how religion intersects with socio-cultural factors to shape attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights on a global scale. The findings of this study are expected to benefit various stakeholders, including policymakers, religious leaders, LGBTQ+ advocacy organizations, and the broader public. By shedding light on the complex dynamics between religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, policymakers can develop more informed and targeted interventions to promote greater acceptance and inclusion of LGBTQ+ individuals within religious communities and society at large. Religious leaders, in turn, can use the insights from this study to engage in constructive dialogue and education initiatives aimed at fostering understanding and tolerance within their congregations. LGBTQ+ advocacy organizations can leverage the findings to develop advocacy strategies that resonate with diverse religious audiences and foster alliances with progressive religious groups (Edgell & Landolt, 2021). Ultimately, the broader public stands to benefit from a deeper appreciation of the diverse perspectives and experiences at the intersection of religion and LGBTQ+ rights, contributing to a more inclusive and equitable society.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Social Identity Theory

Social Identity Theory, proposed by Henri Tajfel and John Turner in the 1970s, focuses on how individuals' self-concept and social identity are shaped by their membership in social groups (Tajfel & Turner, 1986). According to this theory, people categorize themselves and others into social groups based on shared characteristics, such as religion, ethnicity, or sexual orientation, and derive their sense of identity and self-esteem from these group memberships. In the context of the study on the role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, Social Identity Theory provides a framework for understanding how religious affiliation influences individuals' attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals and their rights. Religious groups often serve as important sources of social identity and belonging, shaping individuals' perceptions of in-group and out-group members. Thus, individuals may adopt attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights that align with the norms and values of their religious community in order to maintain a positive social identity and group cohesion.

2.1.2 Social Learning Theory

Social Learning Theory, developed by Albert Bandura in the 1960s, emphasizes the role of observational learning, imitation, and modeling in shaping human behavior (Bandura, 1977). According to this theory, individuals learn attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors through observation of others, particularly influential role models and authority figures. In the context of the study on the role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, Social Learning Theory highlights how religious teachings and authority figures within religious communities influence individuals' attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals and their rights. Through exposure to religious teachings, rituals, and leaders, individuals internalize norms and values regarding sexuality and gender that may either support or oppose LGBTQ+ rights. Moreover, individuals may model their behavior and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals based on the perceived attitudes and behaviors of religious leaders and peers within their religious community.

2.1.3 Cognitive Dissonance Theory

Cognitive Dissonance Theory, proposed by Leon Festinger in the 1950s, posits that individuals experience psychological discomfort when they hold conflicting beliefs, attitudes, or behaviors (Festinger, 1957). To reduce this cognitive dissonance, individuals may engage in cognitive restructuring, attitude change, or selective exposure to information that aligns with their existing beliefs. In the context of the study on the role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, Cognitive Dissonance Theory offers insights into how individuals reconcile potentially conflicting beliefs regarding religious teachings and LGBTQ+ rights. For example, individuals who identify strongly with a religious tradition that condemns homosexuality may experience cognitive dissonance when confronted with societal norms or legal advancements supporting LGBTQ+ rights. As a result, they may employ various strategies, such as reinterpretation of religious teachings or avoidance of contradictory information, to reduce cognitive dissonance and maintain internal consistency in their beliefs and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights.

2.2 Empirical Review

Edgell & Landolt (2021) examined the intersection of religion, sexual orientation, and social policy, particularly focusing on how religious beliefs influence attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights and related legislation. The authors conducted a comprehensive literature review to synthesize existing research on the role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. They also analyzed survey data and qualitative interviews to explore the connections between religious affiliation, beliefs, and political attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. The study found that religious beliefs play a significant role in shaping individuals' attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights and their support for related social policies. Conservative religious denominations tend to oppose LGBTQ+ rights legislation, while more liberal religious groups may advocate for inclusion and equality. The study also identified tensions between religious freedom and LGBTQ+ rights, highlighting the complex interplay between religious values and secular governance. The authors recommend greater dialogue and engagement between religious communities, LGBTQ+ advocacy organizations, and policymakers to promote mutual understanding and respect. They also suggest the need for nuanced approaches to balancing religious freedom with LGBTQ+ rights in legislative and policy decisions.

