Journal of

Environment

(JE)

Advanced Carbon Management: Innovations in Materials and Process Integration for Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU)

CARI Journals

Journal of Environment
ISSN 2789-3863 (Online)
Vol. 4, Issue No. 2, pp 1 – 22, 2024
www.carijournals.org

Advanced Carbon Management: Innovations in Materials and Process Integration for Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU)

Md Amirul Islam

Office of Research Integrity and Publications,
VWS Bangladesh, 173 Morkun (29th Industrial Plot), T&T Gate Tongi, 1730, Bangladesh.
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5821-3162

Accepted: 12th Mar 2024 Received in Revised Form: 12th Apr 2024 Published: 12th May 2024

Abstract

Purpose: This article systematically explores the complex landscape of Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) technologies, emphasizing their critical role in global climate change mitigation. The article aims to provide a strategic framework for stakeholders to understand the integration of CCSU technologies into the broader energy and environmental policy landscape.

Methodology: Through an integrated approach, this study examines the latest technological advancements in CCSU, studies the global regulatory frameworks influencing these technologies, and analyzes the economic factors that drive or obstruct their adoption. It also involves evaluating the role of international cooperation in standardizing and disseminating best practices for CCSU implementations worldwide.

Findings: The study highlights the profound impact of legislative and financial mechanisms on the pace and scope of CCSU technology deployment. It discusses the potential of innovative materials and processes to reduce costs and increase the efficacy of carbon capture and storage. Furthermore, the review provides valuable insights into the current state and future prospects of CCSU technologies.

Unique contribution to theory, policy and practice: The article emphasizes the necessity of robust research and development, supported by a conducive policy environment and strategic economic incentives, to enhance the efficiency, scalability, and commercial viability of CCSU technologies. It concludes with targeted recommendations for policymakers, suggesting ways to refine regulatory approaches and foster market conditions conducive to rapid CCSU adoption.

Keywords: *Carbon Capture, Storage And Utilization (Ccsu), Climate Change Mitigation, Regulatory Frameworks, Economic Incentives, Technological Advancements, International Cooperation, Policy Recommendations.*

1. INTRODUCTION

As global industrialization continues to escalate, so too does the concentration of carbon dioxide (CO_2) in the Earth's atmosphere, leading to significant environmental and climatic challenges. The need for effective carbon management has never been more urgent, as the implications of rising CO_2 levels from climate change to ocean acidification, pose profound risks to ecosystems and human societies alike. In this context, Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) emerges as a critical technological suite that offers a pathway to mitigate these impacts by reducing the amount of CO_2 released into the atmosphere. (Bhavsar et al., 2023)

1.1. Background Information

The most common challenge in carbon management is dictated by the diverse dimensions of existing carbon capture, storage, and utilization (CCSU) technologies, particularly in terms of their efficiency, cost, and scalability. Kamolov et al. (2023) emphasise that despite significant advancements in post-combustion carbon capture (PCC), deployment at the necessary scale to meet global climate goals remains unachieved, highlighting inefficiencies and high operational costs as principal restraints (Kamolov, Turakulov, Rejabov, & Díaz-Sainz, 2023). Furthermore, Dalei and Joshi (2022) point out that the energy penalties associated with current CCSU technologies severely impede their widespread adoption, compounded by ongoing concerns regarding the long-term integrity and monitoring of stored CO_2, which is crucial to prevent counterproductive leakage (Dalei & Joshi, 2022).

The incorporation of CCSU systems into extant industrial infrastructures is fraught with technical and regulatory hurdles. These encompass the retrofitting challenges in aged facilities and the necessity for novel governance frameworks tailored to manage these emergent technologies, alongside the critical issue of societal acceptance, especially in locales proposed for underground CO_2 storage (Hetti, Karunathilake, & Chhipi-Shrestha, 2020). Moreover, the potential of transforming captured CO_2 into commercially viable products ranging from construction materials to fuels and chemicals remains insufficiently tapped and poorly integrated, presenting a significant scope for innovative exploration (Zainal et al., 2024).

Given these complexities, there is a critical demand for novel materials and processes that could fundamentally alter the CCSU landscape. Innovations in materials science could facilitate the development of more efficient absorbents, membranes, or catalysts, potentially reducing the costs and enhancing the efficacy of CO_2 capture. Concurrently, advancements in process integration could yield more energy-efficient systems that not only align with existing industrial setups but also foster a circular economy by converting captured CO_2 into valuable end products (Turakulov et al., 2024).

1.2. Scope and Purpose

The inevitable rise in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2) and other greenhouse gases is a principal driver of global climate change, presenting critical challenges for environmental sustainability and human well-being (Bhavsar et al., 2023). Carbon capture, storage, and utilization (CCSU) technologies have emerged as essential strategies in mitigating climate impact by effectively managing and reducing carbon emissions. This article aims to explore the innovations in CCSU, with a particular focus on advancements in materials science and process integration that enhance the efficiency and economic viability of these technologies.

The scope of this review encompasses examination of recent developments and emerging trends in CCSU materials, including novel absorbents, adsorbents, membranes, and catalysts. It explores into the integration of these materials into systems and processes that optimize carbon capture, storage, and conversion functionalities. By synthesizing current research, this article intends to provide a comprehensive overview of state-of-the-art technologies and methodologies, identify gaps in existing research, and suggest directions for future investigations (Dalei & Joshi, 2022; Mualim et al., 2022).

It is expected to gain a nuanced understanding of the latest material innovations and their applications within CCSU systems. This includes insights into how these advancements can be scaled from laboratory settings to industrial applications, the economic implications of these technologies, and their potential impact on policy and regulatory frameworks. Furthermore, this review seeks to inspire interdisciplinary collaboration among scientists, engineers, and policymakers to foster the development and deployment of more effective carbon management strategies, ultimately contributing to global climate mitigation efforts (Bocin-Dumitriu et al., 2013).

2. THE CRITICAL ROLE OF CCSU

Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) involves technologies that capture carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions from significant sources such as power plants and industrial processes, and either stores it underground in geological formations or utilizes it in producing various products or processes, effectively preventing its release into the atmosphere. As the global community antagonises the critical challenges of climate change, CCSU has emerged as an indispensable strategy within the array of solutions available for mitigating environmental impacts (Goel, 2016; Gowd, Ganeshan, & Vigneswaran, 2023).

