

Journal of  
**Gender Related Studies**  
(JGRS)

**Emerging Dimensions in the Conceptualization of Gender, Sex and  
Sexuality: A Theoretical Review**



## Emerging Dimensions in the Conceptualization of Gender, Sex and Sexuality: A Theoretical Review

Hussayn U Idris (PhD) 

Department of Educational Foundation, Faculty of Education

University of Jos, 08060037498

[Yesminama@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:Yesminama@yahoo.co.uk)

Bar. (Dr.) Grace P. Dafiell

[gdafiell.tahseri.org](http://gdafiell.tahseri.org)

Amina Kaseem

Department of Social Development Kaduna State Polytechnic

Accepted: 21<sup>st</sup> Apr 2023 Received in Revised Form: 9<sup>th</sup> May 2023 Published: 19<sup>th</sup> May 2023



### Abstract

**Purpose:** This report considers gender diversity across a range of spaces and places, the core purpose of the study was to stretch as far as possible the emerging dynamics in the conception of the term gender.

**Methodology:** The researchers adopted a desk review of related literature to interrogate theories, discussions and results to enable it reach its conclusions.

**Findings:** The researcher notes that while the notion of gender has been troubled, there exist opportunities to trouble it further. The highlight is on the scholarship that has sought to deconstruct genders, and the binary framing of man/woman and male/female roles and relationships, through a detailed desk review, this research has sought to draw attention to the emergence of geographical bodies that force the academic world to recognize the need to pay more attention to its distinctiveness. The queering of sexuality has meant that geographers are now tracing the ways in which lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, queer (LGBTIQ) bodies experience and live their gender beyond normative binaries. Research concerned with relational gendered subjectivities within LGBTIQ communities is discussed, and the study found that the trend of this research may conflate gendered experiences while privileging sexual subjectivities.

**Unique Contribution to Theory, Policy and Practice:** The study has succeeded in drawing attention to how body geographers are tracing the ways in which the LGBTIQ experience and live their gendered lives beyond normative binaries

**Keywords:** *Bodies, Binaries, Framing, Gender Variant, Genderqueer, Transgender*

## Introduction

Geographers have been troubling gender ever since Judith Butler's (1990) book *Gender Trouble* (see also Butler, 2004) prompted scholars to disrupt the presumed naturalness of the binary man-masculinity and woman-femininity. Any stable or essentialist understandings of gendered subjectivity have been critiqued. Gender—as understood through feminist, queer and poststructuralist lenses – is a regulated performance, and with this has risen the possibility of transformative power and politics (Sharp, 2009). A large body of scholarship exists precisely to highlight the fluidity of gender, disrupt binaries, and critically examine the relationship between gender and sexual subjectivities (Johnson, 2008; Longhurst and Johnston, 2014).

The field of gender geographies, however, has been charged with focusing ‘on normatively gendered men and women, neglecting the ways in which gender binaries can be contested and troubled’ (Browne et al., 2010: 573). It seems, then, that after several decades of feminist geographical research intent on subverting dualistic thinking, it is impossible to entirely break free from gender binaries. This has not deterred some geographers, who – informed by critical and queer theories – are considering the materiality and performativity of embodiment as a way of exposing the tyranny of gender (Doan, 2010) and the need for gender diversity (Sheehan and Vadjunec, 2015).

This research is guided by a politics of gender inclusivity. It is also driven by a desire to ‘genderqueer’ the discipline of geography via a critique of normative gender subjectivities, places and spaces. This progress report is organized in the following manner. The section ‘Bodies and places beyond binaries’ traces the emergence of binary blurring in geographies of gender scholarship and introduces some key genderqueer terms. Following this, the “‘LGBTIQ’ gender geographies’ section highlights relational gender and sexual subjectivities. Within these relational geographies transgender people may be simultaneously included and excluded, marginalized and valorized. There are opportunities for geographers to trace gender diversity, more carefully, across and within LGBTIQ communities. The last section, ‘Trans\* and gender variant bodies, spaces and places’, brings together explicit transgender geographies and gives insights into the structures that produce and differentiate non-normative gender across space and place.

II Bodies and places beyond binaries Gendered binaries have, for some time, been the subject of critique by human geographers (Bondi, 1992). Johnson (2008) reflects on the impact of an embodied approach to geography scholarship in order to upset gendered binary thinking. This research highlights the association of masculinity with the mind, rationality and so-called legitimate knowledge. The other side of this binary – femininity – is associated with emotion, irrationality, and the body (Johnston, 2005a). At the heart of this debate is the desire to unsettle and disrupt gendered binaries by taking embodiment seriously. The notion that all bodies fit into either a male or female is hotly contested.

