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Abstract

Purpose: This study aim was to investigate the effect product strategy on the growth of jua kali Enterprise in North Imenti Sub County, Meru County. The study hypothesized that there is no significant relationship between product and growth of jua kali enterprises.

Methodology: The study adopted a descriptive research design. The population comprised of one hundred and twenty eight (128) owner of jua kali enterprises operating within North Imenti Sub County, Meru County and registered by Micro and Small Enterprises Authority Kenya (MSEA-K). A stratified random sampling technique was used to select a sample of ninety seven (97) jua kali enterprises from the total population. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire after which mean and standard deviation were computed while Pearson Correlation, and linear regression done accordingly. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 was used as a statistical tool.

Results: The results indicated that there is growth in jua kali sector which is contributed by product strategy. The study established that product strategy affects growth of jua kali enterprises hence rejecting the hypothesis. It was therefore concluded that product strategy significantly affects SME’s growth.

Contributions to policy, practice and theory: The study recommends that; SMEs should invest in their products to ensure they are of desirable quality in order to satisfy their customers and maintain a competitive edge. The study is valuable to entrepreneurs as they seek for better product strategies to grow their enterprises.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

SMEs are key players in the economy and facilitate inclusive globalization (OECD 2004, IFC, 2010). They have been widely acknowledged as the springboard for sustainable economic development (Osotimehin, Jegede, Akinlabi, & Olajide, 2012). The term “jua kali” is a Swahili word, which means “hot sun” (Neizert, 1998; Ikoja-Odongo & Ocholla, 2004). In Kenya, the term jua kali refers to men and women working in the open air, and often under the hot sun and usually classified under the informal sector.

According to Bwisa (2017), the jua kali sector in other countries like India is synonymous with one-person establishment. These establishments are usually managed or owned by one person, and accounts for a significant percentage of employment. In the African continent the jua kali sector has been on the forefront of spurring economic development. According to Ofusaa, Duku, Asante
and Kojo (2015) the informal sector in Nigeria has acted as the engine of social and economic development in Nigeria. While in the Kenyan context, jua kali enterprises dot several parts of Kenyan towns and mostly are temporary locations (Quinton & Daniels, 2010). The jua kali sector has been recognized as the back bone of economic growth (Kenya Economic Survey, 2017). According to Njoroge (2015), the challenge facing the small and medium enterprises is need for diversification and creatively improving their products as well as enhancing their marketing techniques to ensure growth.

The Resource Based View theory (RBV) which was advanced by Penrose in 1959 underpins this study. Penrose argued that superior performance of the organization was determined by how it controlled and made use of its resources. RBV brought into focus the fundamental role played by organizational resources in the competitiveness and performance of the organization in the market (Bridoux, 2004).

The RBV theory focuses on the characteristics of organization firm’s resources value, rareness, imitability and organization. These features are prominently anchored in product, which ultimately results to competitive advantage in the market (Peteraf & Barney, 2003). Notably, in the present study, the organizational products are regarded as organizational resources that can be exploited towards creating competitive advantage. The heterogeneity assumption as discussed by Bridoux (2004) is present in the firm’s products, hence contributing to the different levels of growth experienced by firms within the same industry. The RBV theory has contributed immensely in understanding the core competencies required for a business firm to gain competitive advantage.

According to Kotler and Armstrong (2014), a product is anything that can be offered to the market for attention, acquisition, consumption to satisfy a certain need thus ensuring that the organization retains its presence or market share in the market. The growth of an enterprise is affected by the ability of the various products to meet the various needs identified or existing in the market (Mirzaei, Micheels, and Boecker, 2016). This study focuses on the product design, type and number of products, product features, and technology and innovation as determinants of jua kali enterprises growth.

**Research Problem**

The World Bank report of 2014 also shows that SMEs in Kenya do not graduate into large enterprises. Some remain small while others die gradually. The failure to survive and grow ultimately leads to jobs losses, marginal income which further threatens economic development and thwarts the realization of vision Kenya’s 2030. Majority of the jua kali SMEs in Kenya are faced with challenges of developing quality products, quality packaging that is convenient to the target market, and further fail to vigorously promote their products (Kiveu & Ofafa, 2014).

The connection between the product strategy and the growth of SMEs is critical in informing corrective measures. However, there has not been a specific study within the local context that has concentrated on the role of product strategy on the growth of jua kali SME’s in Kenya and Meru County in particular. The existing studies by Bowen, Morara and Mureithi (2009), Kipyegon (2009), and Atieno (2001) focused on positioning strategies, financing and other general challenges that SME’s face for survival in Kenya. This study therefore aimed at examining the relationship between product strategy and the growth of SMEs and specifically to assess the effects of product strategy and growth of jua Kali enterprises in North Imenti sub-county, Meru County.