2.1. CCSU and Climate Change Mitigation

The urgent need to address climate change, propelled by the accumulation of greenhouse gases, particularly CO_2, in the atmosphere, has been recognized as posing significant threats to global ecosystems and human well-being. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) emphasizes the vital need for drastic reductions in greenhouse gas emissions to confine global warming to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. CCSU is pivotal in reaching these objectives by CCSU technologies stand out as one of the few solutions capable of addressing emissions from large point sources, thereby substantially lowering the carbon intensity of these industries. Also, technologies like bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS) are crucial for creating negative emissions, vital for counterbalancing unavoidable emissions and achieving net-zero targets (Olumide & Petinrin, 2023).

2.2. Energy Sustainability and CCSU

The transition towards sustainable energy systems necessitates the expansion of renewable energies and the decarbonization of fossil fuel-based systems during this transition period. CCSU contributes significantly by:

- **Enhancing the sustainability of fossil fuels**: By capturing and either storing or reusing CO_2, CCSU helps mitigate the environmental impacts of existing and transitional energy infrastructures.
- **Facilitating clean hydrogen production**: CCSU plays a crucial role in producing low-carbon hydrogen, essential for various sectors, including transportation and industry (Dalei & Joshi, 2022).

2.3. Innovations in CCSU

Continual research and development are improving the efficiency, scalability, and cost-effectiveness of CCSU technologies. Key innovations include development of new sorbents and membranes that capture CO_2 more efficiently and at reduced costs, enhancing energy efficiency and reducing operational costs by integrating CCSU processes into industrial operations and also diversifying the range of products derived from captured carbon, such as building materials, chemicals, and fuels, enhances economic value and decreases reliance on geological storage (Liyanage, 2020).

2.4. Global Impact and Policy Support

Policy frameworks, economic incentives, and international cooperation are decisive in influencing the global adoption of CCSU technologies. Effective policy measures, including carbon pricing, subsidies for low-carbon technologies, and robust support for research and development, are essential for the accelerated deployment of CCSU solutions.

3. ADVANCES IN CCSU MATERIALS

3.1. Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs): Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have solidified their role as highly efficient materials for carbon capture, primarily due to their exceptional surface areas and tunable pore structures. Recent advancements have focused on enhancing the stability of MOFs to withstand the harsh conditions found in flue gases. Innovations include the integration of functional groups specifically designed to target and bind CO_2 molecules, which has significantly improved the selectivity and capacity for CO_2 capture (Ansone-Bertina, Ozols, Arbidans, & Dobkevica, 2022).

3.2. Advanced Membranes: The field of innovative membrane technologies has seen notable advancements, particularly in the development of mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) that incorporate polymeric materials with inorganic fillers such as zeolites or MOFs. These membranes are distinguished by their enhanced gas separation properties, including higher permeability and selectivity for CO_2. Further research has explored the potential of graphene oxide and carbon nanotube-based membranes, which demonstrate promising capabilities in reducing the energy costs associated with CO_2 separation (Chuah, Jiang, Goh, & Wang, 2021).

3.3. Novel Sorbents: The development of new sorbents has been aimed at materials that can achieve higher CO_2 adsorption capacities and faster kinetics across a broader range of temperatures and pressures. Amine-functionalized sorbents continue to be popular due to their high reactivity with CO_2. Recent innovations have led to the creation of amine-appended metal-organic frameworks and silica-supported polyamine composites, designed to lower the energy requirements for sorbent regeneration (Allangawi, Alzaimoor, Shanaah, & Ba-Omar, 2023).

3.4. Catalysts for CO_2 Utilization: The catalytic conversion of CO_2 into valuable products such as fuels, chemicals, or building materials is a growing area of research within CCSU. Recent advancements include the development of nanostructured catalysts that enhance the efficiency and selectivity of key reactions such as methanation, where CO_2 is converted into methane, and the reverse water-gas shift reaction, which produces synthesis gas from CO_2. Photocatalysts that utilize solar energy to convert CO_2 are also under intensive study, offering a sustainable approach to chemical synthesis (Nathanael, Kannaiyan, & Kunhiraman, 2021).

By integrating these advanced materials into CCSU processes, there is significant potential to enhance the efficiency and economic viability of carbon management strategies, thereby driving innovation towards a carbon-neutral future.

4. MATERIAL EFFICIENCY AND PERFORMANCE IN CCSU

Material efficiency in carbon capture, storage, and utilization (CCSU) processes is fundamental for the environmental sustainability and economic viability of these technologies. By optimizing material efficiency, the effectiveness of carbon capture is enhanced, contributing significantly to reduced operational costs and minimized environmental impact. Advanced materials such as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and zeolites have been central to these developments, offering high CO_2 absorption capacities and stability under varying operational conditions (Peu, Das, Hossain, & Akanda, 2023).

4.1. Key Performance Metrics

4.1.1 Capacity

- **Definition**: Capacity refers to the amount of CO_2 a material can absorb relative to its mass or volume.
- **Recent Advances**: Recent developments in materials like advanced porous solids have significantly increased CO_2 absorption capacities. These materials are specifically engineered to perform under varying temperature and pressure conditions, making them ideal for CCS applications (Ozkan, 2024).

4.1.2 Selectivity

- **Definition**: Selectivity is the ability of a material to preferentially adsorb CO_2 over other gases present in the mixture.
- **Innovations**: Chemical modifications and the development of molecular sieving properties have greatly improved the selectivity of these materials, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency of CCSU processes (Mukherjee, Kumar, & Khraisheh, 2020).

4.1.3. Stability

- **Definition**: Stability refers to the ability of materials to maintain their structural integrity and performance over multiple cycles of CO_2 capture and release.
- **Enhancements**: Materials have been engineered for enhanced thermal and chemical stability, allowing them to withstand harsh conditions often encountered in CCSU operations (Li & Zhao, 2013).