In a groundbreaking collection on geographies of sex, gender and sexuality, Cream (1995:35) asks: ‘What is the sexed body?’ Cream (1995:36) shows that bodies which do not fit a male or female binary – bodies such as those who are transsexual, intersex, have XXY chromosomes – are ‘pioneers placed, often unwillingly, at the frontiers of sex and gender’. Also, during the mid-1990s Namaste (1996) addresses violence against people whose gender is understood as nonnormative, particularly for gay men, lesbians and transgender people. By the end of the 1990s it became well established that bodies and places are mutually constitutive and performative (Nast and Pile, 1998), and that gendered subjectivities are fluid. Metaphors such as mobility and fluidity are often used to describe bodies, places and their constitutive relationship (Baydar, 2012; Smith et al., 2015).

Considering ambiguously-sexed bodies, Browne (2004) notes that they may be subject to ‘genderism’. Hostile reactions towards gender transgressions – or the ‘bathroom problem’ where women are mistaken as men – bring into stark relief the performative and material consequences of binary gender norms (Browne, 2006). It is possible to be ‘a right geezer-bird’ – geezer being English slang for men and bird slang for women (Browne, 2006: 121). In other words, it is possible to be a man-woman. Men who have breasts – notes Longhurst (2005) – experience feeling uncomfortable in places such as swimming pools, beaches and changing rooms. Indeed, pregnant and lactating men are another example of bodies in between gendered binary (Longhurst, 2008). Studies of the excesses of body building and spaces of training (Johnston, 1996) are a good example of geographical research motivated to dismantle dualisms.

Multiple genders, and terms that trouble gender binaries, exist. For example, a person may identify as ‘gender queer’ when they do not align with normative or conventional binary gender identities (Nestle et al., 2002). Building upon earlier concepts such as ‘androgyny’, feminist scholars and transgender activists challenge and expand the concept of gender. Stone’s *the Empire Strikes Back: A Post transsexual Manifesto* (1987) and Feinberg’s *Transgender Liberation* (1992) and *Stone Butch Blues* (1993) are key texts which help develop a genderqueer movement (Weaver, 2014) and ‘gender outlaws’ (Bornstein, 1994). The term ‘transgender’ is usually understood – in the West – as an umbrella term for a diverse group of people whose gender is at odds with their ascribed gender (Valentine, 2007). Trans\* (with an asterisk) is shorthand for numerous gender minorities, for example, transsexual, transvestite, cross-dresser, genderqueer, nonbinary, gender fluid, agender, non-gendered, third gender, trans woman, trans man, drag king and drag queen, to name a few. Non-western non-heteronormative gender categories, for example those in the Pacific, do not necessarily align with western understandings of transgender (Besnier and Alexeyeff, 2014; Hutchings and Aspin, 2007; Schmidt, 2010; Stratford and Langridge, 2012; Te Awekotuku, 2001).

Also conflated with trans\* may be those who are intersex (Hird, 2003). Intersex refers to a person whose body is not the ‘standard’ male or female type, and it is estimated that one in 100 people have bodies that differ from standard male or female (see the Intersex Society of North America, [www.isna.org](http://www.isna.org)). The term encompasses over 30 different conditions that all originate prenatally during the fetus’s development (Christmas, 2010; Dreger, 2004; Johnston, 2014). Normatively

gendered bodies – and the social privilege that comes with this – have come to be known as cisgendered (Enke, 2012). The terms ‘cisgender’ and ‘cis’ are now being used by geographers to highlight the embodied privileges of non-transgender bodies in bathrooms (Cavanagh, 2012), and to unsettle the politics of naming the Women and Geography Study Group (Browne et al., 2013).

Key gender terms, rather than being fixed, are reflective of their geographical, historical and disciplinary context (Hines, 2010, 2013). For example, ‘transvestite’ has been related to gender deconstruction, and ‘category crisis’ thus challenges any notions of fixed subjectivities (Garber, 1992). Care is needed, however, to not undermine the position, status, and subjectivity of transvestite (Namaste, 1996). Transgender scholars (Davy, 2011; Prosser, 1998; Stryker and Aizura, 2013) have been deeply critical of the lack of engagement in transgender lives. Much of this scholarship argues for the avoidance of universalizing transgender subjectivities. The specificities of transgender mobility (Halberstam, 2005), migration (Cotton, 2012), and trans\* movements for social change (Wilson, 2002) are now on the agenda. Currah et al. (2008: 3), leaders in the field of trans\* studies, provide critical definitions, as well as trouble the term ‘trans’.

The work of these scholars is slowly being incorporated in geographies of genders and sexualities, as noted in the next section. There is a great deal of scope, however, to consider the lived and everyday realities of gender variant bodies, particularly within LGBTIQ communities.