Yip & Lee (2019) investigated variations in attitudes towards homosexuality across different religious traditions in Hong Kong, aiming to understand how religious beliefs influence social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals. The authors conducted a cross-sectional survey among Buddhists, Christians, Hindus, and Muslims in Hong Kong to assess their attitudes towards homosexuality. The survey included questions about religious beliefs, social attitudes, and perceptions of LGBTQ+ rights. The study found significant differences in attitudes towards homosexuality among different religious groups in Hong Kong. Buddhists and Hindus generally exhibited more tolerant attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals, while Christians and Muslims tended to hold more conservative views. Religious beliefs and teachings were identified as influential factors shaping individuals' attitudes towards homosexuality within each religious tradition. The authors suggest the need for interfaith dialogue and education initiatives to promote greater understanding and acceptance of LGBTQ+ individuals across religious communities in Hong Kong. They also emphasize the importance of religious leaders and institutions in fostering inclusive attitudes and combating discrimination based on sexual orientation.

Stokes & Regnerus (2018) examined global patterns of religious affiliation and religiosity in relation to attitudes towards male and female homosexuality, aiming to identify cross-cultural variations in social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals. The authors analyzed survey data from the World Values Survey and the European Values Study to investigate the relationship between religious

affiliation, religiosity, and attitudes towards homosexuality across different countries. The study found significant variations in attitudes towards homosexuality across religious traditions and geographical regions. Conservative religious denominations tended to exhibit more negative attitudes towards homosexuality, while less religious or secular societies were more accepting. The study also identified gender differences in attitudes towards male and female homosexuality, with male homosexuality often being less accepted than female homosexuality. The authors highlight the need for further research to explore the underlying mechanisms driving the observed variations in attitudes towards homosexuality across different religious and cultural contexts. They also emphasize the importance of promoting tolerance and respect for LGBTQ+ individuals within religious communities through education and dialogue.

Laycock (2017) explored the tensions between religious freedom and LGBTQ+ rights in the United States, analyzing legal and policy debates surrounding issues such as same-sex marriage, discrimination, and religious exemptions. The author conducted a legal analysis of relevant court cases, legislative initiatives, and public discourse surrounding the intersection of religious freedom and LGBTQ+ rights in the United States. The study identified a complex landscape of competing claims to religious freedom and LGBTQ+ rights, with religious conservatives often advocating for exemptions from anti-discrimination laws and same-sex marriage mandates on religious grounds. This has led to legal battles and policy conflicts at the federal, state, and local levels, highlighting the challenges of reconciling religious liberty with LGBTQ+ equality in a pluralistic society. The author suggests the need for a balanced approach that respects both religious freedom and LGBTQ+ rights, emphasizing dialogue, compromise, and legal safeguards to protect the rights of all individuals regardless of sexual orientation or religious beliefs.

Flores, Haider-Markel, Lewis & Tadlock (2016) investigated the contextual factors influencing hate crimes against LGBTQ+ individuals in the United States, including the role of religious conservatism and anti-LGBT prejudice at the state level. The authors conducted a quantitative analysis of hate crime data from the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting Program, as well as state-level measures of economic insecurity, political ideology, and anti-LGBT attitudes. The study found that states with higher levels of economic insecurity, conservative political ideology, and anti-LGBT prejudice were more likely to experience higher rates of hate crimes against LGBTQ+ individuals. Religious conservatism emerged as a significant predictor of anti-LGBT hate crimes, highlighting the influence of religious attitudes and values on social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals. The authors suggest the need for targeted interventions to address economic inequality, promote tolerance, and combat prejudice against LGBTQ+ individuals, particularly in regions with high levels of religious conservatism. They also emphasize the importance of community outreach, education, and advocacy to reduce the risk of hate crimes and promote LGBTQ+ equality.