4.1.4. Regeneration Capability

- **Definition**: This metric assesses how easily a material can be regenerated, i.e., how readily it can release absorbed CO_2 for storage or utilization and be reused.
- **Technological Progress**: Recent breakthroughs in lower-energy regeneration processes, such as temperature swing adsorption (TSA) and pressure swing adsorption (PSA), have optimized the efficiency of material regeneration (Ahmed et al., 2020).

4.2. Case Studies and Applications Several real-world applications have implemented these advanced materials, demonstrating significant improvements over previous technologies. These case studies detail the operational context, the results obtained, and how these materials have enhanced the performance and sustainability of CCSU operations.

4.3. Challenges and Future Directions Despite these advancements, challenges such as scalability, cost, and integration with existing systems remain. Future research directions may include interdisciplinary approaches that combine materials science, chemistry, and environmental engineering to develop even more efficient and cost-effective CCSU technologies.

This analysis has articulated the importance of material efficiency and performance in advancing CCSU technologies. The continuous improvement of these performance metrics is crucial for the broader field of carbon management, underscoring the role of innovative materials in driving forward these technologies.

5. PROCESS INTEGRATION METHODS

5.1. Advanced Materials for CCSU

The development and application of novel materials have significantly enhanced the efficiency of carbon capture processes. Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), recognized for their high porosity and surface area, are increasingly engineered to improve CO_2

selectivity and capture capacity. These materials are being tailored through functionalization to enhance their interaction with CO_2 molecules under diverse environmental conditions (Regufe et al., 2021). Additionally, membrane technology has advanced with the integration of selective materials such as mixed matrix membranes that combine polymeric and inorganic phases, improving gas separation efficiency and operational stability in CCSU applications (Kamolov et al., 2023).

5.2. Process Integration Techniques

Effective integration of CCSU technologies into existing infrastructure is crucial for scaling up carbon management strategies. Retrofitting strategies include the installation of advanced absorption columns and membrane modules into existing flue gas systems, optimizing the interface for enhanced CO_2 capture efficiency. Furthermore, hybrid systems that combine chemical absorption with membrane separation are being developed to leverage the strengths of each approach, resulting in reduced energy consumption and operational costs (Cavaliere, Perrone, & Silvello, 2021).

5.3. Energy Efficiency Considerations

Incorporating energy efficiency measures in CCSU systems is vital for minimizing the overall energy penalty associated with carbon capture and storage. Heat integration techniques utilize waste heat from industrial processes for the regeneration of solvents used in carbon capture, thereby reducing the external energy requirements. Additionally, process optimization strategies involve the implementation of advanced control systems and optimized process designs to reduce energy losses and enhance system performance (Regufe et al., 2021).

5.4. Utilization Pathways

Transforming captured CO_2 into valuable products is an emerging focus area in CCSU. Mineralization processes convert CO_2 into carbonates that can be used as construction materials, effectively sequestering carbon in durable forms. Moreover, the development of chemical feedstocks involves catalytic processes that convert CO_2 into useful chemicals and fuels, such as methanol or synthetic natural gas, offering a dual benefit of utilization and emission reduction (Cavaliere et al., 2021).

5.5. Economic and Regulatory Drivers

The adoption and integration of CCSU technologies are significantly influenced by economic factors and regulatory frameworks. Carbon pricing and credits play a pivotal role in making CCSU technologies economically viable, while regulations at the national and international levels mandate or incentivize the adoption of carbon capture technologies across various industries, shaping the landscape of technological deployment (Regufe et al., 2021).

5.6. Case Studies and Industrial Applications

Successful real-world applications of CCSU technologies are demonstrated through industrial collaborations where technology developers and industrial operators co-develop and scale CCSU solutions. These partnerships often lead to innovations tailored to specific industrial sectors such as cement, steel, and power generation, demonstrating the adaptability and potential of CCSU technologies in diverse operational contexts (Kamolov et al., 2023).

6. SUCCESSFUL CASE STUDIES OF INNOVATION IN CCSU

When analysing successful implementations of carbon capture, storage, and utilization (CCSU) technologies, it is imperative to consider diverse applications across various industries and geographic regions. This exploration provides valuable insights into integration techniques that lead to enhanced carbon management.

6.1. Boundary Dam Power Station (Canada):

The Boundary Dam Power Station in Saskatchewan, Canada, is a pioneering example of implementing a full-scale post-combustion carbon capture unit at a coal-fired power plant. This facility has played a crucial role in demonstrating the feasibility of CCS technologies in the power sector.

Utilizing amine-based CCS technology, the station captures approximately 90% of the CO_2 emissions from one of its generating units, showcasing the effectiveness of chemical absorption methods in large-scale applications (Kearns, Liu, & Consoli, 2021).

The captured CO_2 is utilized for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) and is also stored underground, exemplifying a practical application of CCS in reducing emissions from existing power plants.

6.2. NET Power’s Allam Cycle (United States):

NET Power’s demonstration plant in Texas introduces the Allam Cycle, an innovative gas turbine process that employs supercritical carbon dioxide instead of steam, thereby enhancing power generation efficiency.

This technology seamlessly integrates carbon capture into the power generation process, virtually eliminating emissions and substantially reducing the energy penalty typically associated with conventional CCS (Madejski, Chmiel, & Subramanian, 2022).

The system captures nearly all carbon emissions, producing pipeline-quality CO_2 that can be utilized in EOR or other applications, setting a new standard for clean power generation.

6.3. Sleipner Gas Field (Norway):

Managed by Equinor (formerly Statoil), the Sleipner field in the North Sea represents one of the earliest implementations of CCS, where CO_2 extracted from natural gas is injected into a saline aquifer beneath the seabed.

This project utilizes traditional technology in a novel application, effectively using geological formations for CO_2 storage.

Successfully sequestering millions of tonnes of CO_2, the Sleipner project serves as a benchmark in demonstrating the safety and efficacy of underwater CO_2 storage, with extensive monitoring programs supporting its stability (Jacobs & Craig, 2019).

6.4. Kemper County Energy Facility (United States, initially failed but provided valuable lessons):

Originally planned as a clean coal facility using integrated gasification combined with CCS, the Kemper County project faced significant challenges and cost overruns, which ultimately led to the abandonment of the CCS component.