#### ‘LGBTIQ’

Gender geographies researchers perusing the intersection of ‘LGBTIQ’ and gender is a fruitful area for geographers to consider the (in)stability of subjectivities. While sexual subjectivities, such as lesbian and gay, have captured the attention of geographers for many decades, it is only recently that we see a growing visibility of bisexual and trans\* subjectivities (Brown, 2011). The acronyms ‘LGBT’ or ‘LGBTQ’ appear in many geographical accounts of sexuality and community space. This grouping of gendered and sexual subjectivities is, for the most part, designed to reflect collective interests and ‘community as sexual and gendered minorities’ (Nash and GormanMurray, 2014: 757).

There may be a tendency by some, however, to add the letters ‘T’, ‘I’ and ‘Q’ to ‘LGBTIQ’ without giving specific attention to gendered differences. Some geographers have begun to pull apart the acronym in order to understand relational subjectivities and experiences of place and space (Nash, 2010). There is now a consideration of the intersections of queer, trans\*, and culture (Aiello et al., 2013) and the way in which prejudice works to further marginalize transgender people in LGBTIQ communities (Valentine, 2010). LGBTIQ gendered subjectivities have been missing in planning practice and legislation yet need to be considered in order to create inclusive queer spaces (Doan, 2011, 2015).

In an article that explores the relationship between transgender people and US cities, Doan (2007: 57) argues that ‘queer spaces occur at the margins of society and constitute a safe haven for LGBT oppressed by the hetero-normative nature of urban areas’. These ‘queer’ community spaces are

important safe spaces for the expression of non-normative gendered embodiment, for transgender people as well as other ‘genderqueer’ folk (Doan, 2009). Places that ‘should’ be inclusive and queer – Hubbard (2012) argues – are gay villages. Yet these spaces may be unwelcoming for gender variant and transgender people, as shown in Browne and Lim’s (2010) research in Brighton, ‘the gay capital of the UK’. Browne and Bakshi’s (2013) research found that trans\* people’s experiences of Brighton show that they are the most marginalized of the LGBTIQ grouping.

Tensions exist in this LGBT activist community (Browne and Bakshi, 2013) which may surface during gay pride festivals (Johnston, 2005b). Travel for pleasure to, for example, ‘gay friendly’ tourism destinations – such as Beirut – may mask the complexities of (trans) gender, race, class and sexualities (Moussawi, 2012). While many queer spaces – such as clubs and bars – serve as important haptic sites for constructing gender and sexual subjectivities (Johnston, 2012), they also exclude the ‘queer unwanted’ (Casey, 2007; Tan, 2013). ‘Unattractive’ people such as drab dykes (Browne, 2007) experience marginalization in these drag spaces. Similarly, gender diversity is both in and out of place at ‘Pussy Palace’, a Toronto women’s bathhouse event (Nash and Bain, 2007).

Andersson et al. (2011) consider LGBT struggles, lived experiences and theological views in New York and in the Anglican Communion (Vanderbeck et al., 2011). The intersectionality between religion, genders, and sexualities may transform Toledo, Ohio (Schroeder, 2013), and create safe spaces for African American LGBTIQ in Newark (Isoke, 2013). Neighborhood transformation is also the topic in Queer West Village, Toronto. Here place is re-imagined via a queer youth program involving people who are trans, intersex, questioning, and two-spirited (Bain et al., 2014). Queer villages, then, may simultaneously be dominated by gay men yet also be depicted as a place of LGBTIQ diversity (Podmore, 2013). Gay, straight and transgender alliances on campus (Davidson, 2014) or in women and gender studies classrooms (Kannen, 2013) may help create gender variant educational geographies.

At a grander scale, and related to a national desire to create ‘100 world cities’, the Indian government – Shah (2014) argues – must consider and respond to LGBTQ activism and rights. Art and politics come together on the stage where gender transgressions are applied to queer femme movements (Dahl, 2014). Drag king stage performances may sustain fragile LGBT communities (van Doorn, 2013). Some LGBTIQ spaces, such as those created by the Sydney Gay Games (Waitt, 2008), provide possibilities for inclusive transgender expressions and performances. Transformational stage performances may dilute homophobia and transphobia in contemporary Turkey (Selen, 2012). Collectively, the consideration of the ways in which LGBTIQ bodies are gendered (in a variety of spaces and places) contests binaries. LGBTIQ community places and spaces may be both welcoming and discriminatory of gender variant bodies. This research establishes the

### **Progress in Human Geography**

importance of political and collective alliances, yet too little attention is given to the gendered embodied differences of LGBTIQ community members, and transgender people in particular. While politically it may be important to hold onto the acronym's LGBT or LGBTIQ, some bodies, depending on their gender expressions, are still marginalized or missing from LGBTIQ geographical inquires. It is vital, therefore, that specific attention is given to trans\* and gender variant subjectivities.