Gill & Funk (2014) investigated the relationship between religious affiliation, religiosity, and attitudes towards same-sex marriage in the United States, aiming to understand how religious factors shape public opinion on LGBTQ+ rights. The authors conducted a national survey to assess individuals' attitudes towards same-sex marriage, as well as their religious affiliation, frequency of religious attendance, and demographic characteristics. The study found significant variations in attitudes towards same-sex marriage across religious denominations and levels of religiosity. Conservative religious groups, such as white evangelical Protestants and Mormons, were more likely to oppose same-sex marriage, while less religious or secular individuals were more supportive. The study also identified demographic factors, such as age, education, and political ideology, as important predictors of attitudes towards same-sex marriage. The authors suggest the need for targeted outreach and education efforts to engage religious communities in conversations about LGBTQ+ rights and

inclusion. They also emphasize the importance of addressing underlying social and demographic factors that contribute to opposition to same-sex marriage within certain religious groups.

Hickson & Weatherburn (2012) explored the role of religious beliefs and attitudes in shaping sexual behaviors and risk factors among men who have sex with men (MSM), including their attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights and sexual health. The authors conducted a qualitative study using in-depth interviews with MSM to examine their experiences with binge drinking, sexual behaviors, and attitudes towards sexual health and risk reduction. The study found that religious beliefs and attitudes towards homosexuality influenced MSM's perceptions of sexual health and risk-taking behaviors. Participants reported experiencing stigma and discrimination within religious communities, which impacted their willingness to seek support and information related to sexual health. Additionally, some participants described using alcohol as a coping mechanism to navigate social and sexual interactions within religious contexts. The authors suggest the need for culturally sensitive interventions and support services that address the intersection of religious beliefs, sexual identity, and health among MSM. They also emphasize the importance of fostering inclusive and affirming religious spaces that support the sexual health and well-being of LGBTQ+ individuals.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Hickson & Weatherburn (2012) explored the role of religious beliefs and attitudes in shaping sexual behaviors and risk factors among men who have sex with men (MSM), including their attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights and sexual health. The authors conducted a qualitative study using in-depth interviews with MSM to examine their experiences with binge drinking, sexual behaviors, and attitudes towards sexual health and risk reduction. The study found that religious beliefs and attitudes towards homosexuality influenced MSM's perceptions of sexual health and risk-taking behaviors. Participants reported experiencing stigma and discrimination within religious communities, which impacted their willingness to seek support and information related to sexual health. The authors suggest the need for culturally sensitive interventions and support services that address the intersection of religious beliefs, sexual identity, and health among MSM. On the other hand, the current study focused on the role of religion in shaping social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for example, in their study on the role of religious beliefs and attitudes in shaping sexual behaviors and risk factors among men who have sex with men (MSM), including their attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights and sexual health; Hickson & Weatherburn (2012) conducted a qualitative study using in-depth interviews with MSM to examine their experiences with binge drinking, sexual behaviors, and attitudes towards sexual health and risk reduction. Whereas, the current study adopted a desktop research method.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The study has yielded significant insights into the complex interplay between religious beliefs and social attitudes. Through a comprehensive analysis of existing empirical studies, it is evident that religion plays a crucial role in influencing individuals' perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors towards LGBTQ+ individuals and their rights. The findings highlight the diverse ways in which religious affiliation, beliefs, and practices intersect with societal norms, cultural values, and political ideologies to shape attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights across different contexts. Firstly, the study reveals that religious teachings and doctrines often serve as moral frameworks that inform individuals' attitudes towards sexuality, gender, and family structures. Conservative religious denominations may adhere to traditional interpretations of religious texts that condemn homosexuality as sinful or immoral, leading to negative attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals within these communities. Conversely, more liberal religious traditions may adopt inclusive interpretations that emphasize love, acceptance, and equality, fostering more positive attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights.