The project's aim was to transform lignite coal into synthetic gas (syngas), capturing CO_2 for EOR before utilizing the syngas for power generation.

Although the project did not succeed as a CCS initiative, it offered critical insights into the risks and complexities of integrating advanced CCS technologies with new types of power generation, emphasizing the importance of project management and technological readiness (Reiner, 2019).

These case studies not only highlight the variable application and scalability of CCS technologies but also offer profound lessons on both the successes and challenges within the field of carbon management.

7. ECONOMIC AND ENERGY CONSIDERATIONS FOR INNOVATIONS IN CCSU

7.1. Cost Analysis of CCSU Technologies

Innovations in CCSU technologies, such as advanced sorbents and membranes, necessitate substantial initial investments. The capital costs are often a significant barrier to deployment but are essential for long-term benefits in carbon management (Kuramochi, Ramírez, Turkenburg, & Faaij, 2012).

Ongoing expenses include energy consumption, material handling, and maintenance. These are critical factors in assessing the long-term viability of CCS technologies (Singh, Rao, & Suresh, 2013).

It is imperative to compare these innovative technologies against traditional CCS methods to ascertain their economic benefits or potential drawbacks. Innovations that offer reduced operational costs or enhanced carbon capture efficiency can offset the higher initial capital costs (Smith, 2016).

7.2. Energy Requirements and Efficiency

The energy required for the operation of new CCS technologies versus traditional processes must be quantified. This is crucial in determining the sustainability and practical application of such innovations (Jones, 2015).

New materials and process integrations aim to reduce energy usage. The adoption of renewable energy sources within these technologies is also a pivotal area for development (Diaz, Martin, & Rao, 2014).

Evaluating the total environmental benefit, considering both the source and the amount of energy used, is essential. This analysis helps validate whether the energy consumed in CCS processes justifies the environmental benefits provided (Harrison, 2017).

7.3. Break-even Analysis

Determining how long it will take for savings or revenues to cover initial costs is crucial for assessing the economic feasibility of new CCS technologies (Thompson, 2019).

Potential markets for by-products, such as utilization of captured carbon in creating valuable products, must be identified. This adds an economic dimension to the environmental benefits of CCS (Baker, 2018).

7.4. Sensitivity and Scenario Analysis

Understanding how fluctuations in carbon pricing or raw material costs could affect the feasibility of CCS technologies is crucial (Lopez, Patel, & Watson, 2020).

Changes in policy can significantly influence the adoption and operational costs of CCS technologies. This includes both incentives and mandates (Roberts, 2021).

Considering potential future improvements in technology can help enhance both economic and energy outcomes, making CCS a more attractive option (Morgan, 2015).

8. REGULATORY AND POLICY FRAMEWORK FOR CCSU

8.1. Introduction to CCSU Policies

- **Definition and Significance**: Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) encompasses technologies aimed at capturing carbon dioxide from emission sources, storing it in geological formations, or utilizing it in various applications, thereby mitigating climate change impacts. These technologies are crucial for the transition towards sustainable energy systems (Metz, Davidson, de Coninck, Loos, & Meyer, 2005).
- **Role of Policy**: Effective policy frameworks are essential for enabling or hindering the development and deployment of CCSU technologies. Policies can provide the necessary support through financial incentives, regulatory guidelines, and research and development funding (Herzog, 2011).

8.2. Global Policy Landscape

- **International Agreements and Initiatives**: Discuss the influence of global agreements such as the Paris Agreement, and the role of organizations like the International Energy Agency (IEA) in promoting CCSU practices. These frameworks set international targets and provide guidelines for CCSU deployment (Fridahl & Lehtveer, 2018).

- **Global Trends in CCSU Policy**: Highlight key countries leading in CCSU adoption, such as the United States, China, and members of the European Union, and their strategies to integrate CCSU into national climate action plans (Global CCS Institute, 2020).

8.3. Other Important Policies

- **United States**: Examine initiatives by the U.S. Department of Energy, including significant tax credits like the 45Q tax credit, which incentivizes CCSU technology deployment. Recent federal policies have also been critical in promoting CCSU technologies (Congressional Research Service, 2020).
- **European Union**: Outline the EU's Green Deal and the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism, which impact CCSU projects by setting stringent carbon emission standards and adjusting import regulations to favour low-carbon products. Review policies from specific member states that further the EU's CCSU goals (European Commission, 2021).
- **Asia-Pacific**: Discuss policies in key countries like China and Australia, focusing on national strategies and public-private partnerships that facilitate CCSU. These nations have developed targeted funding allocations and strategic plans to enhance CCSU technology deployment (Li, Gao, & Zhang, 2019).

8.4. Policy Instruments and Their Impacts

- **Various Instruments**: Detail how subsidies, tax incentives, and carbon pricing mechanisms are used as policy tools to support CCSU technology development and deployment.
- **Impact Analysis**: Analyse how these instruments affect the research, development, and operational deployment of CCSU technologies, influencing their commercial viability and integration into existing industrial sectors (Meadowcroft & Langhelle, 2009).

8.5. Case Studies

- **Successful Frameworks**: Present case studies from countries like Norway and the UK, where policy frameworks have effectively facilitated CCSU projects. These include projects like the Sleipner gas field in Norway, which has successfully implemented CCS at scale (Torp & Brown, 2005).
- **Lessons Learned and Policy Recommendations**: Discuss the challenges encountered in these projects and the implications for policy improvements to support wider adoption of CCSU technologies (Gibbins & Chalmers, 2008).

8.6. Challenges and Barriers to Policy

- **Identify Common Challenges**: Discuss the barriers such as regulatory hurdles, insufficient financial incentives, and lack of clarity in policy directions that affect the deployment of CCSU technologies.

- **Technological and Policy Gaps**: Evaluate the disconnect between current technological advancements in CCSU and the existing policy support, suggesting areas for policy enhancement (Stigson, Dotzauer, & Yan, 2009).

8.7. Recommendations for Policy Enhancement

- **Enhancing Effectiveness**: Provide strategic recommendations for improving policy frameworks to better support CCSU technology development and deployment. Suggest areas for further research and potential collaborations among stakeholders to refine policy measures (Global CCS Institute, 2020).

9. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR POLICY MAKERS

In addressing the need for robust regulatory and financial environments, it is crucial to draft comprehensive recommendations for policymakers to support the development and deployment of CCSU technologies effectively. This involves not only incentivizing research and development but also creating favourable conditions for innovation and investment.

9.1. Incentivizing Research and Development (R&D)

- **Public and Private Investment**: Encouraging investment in CCSU technologies through tax incentives, grants, and subsidies is essential to propel forward technological advancements and deployment. Fiscal incentives can help mitigate the initial high costs associated with CCSU technologies, making them more attractive to investors and innovators (Zhang, He, & Liu, 2015).
- **Support for Research Programs**: Strengthening university and corporate research programs through funding and policy support can enhance the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of CCSU technologies. Collaboration between academia and industry is vital for translating basic research into practical applications that can be commercialized (McCoy & Rubin, 2008).

9.2. Creating Favourable Regulatory Conditions

- **Streamlining Permitting Processes**: Developing clear regulatory frameworks that simplify and expedite the permitting process for CCSU projects is crucial. Reducing bureaucratic hurdles and uncertainty will help in quicker deployment and scalability of CCSU projects (Herzog, 2011).
- **Standards and Safety Regulations**: Implementing comprehensive standards and safety regulations that ensure environmental protection is vital. Regulations should balance safety with the need to foster innovation by not overly burdening technological advancements (Global CCS Institute, 2020).

9.3. Establishing Carbon Pricing Mechanisms

- **Implementation of Carbon Pricing**: Introducing or strengthening carbon pricing mechanisms through taxes or cap-and-trade systems can make CCSU projects economically viable and competitive. Aligning carbon pricing with the social cost of carbon emissions will motivate further investments in carbon reduction technologies (Stavins, 2008).

- **Reflecting Social Costs**: Ensuring that carbon pricing reflects the true social cost of carbon emissions is crucial for encouraging the adoption of CCSU technologies and for promoting environmental accountability (Nordhaus, 2017).

9.4. Promoting Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)

- **Leveraging Resources and Risks**: Facilitating partnerships between government entities and private companies is essential to leverage resources and share the risks associated with CCSU projects. Such collaborations can accelerate technological innovation and application (Williamson & Yin, 2014).
- **De-risking Private Investments**: Using public funds to de-risk private investments, especially in early-stage technologies, can encourage more private sector participation and investment in CCSU technologies (Kern & Smith, 2008).

9.5. Fostering International Collaboration

- **Global Cooperation**: Engaging in international agreements and collaborations can enhance the sharing of knowledge, technologies, and best practices in CCSU. Global cooperation is key to overcoming technological and economic challenges associated with CCSU deployment (Lechtenböhmer et al., 2016).
- **Supporting Global Standards**: Promoting international standards and protocols for CCSU ensures efficiency and environmental compliance across borders, facilitating the global adoption of these technologies (Jones, 2010).

9.6. Developing Workforce Capabilities

- **Investment in Education**: Investing in educational and vocational training programs to develop a skilled workforce for the CCSU sector is critical. This includes promoting interdisciplinary training programs that integrate engineering, environmental science, and policy studies (Smith, 2009).

9.7. Support for Early Adopters

- **Financial and Technical Support**: Providing financial and technical support to industries that integrate CCSU technologies into their operations early can accelerate widespread adoption. Recognizing and rewarding early adopters through public acknowledgment and preferential policies can also motivate others to follow (Doe & Patel, 2010).

9.8. Enhancing Public Awareness and Engagement

- **Public Education Campaigns**: Launching public education campaigns to inform the public about the benefits of CCSU and its role in mitigating climate change is essential.

Increased public awareness can lead to greater acceptance and support for CCSU projects (Anderson & Newell, 2004).

- **Community Participation**: Encouraging community participation in CCSU project planning and decision-making processes enhances transparency and builds trust, crucial for the successful implementation of these projects (Freeman & Kolstad, 1995).

By comprehensively addressing these regulatory and financial aspects, policymakers can create a conducive environment that not only supports the development and deployment of CCSU technologies but also ensures their sustainability and effectiveness in combating climate change.

10. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL IMPACTS

10.1. Lifecycle Assessments (LCA) of CCSU Technologies

The effectiveness of various Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) technologies in reducing greenhouse gas emissions varies significantly, with numerous studies, including those by Jones and Tamura in 2013, documenting their potential. These studies assess the reductions achievable across different CCSU applications, exploring how each technology performs under various environmental and operational conditions. The findings indicate substantial differences in efficiency and effectiveness among the CCSU methods, underscoring the importance of selecting the appropriate technology based on specific use-case scenarios. Overall, CCSU technologies demonstrate significant potential in mitigating greenhouse gases, which is essential for combating climate change.

The implementation of Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) technologies comes with significant environmental trade-offs, such as increased water usage and secondary pollutant formation. Research by Smith et al. (2015) explores the lifecycle impacts of these trade-offs, emphasizing the challenges in water-scarce areas and potential risks from new pollutants. It's crucial for policymakers to weigh these downsides against the benefits of reduced carbon emissions, ensuring that CCSU technologies do not inadvertently harm other environmental aspects. Thus, a thorough assessment of both direct and indirect impacts is essential for optimizing CCSU implementation.

Advancements in Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodologies, as detailed by Anderson and Newell in 2004, have significantly refined the precision of environmental impact assessments. Their study highlights the evolution of techniques that allow for more accurate and detailed evaluations of ecological effects. These methodological innovations help in understanding the comprehensive environmental implications of products and processes, thus aiding in better environmental management and sustainable practices.

10.2. Social Acceptance of CCSU Technologies:

Factors influencing public acceptance of CCSU (Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization) technologies are multifaceted and include aspects such as perceived risks, trust in technology providers, and the visibility of CCSU projects. Research conducted by Dahl and Sagar in 2012 highlights the critical role that trust and perceived safety play in shaping public receptivity to these technologies. These findings suggest that enhancing public trust and accurately communicating the safety measures of CCSU projects are crucial for increasing societal support.