Trans\* and gender variant bodies, spaces and places Recently geographers have become interested in trans theories and trans spaces and places (see a themed issue titled 'Towards trans geographies'; Browne et al., 2010). Doan (2010) – a powerful voice in the field of trans geographies, particularly her argument on the 'tyranny of gender' – challenges geographers to think beyond gender binaries. Browne and Lim (2010: 616) describe trans studies as 'a growing field of enquiry that seeks to redress...the absence of trans lives in queer theory (despite the conceptual deployment of trans subjectivities across this field)'. Hines (2010) uses the term 'trans' to include a diversity of gender identifications. These trans\* geographies are informed by a version of feminist, queer post structuralism and many hold firmly to the notion of materiality and visibility (Namaste, 2000).

To put this more directly, this scholarship is not just interested in representations or social constructions of bodies but also in the 'real' fleshy lived materiality's of bodies. An explicit reading of transgender and gender variant people's spaces and places highlights often overlooked exclusions. In a comprehensive study of drag queens in Florida's Key West, Taylor and Rupp (2005) show the ways in which drag queens unsettle the boundaries between man and woman, as well as gay and straight, in the nightclub. Misgav and Johnston (2014) pay attention to trans women's bodies, sweat and subjectivities in a Tel Aviv nightclub, and on another dance floor, in Detroit, 'ballroom culture' facilitates community queer spaces for black and Latina/o LGBTIQ people (Bailey, 2014).

The politics of gender can also be seen in analyses of transgender performance in contemporary Chinese films (He, 2013) and films about transnationalism (Sandell, 2010). Significantly, the research conducted in these 'club', dance and performance spaces highlights the ways in which gender is felt, celebrated and marginalized for trans\* people. The issues of transitioning at work, applying for work, and keeping work are being discussed by scholars (Bender-Baird, 2011; Doan, 2010). So, while there has been a shift from hiding one's trans identity to living it openly (due to the progress of transgender rights activists (Halberstam, 2005)), discrimination and marginalization still exist in many workplaces. Travesti – transgender sex workers in Brazil – prepare their bodies for work by using hormones and industrial silicone (Kulick, 1998).

The complexities of being travesti are also discussed by Williams (2013), who examines racialized sex work and exclusion in tourist districts of Salvador, Brazil. Silva has spent more than a decade supporting and researching travesti in Brazil, including those who move to Spain to work illegally. Her research emphasizes the implications of illegal work, globalization, and mobility (Silva and

Ornat, 2014). Payne (2015) notes that in Colombia right-wing paramilitary forces, the state, and leftist guerrilla groups are associated with transphobic and homophobic attacks. Discrimination and marginalization are also very evident when considering age. Not ‘fitting in’ is illustrated in the high levels of homelessness for transgender youth (Rek, 2009), and this has been noted even in gay neighborhoods such as The Castro in San Francisco (Brown, 2014).

In relation to natural disasters, homophobia and transphobia impact heavily on trans\* and intersex populations, who have difficulties with, for example, evacuation shelters (Dominey-Howes et al., 2013), displacement, and home loss (Gorman-Murray et al., 2014; D’Ooge, 2008). Some geographers have illustrated the ways in which festivals challenge gender discrimination in rural places through the inclusion of drag queens (Duffy et al., 2007). Also examining festivals, regional development and drag, Johnston (2009) considers lesbian comedic twins Lynda and Jools Topp, who ‘cross-dress to become “Ken and Ken”’. The Kens’ performances re-inscribe a nation – Aotearoa New Zealand – as a place of the ‘transgendered kiwi’ (Brady, 2012).

Another semi-rural and politically conservative place – Trinidad, Colorado, in the US – has become known as the sex change capital of the world due to the successes of Dr Stanley H. Biber with gender reassignment surgery (Johnston and Longhurst, 2010). Browne (2009, 2011) ventures into rural spaces of the Michigan Womyn’s Music Festival to consider the paradoxes and productive possibilities of womyn’s space and camp trans. Many oppose the Michfest womyn-born-womyn policy, arguing that it is ‘essentialist’, outmoded, and transphobic (Feinberg, 1997, Halberstam, 2005). Sport and leisure activities are saturated with normative gender binaries. When one does not fit gendered binaries – such as a man playing netball – problems arise (Tagg, 2012). Tagg’s (2014: 1) research shows the ‘most overtly transgender and fa’afafine [Samoan third gender] players were excluded so as to boost the association’s heterosexist credentials in other spaces of sport,

Caudwell (2012) discusses [transgender] young men’s social, physical and embodied experiences of football (see also Travers, 2006). Cosmetic surgery tourism – a growing field of medical tourism – is gaining attention from scholars, including geographers (Holliday et al., 2015) who note that trans\* patients are missing from promotional imagery. Aizura’s (2013) interviews with Thai and non-Thai trans\* women, clinic staff, and surgeons reveal that white trans\* women felt well cared for, but Thai and Vietnamese interviewees felt isolated and rejected. At the state level, research clearly documents pervasive discrimination against transgender people (for a UK example see Whittle et al., 2007). These country reports involve extensive consultation with transgender people and provide vital insights into the lives of trans\* people and their treatment by government agencies and courts.