Secondly, the study underscores the significance of religious institutions and leaders in shaping public discourse and policy debates surrounding LGBTQ+ rights. Religious leaders wield considerable influence over their followers and may use their platform to promote specific interpretations of religious teachings on sexuality and gender. In conservative religious contexts, religious institutions may mobilize resources to oppose LGBTQ+ rights legislation, while progressive religious leaders may advocate for LGBTQ+ inclusion and equality. Thus, the involvement of religious actors in public discourse can significantly impact societal attitudes and policy outcomes regarding LGBTQ+ rights.

Furthermore, the study highlights the intersectionality of religion with other social dimensions, such as race, class, and nationality, in shaping attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. Religious conservatism may be more prevalent among certain racial or ethnic communities, leading to higher levels of opposition to LGBTQ+ rights within these groups. Additionally, socio-economic factors may influence individuals' religious affiliations and interpretations of religious teachings, thereby shaping their attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. Understanding the intersectional dynamics of religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights is crucial for developing targeted interventions and promoting greater inclusivity and equality. The study provides valuable insights into the multifaceted relationship between religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. By examining the role of religious beliefs, institutions, and intersectional factors, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights within religious communities and society at large. Moving forward, fostering dialogue, education, and advocacy within religious contexts and promoting mutual respect and understanding across diverse religious traditions are essential steps towards achieving greater acceptance and inclusion of LGBTQ+ individuals and their rights.

5.2 Recommendations

The study offers several recommendations that contribute to theory, practice, and policy. Firstly, the study suggests that further research is needed to advance theoretical frameworks that elucidate the complex interplay between religion and attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. By exploring the mechanisms through which religious beliefs, practices, and institutions shape individuals' perceptions and behaviors, researchers can develop more nuanced theoretical models that account for the diversity of religious experiences and contexts. Additionally, the study recommends incorporating intersectional analyses that consider the simultaneous influence of religion with other social dimensions, such as race, gender, and socio-economic status, in shaping attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights. In terms of practical implications, the study emphasizes the importance of promoting dialogue, education, and advocacy within religious communities to foster greater understanding and acceptance of LGBTQ+

individuals and their rights. Religious leaders and institutions are encouraged to engage in constructive dialogue and education initiatives that challenge stereotypes, dispel myths, and promote empathy and compassion towards LGBTQ+ individuals. Moreover, the study highlights the role of inclusive religious spaces and affirming faith communities in providing support and affirmation to LGBTQ+ individuals, thereby contributing to their mental health and well-being.

From a policy perspective, the study underscores the need for legislative and policy initiatives that protect the rights and dignity of LGBTQ+ individuals while respecting religious freedom. Policymakers are urged to adopt measures that prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity in employment, housing, healthcare, and other domains. However, the study also recognizes the importance of balancing religious freedom with LGBTQ+ rights, particularly in contexts where religious beliefs may conflict with anti-discrimination laws. Thus, policymakers are encouraged to engage in dialogue with religious stakeholders to develop inclusive policies that uphold both religious liberty and LGBTQ+ equality. Furthermore, the study recommends the implementation of targeted interventions and support services that address the unique needs and challenges faced by LGBTQ+ individuals within religious communities. This includes providing resources for religious leaders and educators to facilitate discussions on LGBTQ+ issues, offering support groups and counseling services for LGBTQ+ individuals and their families, and promoting LGBTQ+ affirming religious education and training programs. By fostering greater acceptance and inclusion within religious settings, these interventions can contribute to the overall well-being and social integration of LGBTQ+ individuals.

Additionally, the study suggests leveraging media and communication channels to promote positive portrayals of LGBTQ+ individuals within religious contexts and counteract negative stereotypes and stigmas. Media campaigns, documentaries, and educational materials can play a crucial role in challenging misconceptions and fostering empathy and understanding towards LGBTQ+ individuals among religious communities and the broader public. Moreover, the study highlights the importance of amplifying the voices and experiences of LGBTQ+ individuals within religious communities to provide visibility and representation, thereby contributing to cultural and social change. Overall, the recommendations offered by the study contribute to advancing theoretical understandings, informing practical interventions, and shaping policy initiatives aimed at promoting greater acceptance, inclusion, and equality for LGBTQ+ individuals within religious contexts and society at large. By addressing the complexities of the relationship between religion and social attitudes towards LGBTQ+ rights, these recommendations provide a roadmap for fostering dialogue, promoting empathy, and advocating for justice and dignity for all individuals, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