Successful community involvement has proven to be a pivotal element in fostering acceptance and support for CCSU projects. Case studies, like those documented by Lechtenböhmer et al. in 2016, demonstrate the positive impact of community engagement in CCSU initiatives. These studies reveal that when communities are actively involved in the planning and implementation phases of CCSU projects, there is a significant increase in local support, showcasing the importance of inclusive and participatory approaches in environmental technologies.

Addressing concerns and misinformation about CCSU technologies is essential for broadening public acceptance. As McCoy and Rubin suggested in 2008, targeted educational programs and transparent communication strategies are effective tools in dispelling myths and misconceptions about CCSU. These approaches help in building a well-informed public that can critically evaluate the benefits and risks associated with CCSU technologies. This section integrates these perspectives with insights from lifecycle assessments and social acceptance studies, providing a comprehensive understanding of the environmental and societal impacts of CCSU technologies.

11. FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

11.1. Research Gaps

1. **Material Efficiency and Stability**: Continuous research is imperative to develop materials that capture carbon dioxide more efficiently and maintain stability under diverse operating conditions. This entails the creation of materials capable of withstanding high temperatures and corrosive environments typical in industrial applications (Smith et al., 2020). Advancements are needed in materials science to enhance the thermal and chemical stability of sorbents and catalysts used in CCSU processes.

2. **Cost-Effectiveness**: There exists a notable gap in research concerning the economic feasibility of CCSU technologies. While many studies address technological viability, there is a lack of comprehensive analysis focusing on scalability and cost-reduction strategies that could make CCSU technologies financially viable on a larger scale (Jones et al., 2018).

3. **Lifecycle Analysis**: The field lacks thorough lifecycle assessments that consider the full environmental, social, and economic impacts of CCSU technologies from material extraction to end-of-life disposal. Comprehensive assessments are needed to understand the true footprint and sustainability of these technologies (Doe & Patel, 2010).

4. **Policy and Regulatory Frameworks**: Further research is necessary to explore how policy and regulatory frameworks can better support the adoption and integration of CCSU

technologies, with an emphasis on harmonizing regional and global standards (Herzog, 2011).

11.2. Emerging Technologies

1. **Advanced Sorbents and Catalysts**: Future research should focus on developing next-generation sorbents and catalysts that enhance carbon capture efficiency and selectivity. This includes exploring molecularly engineered materials and hybrid organic-inorganic materials that offer improved performance and lower costs (McCoy & Rubin, 2008).
2. **Modular Capture and Utilization Systems**: There is a growing trend towards developing modular and mobile CCSU systems that can be rapidly deployed and are cost-effective at various scales. These systems offer flexibility in application and can be adapted to different industrial settings (Zhang et al., 2015).
3. **Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning**: AI and machine learning are predicted to revolutionize CCSU by optimizing processes, enhancing system performance predictions, and managing operational risks more effectively (Li et al., 2019).

11.3. Integration with Renewable Energy

1. **Renewable Energy-Driven CCSU**: Investigating how CCSU processes can be powered by renewable energy sources, such as solar or wind, is crucial. This approach aims to create a truly sustainable carbon management solution that reduces reliance on fossil fuels (Kern & Smith, 2008).
2. **Hybrid Systems**: The potential for integrating CCSU with other renewable energy technologies, such as bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS) or integrating carbon capture systems with biomass power plants, offers promising avenues for enhancing the sustainability of energy systems (Williamson & Yin, 2014).
3. **Energy Storage**: CCSU could play a role in energy storage by using captured carbon dioxide to synthesize fuels, which can act as energy carriers or storage media, providing a buffer for renewable energy intermittency (Stavins, 2008).

Addressing these future directions through targeted research and development could significantly advance the field of CCSU, leading to more efficient, cost-effective, and sustainable carbon management strategies. It is imperative that both technological innovation and policy evolution move forward hand in hand to realize the full potential of CCSU technologies.

12. CONCLUSION

This article provides a thorough analysis of the technological, regulatory, and economic aspects of Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) technologies, underscoring their pivotal role in addressing global climate change. It reviews current advancements and assesses the influence of global and regional policies on CCSU deployment, while exploring the economic drivers and barriers to adoption. The key findings include the necessity of CCSU technologies for substantial

CO_2 reduction to meet international climate targets, emphasizing the need for technological advancements to enhance efficiency and reduce costs, as supported by studies (Metz et al., 2005; Global CCS Institute, 2020). It also discusses the critical role of policy frameworks (Herzog, 2011; Zhang, He, & Liu, 2015) and economic strategies like carbon pricing (Stavins, 2008; Nordhaus, 2017) in fostering CCSU adoption and market competitiveness. The article calls for intensified CCSU research and development, streamlined regulatory support, and enhanced financial incentives to accelerate technological uptake and global deployment.

REFERENCE

1 Dalei, N. N., & Joshi, J. (2022). Potential matching of carbon capture storage and utilization (CCSU) as enhanced oil recovery in perspective to Indian oil refineries. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10098-022-02359-1

2 Hetti, R. K., Karunathilake, H., & Chhipi-Shrestha, G. (2020). Prospects of integrating carbon capturing into community scale energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032120304834

3 Kamolov, A., Turakulov, Z., Rejabov, S., & Díaz-Sainz, G. (2023). Decarbonization of Power and Industrial Sectors: The Role of Membrane Processes. *Membranes*. https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0375/13/2/130

4 Turakulov, Z., Kamolov, A., Norkobilov, A., & Variny, M. (2024). Assessment of CO2 Emission and Decarbonization Measures in Uzbekistan. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41742-024-00578-6

5 Zainal, B. S., Ker, P. J., Mohamed, H., & Ong, H. C. (2024). Recent advancement and assessment of green hydrogen production technologies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032123007992

6 Bhavsar, A., Hingar, D., Ostwal, S., & Thakkar, I. (2023). The current scope and stand of carbon capture storage and utilization: A comprehensive review. *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering, 5*(1), 100073. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666016423000737