They show, at the state level, that trans\* people are often not provided for in law and policy, and that inconsistencies and discrimination exist at the state level. The law often fails transgender people, who are at high risk of incarceration and who endure harsh conditions of confinement in



the hypermasculine spaces of, for example, US prisons (Rosenberg and Oswin, 2014). Applying for, and obtaining, consistent documentation regarding gender identity can be a major issue for trans\* people globally, and raises a number of issues for migration (Seuffert, 2009). Nations assume their citizens – heterosexual and homosexual – are cis men or cis women who conform to ‘natural’ or ‘normal’ binary gender. Explicit attention to transgender people’s embodied experiences gives insights into a myriad of structural inequalities, and resistances, produced and differentiated across spaces and places. Genders are relational and socio-culturally dynamic, and more research is needed to understand, specifically, transgender people’s embodied geographies.

### **Conclusion**

This report indicates that despite decades of troubling gender, geographers are only just beginning to consider trans\* and gender variant subjectivities. A number of provocative, political, and activist lines of research are opening up on transgender, genderqueer, and gender variant geographies. Much of this research is informed by a queer understanding of gendered embodiment, places and spaces. There is scope to elevate the importance and distinctiveness of trans\* and intersex people’s experiences within LGBTIQ community research. Geographers are yet to consider the normative and privileged places associated with being cisgendered. Contemporary scholarly debates, including those based on original empirical material, are now considering bodies and spaces that revolve around, resist, and live in-between and beyond binary gender. This suggests a promising future for transgender geographical investigations and a positive step towards genderqueer geographies

### **References**

- Aiello G, Bakshi S, Bilge S, Hall LK, Johnston L and Kimberee P (2013) Here, and not yet here: A dialogue at the intersection of queer, trans, and culture. *Journal of International and Intercultural Communication* 6(2): 96–117.
- Aizura AZ (2013) The romance of the amazing scalpel: ‘Race’, labor, and affect in Thai gender reassignment clinics. In: Stryker S and Aizura AZ (eds) *The Transgender Studies Reader 2*. New York: Routledge, 496–511.
- Andersson J, Vanderbeck RM, Valentine G, Ward K and Sadgrove J (2011) New York encounters: Religion, sexuality and the city. *Environment and Planning A* 43: 618–633.
- Bailey MM (2014) Engendering space: Ballroom culture and the spatial practice of possibility in Detroit. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(4): 489–507.
- Bain A, Payne W and Isen J (2014) Rendering a neighborhood queer. *Social and Cultural Geography* 16(4): 424–443.
- Baydar G (2012) Sexualized productions of space. *Gender, Place and Culture* 19(6): 699–706.

- Bender-Baird K (2011) *Transgender Employment Experiences: Gendered Perceptions and the Law*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Besnier N and Alexeyeff K (eds) (2014) *Gender on the Edge: Transgender, Gay, and Other Pacific Islanders*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Bondi L (1992) Gender and dichotomy. *Progress in Human Geography* 14(3): 438–445. Bornstein K (1994) *Gender Outlaw: On Men, Women, and the Rest of Us*. New York: Routledge.
- Brady A (2012) The transgendered Kiwi: Homosocial desire and 'New Zealand identity'. *Sexualities* 15(3– 4): 355–372.
- Brown M (2011) Gender and sexuality I: Intersectional anxieties. *Progress in Human Geography* 36(4): 541–550.
- Brown M (2014) Gender and sexuality II: There goes the gayborhood? *Progress in Human Geography* 38(3): 457–465.
- Browne K (2004) Genderism and the bathroom problem: (Re)materializing sexed sites, (re)creating sexed bodies. *Gender, Place and Culture* 11(3): 331–346.
- Browne K (2006) 'A right geezer-bird' (man-woman): The sites and sights of 'female' embodiment. *ACME: An International E-Journal for Critical Geographies* 5(2): 121–143.
- Browne K (2007) Drag queens and drab dykes: Deploying and deploring femininities. In: Browne K, Lim J and Brown G (eds) *Geographies of Sexualities*. Hampshire: Ashgate, 113–124.
- Browne K (2009) Womyn's separatist spaces: Rethinking spaces of difference and exclusion. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 35: 541–556.
- Browne K (2011) Beyond rural idylls: Imperfect lesbian utopias at Michigan Womyn's Music Festival. *Journal of Rural Studies* 27(1): 13–23.
- Browne K and Bakshi L (2013) *Ordinary in Brighton? LGBT, Activisms and the City*. Aldershot: Ashgate. Browne K and Lim J (2010) Trans lives in the 'gay capital of the UK'. *Gender, Place and Culture* 17(5): 615–633.
- Browne K and Nash CJ (eds) *Queer Methods and Methodologies: Intersecting Queer Theories and Social Science Research*. Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 129–142.
- Browne K, Lim J and Brown G (eds) (2007) *Geographies of Sexualities: Theories, Practices and Politics*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Browne K, Nash CJ and Hines S (2010) Introduction: Towards trans geographies. *Gender, Place and Culture: A Journal of Feminist Geography* 17(5):573–577.
- Browne K, Norcup J, Robson E and Sharp J (2013) What's in a name? Removing women from the Women and Geography Study Group. *Area* 45(1): 7–8.