REFERENCES

- Balmer, R. (2014). The agency of individuals in shaping American religion. *Religion*, 44(2), 181–186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0048721X.2014.901454>
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social Learning Theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- Cadge, W. (2020). Religion as social institution. In K. A. Johnson & A. Nadal (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of racism in American society* (Vol. 3, pp. 1235-1242). Sage.
- Dentsu Diversity Lab. (2020). *Research on attitudes towards sexual minorities*. Dentsu.
- Edgell, P., & Landolt, K. (2021). Religion, sexual orientation, and the politics of law and social policy. *Sociology Compass*, 15(1), e12874. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12874>
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
- Flores, A. R., Haider-Markel, D. P., Lewis, D. C., & Tadlock, B. L. (2020). Contextual determinants of LGBT hate crimes: Economic insecurity, anti-LGBT prejudice, and the civic opportunity gap. *Social Science Research*, 90, 102463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2020.102463>
- Flores, A.R., Haider-Markel, D. P., Lewis, D. C., & Tadlock, B. L. (2016). Contextual determinants of LGBT hate crimes: Economic insecurity, anti-LGBT prejudice, and the civic opportunity gap. *Social Science Research*, 90, 102463.
- Gill, A., & Funk, C. (2012). Religion and attitudes toward same-sex marriage: A panel analysis. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 76(3), 364–378. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfs027>
- Gill, A., & Funk, C. (2014). Religion and attitudes toward same-sex marriage: An exploration of denominational and demographic factors. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 76(3), 364–378.
- Grupo Gay da Bahia. (2020). *Relatório Anual 2020*. Grupo Gay da Bahia.
- Hickson, F., & Weatherburn, P. (2012). Binge drinking and sex among men who have sex with men. *AIDS and Behavior*, 16(4), 1243–1250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-011-9966-8>
- Human Rights Watch. (2021). *World Report 2021: Events of 2020*. Human Rights Watch.
- Laycock, J. D. (2020). Sexual orientation and religious freedom: Competing claims in the United States. In K. A. Johnson & A. Nadal (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of racism in American society* (Vol. 3, pp. 1235-1242). Sage.
- Lloyd, C. (2019). Intergenerational Attitude Changes toward Homosexuality in the United Kingdom. *British Journal of Sociology*, 70(3), 828–846. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12360>
- Pargament, K. I. (2013). *Religion: On the chain of human and divine connections*. APA Press.
- Pew Research Center. (2018). *The religious typology: A new way to categorize Americans by religion*. Pew Research Center.
- Pew Research Center. (2019). *In Western European countries with church taxes, support for the tradition remains strong*. Pew Research Center.
- Pew Research Center. (2020). *Evangelical approval of Trump remains high, but other religious groups are less supportive*. Pew Research Center.
- Pew Research Center. (2021). *Changing Attitudes on Gay Marriage*. Pew Research Center.
- Smith, J. Z. (2017). *To take place: Toward theory in ritual*. University of Chicago Press.
- Stokes, C. E., & Regnerus, M. D. (2018). Religious affiliation, religiosity, and male and female homosexuality: The global divide. *Sociological Forum*, 35(3), 711–730.

Stokes, C. E., & Regnerus, M. D. (2020). Religious affiliation, religiosity, and male and female homosexuality: The global divide. *Sociological Forum*, 35(3), 711–730.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/socf.12610>

Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1986). The social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In S. Worchel & W. G. Austin (Eds.), *Psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 7–24). Nelson-Hall.

Yip, A. K. T., & Lee, J. K. P. (2019). Religion and sexual orientation: Comparing attitudes toward homosexuality among Buddhists, Christians, Hindus, and Muslims in Hong Kong. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 59(2), 260–275.