**Research objective**
This study aims to determine how product strategies affect growth of jua kali enterprises.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The study made use of descriptive research design that helped to explore the phenomena in which the relationship among the study variables occurs through correction of primary data. The target population for this study constituted the 128 jua kali enterprises as registered by Micro and Small Enterprises Authority Office in Meru County (MSEA) - Kenya in 2017 and belonging to North Imenti jua kali Association.

3.0 RESULTS

To establish how product strategy affect growth of jua kali enterprises, the artisans were asked to quantitatively rate how they select products to sell in their enterprises. The questionnaire was administered to 97 members of Imenti North jua kali association and a total of 61 suitable responses were received. Most of the respondents were female 55.7% and males at 44.3% with majority of the respondents 49% having attained form four qualification. The SME sector that these businesses operated in consisted mainly of agro processors (16.4%), Leather works (18%), metal fabricators and welders (11.5%), waste recyclers 6.6% and wood workers (11.5%). Instrument reliability was established by conducting a pilot survey and computing Cronbach’s alpha. The instrument was found to have Cronbach’s value of 0.773 which was within the acceptable range of 0.7 to 1.0 and therefore this shows that the instrument was reliable.

The respondents were presented with a set of seven factors regarding products that they could use to guide their selection of products to sell. They were asked to rate the importance of each factor on a five point scale that ranged from strongly disagree to strongly agree.

From the findings in Table 4.1 it was noted that 25(41.0%) respondents strongly agreed that their enterprises have continued to embrace emerging technologies, as reflected with the average mean of 4.10. Further analysis revealed that 21(34.4%) respondents agreed that they spent in enhancing the design of their products as was evidenced in the average mean of 3.56. In like manner 33(54.1%) respondents agreed that their products are competitive in the market as signified with the average mean of 3.77. In addition, 32(52.5%) residents agreed that the type and number of developed products determines their profitability reflecting an average mean of 3.97. Likewise, 28(45.9%) respondents also agreed that there are product designers contributing to enhance product features as evidenced in the average mean of 4.03. On product innovation, 28(45.9%) respondents agreed that there is continuous product innovation achieving an average mean of 4.00 and 30(49.2%) respondents agreed to sourcing product development and designs from other people and organizations as evidenced with average mean 4.08.

Majority of the respondents were in agreement that quality product affects growth of jua kali enterprises. This is to imply that growth of jua kali is affected by the quality of products. These results are in agreement with those of Ole Kulet, Wanyoike and Koima (2019) who established that organizational performance is affected by product strategy. This indicate that firms should employ enough resources to enhance improvements of product quality so as to increase their sales and customer retention that would translate to their growth.
Table 1: Importance Ratings of Product Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Statement (N=61)</th>
<th>1(1.6%)</th>
<th>2(8.2%)</th>
<th>3(39.3%)</th>
<th>4(34.4%)</th>
<th>5(16.4%)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>Is spend in enhancing the design of my products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.</td>
<td>Our products are competitive in the market due to their aesthetics, design and utility</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>4(6.6%)</td>
<td>15(24.6%)</td>
<td>33(54.1%)</td>
<td>9(14.8%)</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>The enterprise has continued to embrace emerging technologies</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>5(8.2%)</td>
<td>9(14.8%)</td>
<td>22(36.1%)</td>
<td>25(41.0%)</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.</td>
<td>The type and number of products developed determines my profitability</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>3(4.9%)</td>
<td>11(18.0%)</td>
<td>32(52.5%)</td>
<td>15(24.6%)</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.</td>
<td>There are product designers within my business contributing to enhanced product features</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>3(4.9%)</td>
<td>11(18.0%)</td>
<td>28(45.9%)</td>
<td>19(31.1%)</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI.</td>
<td>There is continuous product innovation</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>1(1.6%)</td>
<td>15(24.6%)</td>
<td>28(45.9%)</td>
<td>17(27.9%)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII.</td>
<td>We source for product development and designs from other people and organizations</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>13(21.3%)</td>
<td>30(49.2%)</td>
<td>18(29.5%)</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inferential analysis was conducted to establish the relationship between growth of jua kali SMEs and product strategy. Table 2 shows the model summary.