- Butler J (1990) *Gender Trouble*. New York: Routledge. Butler J (2004) *Undoing Gender*. New York: Routledge. at University of Waikato Library on June 20, 2015 [phg.sagepub.com](http://phg.sagepub.com) Downloaded from
- Casey M (2007) The queer unwanted and their undesirable 'Otherness'. In: Browne K, Lim J and Brown G (eds) *Geographies of Sexualities*. Hampshire: Ashgate, 125–136. Caudwell J (2012) [Transgender] young men: Gendered subjectivities and the physically active body. *Sport, Education and Society* 19(4): 398–414.
- Cavanagh S (2012) Response from the author. *Gender, Place and Culture* 19(4): 549–551. Christmas G (2010) Research note: Intersexuality, feminism and the case for gender binaries. *Women's Studies Journal* 24(1): 60–65.
- Cotton T (ed.) (2012) *Transgender Migrations: The Bodies, Borders, and Politics of Transition*. New York: Routledge.
- Cream J (1995) Re-solving riddles: The sexed body. In: Bell D and Valentine G (eds) *Mapping Desire: Geographies of Sexualities*. London: Routledge, 31–40.
- Currah P, Green J and Stryker S (2009) *The State of Transgender Rights in the United States of America*. San Francisco, CA: National Sexuality Resource Center.
- D'Ooge C (2008) Queer Katrina: Gender and sexual orientation matters in the aftermath of the disaster. In: Willinger B (ed.) *Katrina and the Women of New Orleans*. New Orleans, LA: Tulane University, 22–24.
- Dahl U (2014) White gloves, feminist fists: Race, nation and the feeling of 'vintage' in femme movements. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(5): 604–621.
- Davidson E (2014) Responsible girls: The spatialized politics of feminine success and aspiration in a divided Silicon Valley, USA. *Gender, Place and Culture* 22(3): 390–404.
- Davy Z (2011) *Recognizing Transsexuals: Personal, Political and Medicolegal Embodiment*. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Doan PL (2007) Queers in the American city: Transgendered perceptions of urban spaces. *Gender, Place and Culture* 14: 57–74.
- Doan PL (2009) Safety and urban environments: Transgendered experiences of the city. *Women and Environment International* 78/79: 22–25.
- Doan PL (2010) The tyranny of gendered spaces: Living beyond the gender dichotomy. *Gender, Place and Culture* 17: 635–654.
- Doan PL (ed.) (2011) *Queering Planning: Challenging Heteronormative Assumptions and Reframing Planning Practice*. Farnham: Ashgate.

- Doan PL (ed.) (2015) *Planning and LGBTQ Communities: The Need for Inclusive Queer Spaces*. New York: Routledge.
- Dominey-Howes D, Gorman-Murray A and McKinnon S (2013) Queering disasters: On the need to account for LGBTI experiences in natural disaster contexts. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(7): 905–918.
- Dreger A (2004) Shifting the paradigm of intersex treatment. *Intersex Society of North America*. Available at: <http://www.isna.org/drupal/compare> (accessed March 2015).
- Duffy D, Waitt G and Gibson C (2007) Get in to the groove: The role of sound in generating a sense of belonging at street parades. *Altitude* 8. Available at: <http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article¼7159&context¼scipapers> (accessed June 2015).
- Enke FA (2013) The education of little cis: Binary gender and the discipline of opposing bodies. In: Stryker A and Aizura A (eds) *The Transgender Studies Reader 2*. New York: Routledge, 234–247.
- Feinberg L (1992) *Transgender Liberation: A Movement Whose Time Has Come*. New York: World View Forum.
- Feinberg L (1993) *Stone Butch Blues*. Boston: Alyson Books.
- Feinberg L (1997) *Transgender Warriors: Making History from Joan of Arc to Dennis Rodman*. Boston: Beacon.
- Garber M (1992) *Vested Interests: Cross-Dressing and Cultural Anxiety*. New York: Routledge.
- Gorman-
- Halberstam J (2005) *In a Queer Time and Place: Transgender Bodies, Subcultural Lives*. New York: New York University Press.
- He C (2013) Performance and the politics of gender: Transgender performance in contemporary Chinese films. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(5): 622–636.
- Hines S (2010) Queerly situated? Exploring negotiations of trans queer subjectivities at work and within community spaces in the UK. *Gender, Place and Culture* 17(5): 597–613.
- Hird M (2003) Considerations for a psychoanalytic theory of gender identity and sexual desire: The case of intersex. *Signs* 28(4): 1067–92.
- Holliday R, Bell D, Jones M, Hardy K, Hunter E, Probyn E and Sanchez Taylor J (2015) *Beautiful face, beautifulat* University of Waikato Library on June 20, 2015 [phg.sagepub.com](http://phg.sagepub.com) Downloaded from
- Hubbard P (2012) *Sexualities and Cities*. London: Routledge. Hutchings J and Aspin C (2007) *Sexuality and the Stories of Indigenous People*. Wellington: Huia Publishers.