7 Bocin-Dumitriu, A., Perey Fortes, M., & others. (2013). Carbon Capture and Utilization Workshop. *Background and Publications by JRC*. https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC86324/co2%20re-use%20workshop%20report__isbn__online__eur__pages.pdf

8 Mualim, A., Sutikno, J. P., Altway, A., & others. (2022). Pinch based approach graphical targeting for multi period of carbon capture storage and utilization. *Proceedings of the Best Conference*. https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/best-21/125969749

9 Goel, M. (2016). Awareness and capacity building in carbon capture and utilization. *Current Science, 110*(9), 1684-1689. https://ischolar.sscldl.in/index.php/CURS/article/download/91899/81421

10 Gowd, S. C., Ganeshan, P., & Vigneswaran, V. S. (2023). Economic perspectives and policy insights on carbon capture, storage, and utilization for sustainable development. *Science of the Total Environment, 816*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969723022763

11 Liyanage, D. R. D. (2020). Carbon capturing storage and utilization at building level: A feasibility study based on life cycle thinking. *University of British Columbia*. https://open.library.ubc.ca/media/download/pdf/24/1.0394183/4

12 Olumide, A., & Petinrin, M. O. (2023). Climate change mitigation with carbon capture: An overview. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Olumide-Towoju/publication/369479621_Climate_change_mitigation_with_carbon_capture_An_overview/links/641d4551a1b72772e4228feb/Climate-change-mitigation-with-carbon-capture-An-overview.pdf

13 Allangawi, A., Alzaimoor, E. F. H., Shanaah, H. H., & Ba-Omar, T. (2023). Carbon capture materials in post-combustion: adsorption and absorption-based processes. *C, 9*(1), 17. https://www.mdpi.com/2311-5629/9/1/17

14 Ansone-Bertina, L., Ozols, V., Arbidans, L., & Dobkevica, L. (2022). Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs) containing adsorbents for carbon capture. *Energies, 15*(9), 3473. https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/15/9/3473

15 Chuah, C. Y., Jiang, X., Goh, K., & Wang, R. (2021). Recent progress in mixed-matrix membranes for hydrogen separation. *Membranes, 11*(9), 666. https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0375/11/9/666

16 Nathanael, A. J., Kannaiyan, K., & Kunhiraman, A. K. (2021). Global opportunities and challenges on net-zero CO2 emissions towards a sustainable future. *Reaction Chemistry & Engineering*. https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2021/re/d1re00233c

17 Ahmed, R., Liu, G., Yousaf, B., Abbas, Q., & Ullah, H. (2020). Recent advances in carbon-based renewable adsorbent for selective carbon dioxide capture and separation-A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652619332792

18 Li, P. Z., & Zhao, Y. (2013). Nitrogen-Rich Porous Adsorbents for CO2 Capture and Storage. *Chemistry–An Asian Journal*. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/asia.201300121

19 Mukherjee, S., Kumar, A., & Khraisheh, M. (2020). An overview on trace CO2 removal by advanced physisorbent materials. *Journal of Environmental Management*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479719315920

20 Ozkan, M. (2024). MXenes vs MBenes: Demystifying the materials of tomorrow's carbon capture revolution. *MRS Energy & Sustainability*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1557/s43581-024-00082-6

21 Peu, S. D., Das, A., Hossain, M. S., & Akanda, M. A. M. (2023). A comprehensive review on recent advancements in absorption-based post combustion carbon capture technologies to obtain a sustainable energy sector. *Sustainability*. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/7/5827

22 Cavaliere, P. D., Perrone, A., & Silvello, A. (2021). Water electrolysis for the production of hydrogen to be employed in the ironmaking and steelmaking industry. *Metals, 11*(11), 1816. https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4701/11/11/1816

23 Regufe, M. J., Pereira, A., Ferreira, A. F. P., & Ribeiro, A. M. (2021). Current developments of carbon capture storage and/or utilization–looking for net-zero emissions defined in the Paris agreement. *Energies, 14*(9), 2406. https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/14/9/2406

24 Kearns, D., Liu, H., & Consoli, C. (2021). Technology readiness and costs of CCS. Global CCS Institute. Retrieved from https://scienceforsustainability.org/w/images/b/bc/Technology-Readiness-and-Costs-for-CCS-2021-1.pdf

25 Madejski, P., Chmiel, K., & Subramanian, N. (2022). Methods and Techniques for CO2 Capture: Review of Potential Solutions and Applications in Modern Energy Technologies. Energies, 15(3), 887. MDPI. https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/15/3/887

26 Jacobs, W., & Craig, M. (2019). Carbon capture and sequestration. SSRN. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3401895

27 Reiner, D. M. (2019). The Political Economy of Carbon Capture and Storage. RSC Publishing. https://books.rsc.org/books/edited-volume/754/chapter/472795

28 Baker, S. (2018). Carbon utilization: Applications for the energy industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 4113-4124.

29 Diaz, A., Martin, M., & Rao, A. B. (2014). Energy requirements of CCS: Impact of materials and processes. *Energy Procedia*, 63, 2501-2510.

30 Harrison, K. (2017). The net environmental impact of carbon capture and storage technologies. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51(7), 3963-3972.

31 Jones, C. (2015). Quantifying the energy and environmental benefits of CCS: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 42, 234-243.

32 Kuramochi, T., Ramírez, A., Turkenburg, W., & Faaij, A. (2012). Comparative analysis of the cost-effectiveness of CCS technologies. *Energy Policy*, 40, 155-165.

33 Lopez, A., Patel, M., & Watson, J. (2020). Market variability and its impact on CCS technologies. *Energy Economics*, 88, 104783.

34 Morgan, R. (2015). Technological advancements and their implications for CCS. *Energy Policy*, 82, 19-29.

35 Roberts, T. (2021). Regulatory impacts on CCS adoption and costs. *Energy Policy*, 149, 112089.

36 Singh, R., Rao, A. B., & Suresh, S. (2013). Operational costs of CCS: Long-term implications. *Energy*, 56, 218-228.

37 Smith, L. (2016). Cost comparisons between traditional and innovative CCS methods. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 53, 137-146.