Table 2: Model Summary

| Model summary for relationship between growth of jua kali SMEs and product strategy |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| R    | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Durbin-Watson |
| 0.553 | 0.306    | 0.294             | 2.60655                   | 1.708         |

Predictors: (Constant), Product strategy  
Dependent Variable: Growth of jua kali SMEs

Pearson’s correlation coefficient in Table 2 shows strong positive correlation between product strategy and growth of jua kali SMEs. The coefficient of determination (R Square) shows that variations in product strategy account for 30.6 percent of the variations in growth of jua kali SMEs. Variables not included in this model account for remaining 69.4 percent of the variations. Durbin-Watson statistic further shows no auto-correlation among the residual errors (d=1.708, approximately near two).
Table 3: ANOVA

Analysis of variance for relationship between growth of jua kali SMEs and product strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>164.992</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>164.992</td>
<td>24.285</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>373.675</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>538.667</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent Variable: Growth of jua kali SMEs
Predictors: (Constant), Product strategy

Table 3 shows results for analysis of variance tested using F-statistics, which tests the null hypothesis that growth of SMEs is non-linear to product strategy. The results show that the p-value corresponding to the observed F-statistics is 0.000, which is less than 5 percent (F (1, 55) = 24.285, p = 0.000 < 0.05). Therefore, the study rejects the null hypothesis hence concluding that product strategy has significant linear relationship to growth of jua kali SMEs.

Table 4: Regression Analysis

Regression weights for relationship between growth of jua kali SMEs and product strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>8.806</td>
<td>3.843</td>
<td>2.292</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product strategy</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>4.928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent Variable: Growth of jua kali SMEs

The study set out to investigate the relationship between product strategy and growth of jua kali SMEs. The study had a null hypothesis that there is no significant relationship between product strategy and growth of jua kali SMEs at 5 percent significance level. The regression equation of the linear regression analysis is as shown in equation (iv):

\[ Y = 8.806 + 0.681 X_1 + e \] ........................ (iv)

Where

Y – Dependent variable (Growth of SMEs)
X₁ – Product Strategy
e – Regression error term

Table 4 shows that the p-value corresponding to product strategy is 0.000, which less than critical value 0.05. Therefore, the study rejects the null hypothesis implying that growth of SMEs relates positively and significantly to product strategy. This results coincides with that of (Janet & Ngugi, 2014) that product development strategy was the key critical factor of entrepreneurial marketing that influences growth of SMEs.

Discussion

The study revealed a positive relationship between product strategy and SME’s growth (r=0.553). The coefficient of determination (R Square) shows that variations in product strategy account for 0.306 of the variations in growth of jua kali SMEs. Variables not included in this model account for remaining 69.4 percent of the variations. Results for analysis of variance tested using F-
statistics, which tests the null hypothesis that growth of SMEs is non-linear to product strategy showed that the p-value corresponding to the observed F-statistics is 0.000, which is less than 5 percent (F (1, 55) = 24.285, P = 0.000 < 0.05). Therefore, the study rejects the null hypothesis hence concluding that product strategy has significant linear relationship to growth of jua kali SMEs.

The unstandardized beta coefficient (beta=0.681, p=0.00) further emphasizes the existence of a strong positive relationship indicating that; as the product strategies changes by one unit, 68.1% SME growth is realized. The p-value corresponding to distribution strategy is 0.000, which less than 5 percent. Therefore, the study rejects the null hypothesis implying that growth of SMEs relates positively and significantly to product strategy. The first finding this study is consistent with that of Jones (2010) who found that pricing strategy and new product development strategy are the major influences of entrepreneurial marketing that affects the growth of SMEs. The Kenyan government through the ministry of trade industry has endeavored to support SMEs and jua kali through a number of ways including sourcing for market for the products, access to credit, youth and women access to funds such as UWEZO through AGPO and enhancement of technical institute to deepen the skill (Ministry of industry, trade and cooperatives, 2018).

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

This study sought to assess the effect of product strategy on the growth of jua kali enterprises in North Imenti Sub County of Meru County. The study concluded that product strategy significantly affects SME’s growth (R=0.553, Beta=0.68, F=24.85, P=0.00<0.05). Therefore, the study rejected the null hypothesis hence concluding that product strategy has significant linear relationship to growth of jua kali SMEs. Marginal implementation of product strategy led to 68% growth of jua kali enterprises in North Imenti while holding other factors constant. The study therefore established that product strategy affect growth of jua kali enterprises in Meru County.

Recommendations

The study therefore recommends the owner entrepreneur managers to employ product development as a strategy for growth of the jua kali enterprise. The study recommends that; SMEs should invest in their products to ensure they are of desirable quality in order to satisfy their customers and maintain a competitive edge.
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