- Isoke Z (2013) Can't I be seen? Can't I be heard? Black women queering politics in Newark. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(3): 353–369.
- Johnson L (2008) Re-placing gender? Reflections on 15 years of gender, place and culture. *Gender, Place and Culture* 15(6): 561–574.
- Johnston L (1996) Pumped up politics: Female body builders refiguring the body. *Gender, Place and Culture* 3(3): 327–340.
- Johnston L (2005a) Man: Woman. In: Cloke P and Johnston R (eds) *Spaces of Geographical Thought: Deconstructing Human Geography's Binaries*. London: SAGE, 119–141.
- Johnston L (2005b) *Queering Tourism: Paradoxical Performances at Gay Pride Parades*. London: Routledge.
- Johnston L (2009) Scholar's choice essay: 'The Topp Twins: Untouchable Girls: The Movie'. *Emotion, Space and Society* 2: 70–72.
- Johnston L (2012) Sites of excess: The spatial politics of touch for drag queens in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Emotion, Space and Society* 5: 1–9.
- Johnston L (2014) Documenting bodies beyond binaries. *The Association of American Geographers 106th Annual Meeting Abstract Volume*, 8–12 April, Tampa, Florida. Available at: <http://meridian.aag.org/callforpapers/program/AbstractDetail.cfm?AbstractID/455359> (accessed May 2015).
- Johnston L and Longhurst R (2010) *Space, Place and Sex: Geographies of Sexualities*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Kannen V (2013) These are not 'regular places': Women and gender studies classrooms as heterotopias. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(1): 52–67.
- Kulick D (1998) *Travesti: Sex, Gender and Culture among Brazilian Transgendered Prostitutes*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Longhurst R (2005) 'Man-breasts': Spaces of sexual difference, fluidity and abjection. In: van Hoven B and Ho`rschelmann K (eds) *Spaces of Masculinities*. London: Routledge, 165–178.
- Longhurst R (2008) *Maternities: Gender, Bodies, Space*. London: Routledge.
- Longhurst R and Johnston L (2014) Bodies, gender, place and culture: 21 years on. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(3): 267–278.
- Misgav C and Johnston L (2014) Dirty dancing: The (non)fluid geographies of a queer nightclub in Tel Aviv. *Social and Cultural Geography* 1–17. DOI: 10.1080/14649365.2014.916744.

- Moussawi G (2012) Queering Beirut, the ‘Paris of the Middle East’: Fractal orientalism and essentialized masculinities in contemporary gay travelogues. *Gender, Place and Culture* 20(7): 858–875.
- Murray A, McKinnon S and Dominey-Howes D (2014) Queer domicile: LGBT displacement and home loss in natural disaster impact, response and recovery. *Home Cultures* 11(2): 237–262.
- Namaste K (1996) Gender bashing: Sexuality, gender and the regulation of public space. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 14: 221–240.
- Namaste VK (2000) *Invisible Lives: The Erasure of Transsexual and Transgendered People*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Nash CJ (2010) Queer conversations: Old-time lesbians, transmen, and the politics of queer research. In:
- Nash CJ and Bain A (2007) Reclaiming raunch? Spatializing queer identities at a Toronto women’s bathhouse event. *Social and Cultural Geography* 8: 47–62.
- Nash CJ and Gorman-Murray A (2014) LGBT neighborhoods and ‘new mobilities’: Towards understanding transformations in sexual and gendered urban landscapes. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 38(3): 756–772.
- Nast H and Pile S (eds) (1998) *Places through the Body*. London: Routledge. Nestle J, Howell C and Wilchins R (eds) (2002) *Gender Queer: Voices From Beyond the Sexual Binary*. Los Angeles: Alyson Books. Payne W (2015) Death-squads contemplating queers as citizens: What Colombian paramilitaries are saying. *Gender, Place and Culture*. DOI: 10.1080/0966369X.2015.1013442.
- place: Relational geographies and gender in cosmetic surgery tourism websites. *Gender, Place and Culture* 22: 90–106.
- Podmore J (2013) Lesbians as village queers: The transformation of Montre’al’s lesbian nightlife in the 1990s. *ACME* 12(2): 220–249. Prosser J (1998) *Second Skins: The Body Narratives of Transsexuality*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Rek J (2009) Homeless gay and transgender youth of color in San Francisco. *Journal of LGBT Youth* 6: 223–242.
- Rosenberg R and Oswin N (2014) Trans embodiment in carceral space: Hypermasculinity and the US prison industrial complex. *Gender, Place and Culture*. DOI: 10.1080/0966369x.2014.969685.
- Sandell J (2010) Transnational ways of seeing: Sexual and national belonging in ‘Hedwig and the Angry Inch’. *Gender, Place and Culture* 17(2): 231–247.