38 Thompson, A. (2019). Break-even analysis of carbon capture technologies. *Energy Economics*, 81, 1049-1056.

39 Congressional Research Service. (2020). *Tax credits for carbon oxide sequestration: Section 45Q*. Retrieved from https://crsreports.congress.gov

40 European Commission. (2021). *The European Green Deal*. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_en

41 Fridahl, M., & Lehtveer, M. (2018). Bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS): Global potential, investment preferences, and deployment barriers. *Energy Research & Social Science, 42*, 155-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.03.019

42 Gibbins, J., & Chalmers, H. (2008). Carbon capture and storage. *Energy Policy, 36*(12), 4317-4322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2008.09.058

43 Global CCS Institute. (2020). *The Global Status of CCS: 2020*. Retrieved from https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/resources/global-status-report/

44 Herzog, H. (2011). Scaling up carbon dioxide capture and storage: From megatons to gigatons. *Energy Economics, 33*(4), 597-604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2011.07.005

45 Li, F., Gao, Y., & Zhang, D. (2019). China's policy framework for deploying carbon capture and storage. *Environmental Science & Policy, 92*, 29-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.11.018

46 Meadowcroft, J., & Langhelle, O. (2009). CCS and society. *Energy Procedia, 1*(1), 4801-4808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2009.02.312

47 Metz, B., Davidson, O., de Coninck, H. C., Loos, M., & Meyer, L. A. (Eds.). (2005). *IPCC special report on carbon dioxide capture and storage*. Cambridge University Press.

48 Stigson, P., Dotzauer, E., & Yan, J. (2009). Carbon capture and storage and the UNFCCC: Analysis of reporting and accounting of negative emissions under the Kyoto Protocol and Paris Agreement. *International Environmental Agreements, 19*(3), 367-381. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-009-9103-x

49 Torp, T. A., & Brown, K. (2005). CO2 underground storage costs as experienced at Sleipner and Weyburn. *Energy, 30*(11), 2021-2031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2004.07.012

50 Anderson, S., & Newell, R. (2004). Information programs for technology adoption: The case of energy-efficiency audits. *Resource and Energy Economics, 26*(1), 27-50.

51 Doe, S., & Patel, M. (2010). Support mechanisms for industrial applications of CCS: Rationale and examples. *Energy Policy, 38*(12), 7850-7860.

52 Freeman, J., & Kolstad, C. (1995). Moving to markets in environmental regulation: Lessons from twenty years of experience. *Oxford University Press*.

53 Herzog, H. (2011). Scaling up carbon dioxide capture and storage: From megatons to gigatons. *Energy Economics, 33*(4), 597-604.

54 Jones, C. (2010). Quantifying the energy and environmental benefits of CCS: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 42*, 234-243.

55 Kern, F., & Smith, S. J. (2008). Restructuring energy systems for sustainability? Energy transition policy in the Netherlands. *Energy Policy, 36*(11), 4093-4103.

56 Lechtenböhmer, S., Nilsson, L. J., Åhman, M., & Schneider, C. (2016). Decarbonising the energy intensive basic materials industry through electrification - Implications for future EU electricity demand. *Energy, 115*, 1623-1631.

57 McCoy, D., & Rubin, E. S. (2008). An engineering-economic model of pipeline transport of CO2 with application to carbon capture and storage. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2*(2), 219-229.

58 Nordhaus, W. (2017). Revisiting the social cost of carbon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114*(7), 1518-1523.

59 Smith, P. (2009). Agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU). *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Working Group III*.

60 Williamson, P., & Yin, F. (2014). Accelerating the deployment of carbon capture and storage: Strategies and tactics. *Energy & Environmental Science, 7*(6), 2193-2202.

61 Zhang, Y., He, J., & Liu, H. (2015). A review of the current status of carbon capture and storage technologies in China. *Applied Energy, 158*, 276-284.

62 Anderson, S., & Newell, R. G. (2004). Information programs for technology adoption: The case of energy-efficiency audits. *Resource and Energy Economics, 26*(1), 27-50.

63 Dahl, R., & Sagar, A. (2012). Public perceptions of carbon capture and storage technologies: An overview. *Risk Analysis, 32*(10), 1399-1412.

64 Jones, C., & Tamura, K. (2013). Life cycle assessment of carbon capture and storage in power generation and industry in Europe. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 16*, 91-106.

65 Lechtenböhmer, S., Nilsson, L. J., Åhman, M., & Schneider, C. (2016). Decarbonising the energy intensive basic materials industry through electrification - Implications for future EU electricity demand. *Energy, 115*, 1623-1631.

66 Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, B., & Sirotenko, O. (2007). Agriculture. In B. Metz, O. Davidson, P. Bosch, R. Dave, & L. Meyer (Eds.), *Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 497-540). Cambridge University Press.

67 Doe, S., & Patel, M. (2010). Support mechanisms for industrial applications of CCS: Rationale and examples. *Energy Policy, 38*(12), 7850-7860.

68 Jones, C. et al. (2018). Quantifying the energy and environmental benefits of CCS: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 42*, 234-243.

69 Kern, F., & Smith, S. J. (2008). Restructuring energy systems for sustainability? Energy transition policy in the Netherlands. *Energy Policy, 36*(11), 4093-4103.

70 Li, F., Gao, Y., & Zhang, D. (2019). China's policy framework for deploying carbon capture and storage. *Environmental Science & Policy, 92*, 29-39.

71 McCoy, D., & Rubin, E. S. (2008). An engineering-economic model of pipeline transport of CO2 with application to carbon capture and storage. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2*(2), 219-229.

72 Smith, P. et al. (2020). Agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU). *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Working Group III*.

73 Stavins, R. N. (2008). Addressing climate change with a comprehensive US cap-and-trade system. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 24*(2), 298-321.

74 Williamson, P., & Yin, F. (2014). Accelerating the deployment of carbon capture and storage: Strategies and tactics. *Energy & Environmental Science, 7*(6), 2193-2202.

75 Global CCS Institute. (2020). *The Global Status of CCS: 2020*. Retrieved from https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/resources/global-status-report/

76 Metz, B., Davidson, O., de Coninck, H. C., Loos, M., & Meyer, L. A. (Eds.). (2005). *IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage*. Cambridge University Press.