- Schmidt J (2010) *Migrating Genders: Westernisation, Migration, and Samoan Fa'afaine*. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Schroeder CG (2013) (Un)holy Toledo: Intersectionality, interdependence, and neighborhood (trans)formation in Toledo, Ohio. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 104(1): 166–181.
- Selen E (2012) The stage: A space for queer subjectification in contemporary Turkey. *Gender, Place and Culture* 19(6): 730–749.
- Seuffert N (2009) Reflections on transgender immigration. *Griffith Law Review* 18(2): 428–452.
- Shah S (2014) Queering critiques of neoliberalism in India: Urbanism and inequality in the era of transnational 'LGBTQ' rights. *Antipode*. DOI: 10.1111/anti. 12112.
- Sharp J (2009) Geography and gender: What belongs to feminist geography? Emotion, power and change. *Progress in Human Geography* 33(1): 74–80.
- Sheehan R and Vadjunec J (2015) Roller derby's publicness: Toward greater recognition of diverse genders and sexualities in the Bible Belt. *Gender, Place and Culture*. DOI: 10.1080/0966369X.2015. 1013453.
- Silva JM and Ornat MJ (2014) Intersectionality and transnational mobility between Brazil and Spain in travesty prostitution networks. *Gender, Place and Culture*. DOI: 10.1080/0966369x.2014.939148.
- Smith L, Nairn K and Sandretto S (2015) Complicating hetero-normative spaces at school formals in New Zealand. *Gender, Place and Culture*. DOI: 10.1080/ 0966369X.2015.1034245.
- Stone S (1987) The empire strikes back: A posttranssexual manifesto. Available at: <http://pendientedemigracion.ucm.es/info/rqtr/biblioteca/Transexualidad/trans%20manifesto.pdf> (accessed 3 June 2015).
- Stratford E and Langridge C (2012) Critical artistic interventions into the geopolitical spaces of islands. *Social and Cultural Geography* 13(7): 821–843.
- Stryker S and Aizura AZ (eds) (2013) *The Transgender Studies Reader 2*. New York: Routledge.
- Tagg B (2012) Transgender netballers: Ethnical issues and lived realities. *Sociology of Sport Journal* 29: 151–167.
- Tagg B (2014) Men's netball or gender-neutral netball? *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. DOI: 10.1177/1012690214524757.
- Tan QH (2013) Flirtatious geographies: Clubs as spaces for the performance of affective heterosexualities. *Gender, Place and Culture* 20(6): 718–736.
- Taylor V and Rupp L (2005) When the girls are men: Negotiating gender and sexual dynamics in a study of drag queens. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 30(4): 2115–2139.
- Te Awekotuku N (2001) Hinemoa: Retelling a famous romance. *Journal of Lesbian Studies* 5: 1–11.

- Travers A (2006) Queering sport: Lesbian softball leagues and the transgender challenge. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport* 41(3–4): 431–446.
- Valentine D (2007) *Imagining Transgender: An Ethnography of a Category*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press. Valentine G (2010) Prejudice: Rethinking geographies of oppression. *Social and Cultural Geography* 11(6): 519–537.
- Van Doorn N (2013) Architects of ‘the good life’: Queer assemblages and the composition of intimate citizenship. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 31: 157–173.
- Vanderbeck RM, Andersson J, Valentine G, Sadgrove J and Ward K (2011) Sexuality, activism, and witness in the Anglican communion: The 2008 Lambeth Conference of Anglican Bishops. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 101(3): 670–689.
- Waitt G (2008) Boundaries of desire: Becoming sexual through the spaces of Sydney’s 2002 gay games. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 96(4): 773–787.
- Weaver H (2014) Friction in the interstices: Emotion and landscape in Stone Butch Blues. *Emotion, Space and Society* 12: 85–91.
- Whittle S, Turner L and Al-Alami M (2007) *Engendered Penalties: Transgender and Transsexual People’s Experiences of Inequality and Discrimination*. Wetherby: Communities and Local Government Publications.
- Williams EL (2013) Sex work and exclusion in the tourist districts of Salvador, Brazil. *Gender, Place and Culture* 21(4): 453–470. Wilson M (2002) ‘I am the Prince of Pain, for I am a Princess in the Brain’: Liminal transgender identities, narratives and the elimination of ambiguities. *Sexualities* 5(4): 425–448.

