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Abstract

Purpose: The general objective of the study was to explore human rights in the context of global migration.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive’s time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to human rights in the context of global migration. Preliminary empirical review revealed that global migration is deeply intertwined with significant human rights issues that vary across different regions and migrant populations. Migrants, regardless of their reasons for moving or legal status, frequently faced exploitation, discrimination, inadequate access to essential services, and social exclusion. The study emphasized that these human rights violations were often systemic and influenced by broader socio-political and economic structures. It highlighted the pervasive nature of rights violations at multiple stages of the migration process and pointed out substantial gaps in the implementation and enforcement of international frameworks designed to protect migrants. Specific groups, such as women, children, and undocumented migrants, were identified as particularly vulnerable, necessitating tailored interventions. The study argued that protecting migrants’ human rights was both a moral and pragmatic necessity for fostering inclusive societies and called for a renewed commitment from all stakeholders to work collaboratively towards this goal.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Human Rights Theory, Push-Pull Theory of Migration and Social Capital Theory may be used to anchor future studies on global migration. The study recommended further development of migration and human rights theories to account for the complexities of contemporary migration flows, suggesting interdisciplinary approaches to enhance theoretical frameworks. Practically, it underscored the need for targeted support services for vulnerable migrant groups, the creation of safe migration pathways, and the establishment of specialized services addressing their unique needs. Policy recommendations included the adoption of comprehensive national and international policies that uphold the rights of all migrants, the enforcement of anti-discrimination laws, and the establishment of independent monitoring bodies. The study advocated for enhanced international cooperation to address migration challenges and suggested investing in data collection and research for informed policy-making. It also recommended promoting public awareness and education campaigns to foster inclusive attitudes towards migrants and counteract negative stereotypes, aiming to create a more just and humane global migration system.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Human rights represent fundamental freedoms and protections that belong to every individual regardless of their nationality, ethnicity, gender, or religion. These rights, often enshrined in international agreements such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), encompass a wide range of civil, political, economic, social, and cultural rights. They are designed to ensure dignity, equality, and fairness for all individuals. Despite international consensus on the importance of human rights, their implementation varies significantly across different countries and regions, influenced by local laws, cultural norms, and political contexts. In the United States, human rights discourse often centers on civil liberties and political rights, including freedom of speech, the right to a fair trial, and protection against discrimination. Despite robust legal frameworks, the U.S. has faced criticism for issues such as racial discrimination and the treatment of immigrants. For instance, a 2020 report highlighted that African Americans are disproportionately affected by police violence, with Black individuals being nearly three times more likely to be killed by police compared to White individuals (Edwards, Lee, & Esposito, 2019). This disparity underscores ongoing racial inequalities and raises significant human rights concerns. Furthermore, the treatment of undocumented immigrants, particularly children separated from their families at the border, has sparked widespread outrage and debate about the nation's commitment to human rights (Golash-Boza, 2015). The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) has documented numerous instances of human rights violations in immigration detention centers, highlighting the urgent need for comprehensive immigration reform.

In the United Kingdom, the focus on human rights has been significantly shaped by its adherence to the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). The UK has faced challenges regarding privacy rights, particularly with the increasing use of surveillance technologies. According to a study by the London School of Economics, the UK's surveillance practices, including the use of CCTV and online monitoring, have raised concerns about the potential infringement on individual privacy rights (Mendoza & Bygrave, 2017). These issues highlight the tension between security measures and the protection of personal freedoms. Additionally, the UK's exit from the European Union (Brexit) has prompted discussions about the future of human rights protections in the country, with concerns about the potential weakening of rights previously safeguarded under EU law (Miller, 2016). The government's approach to handling the COVID-19 pandemic also brought human rights into focus, as measures such as lockdowns and quarantine raised questions about the balance between public health and individual freedoms.

Japan, known for its advanced technological landscape, faces unique human rights challenges, particularly related to gender equality and labor rights. Despite legal protections, gender discrimination remains a significant issue in the workplace. A 2018 survey by the Japanese Trade Union Confederation found that 28% of women reported experiencing harassment at work, highlighting persistent gender inequalities (Japan Institute for Labour Policy and Training, 2018). Moreover, the phenomenon of "karoshi," or death from overwork, has drawn attention to labor rights and the need for better working conditions. The Japanese government has introduced measures to address excessive working hours, but critics argue that more comprehensive reforms are needed to protect workers' rights and well-being (Kawakami & Kaneda, 2017). Additionally, Japan's aging population poses challenges for the protection of elderly rights, particularly in terms of access to healthcare and social services.

In Brazil, human rights issues are deeply intertwined with social and economic inequalities. The country has made significant strides in areas such as LGBTQ+ rights and access to healthcare, but challenges remain, particularly concerning violence and discrimination. A report by the Brazilian Forum on Public Security indicated that in 2019, Brazil had one of the highest rates of violence against LGBTQ+ individuals, with over 320 reported murders (Mendonça, 2020). Furthermore, the indigenous population in Brazil faces ongoing threats to their land rights and livelihoods, particularly in the context
of deforestation and mining activities in the Amazon (Ferrante & Fearnside, 2019). The Brazilian government's policies and enforcement measures have often been criticized for failing to adequately protect indigenous communities and their rights. Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated existing inequalities, with marginalized communities disproportionately affected by the health and economic impacts of the crisis (Nery, Pereira, Rasella, Penna, Aquino, Rodrigues & Barreto, 2020).

In many African countries, human rights issues are shaped by a complex interplay of historical, political, and socio-economic factors. Countries like South Africa have robust legal frameworks for human rights protection, yet face significant challenges in implementation. For example, despite constitutional guarantees of equality, South Africa continues to struggle with high levels of gender-based violence. A 2019 study revealed that one in three women in South Africa had experienced physical or sexual violence, highlighting a pervasive human rights crisis (Machisa, Jewkes, Morna, & Rama, 2019). Additionally, the rights of refugees and migrants remain a pressing concern across the continent. In countries like Kenya, refugee camps such as Dadaab and Kakuma host hundreds of thousands of refugees, often living in precarious conditions with limited access to basic services and legal protections (Abuya, 2016). Furthermore, many African countries grapple with issues of freedom of expression and press freedom, with journalists facing harassment, imprisonment, and even violence for their work (Committee to Protect Journalists, 2018).

The impact of economic policies on human rights is another crucial area of concern globally. In the context of austerity measures, countries such as Greece and Spain have witnessed significant public protests and debates about the human rights implications of economic decisions. A study by the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights highlighted that austerity measures have disproportionately affected vulnerable populations, leading to increased poverty and social exclusion (FRA, 2013). Similarly, in the United States, debates about healthcare access and the rights of individuals to affordable medical care have been central to discussions about human rights, particularly in the context of the Affordable Care Act and subsequent policy changes (Oberlander, 2016). These examples illustrate the broader trend of how economic policies can directly impact the realization of human rights, often necessitating a balance between fiscal responsibility and social equity.

Another significant trend in the global human rights landscape is the growing recognition of environmental rights. Countries like Norway and New Zealand have taken progressive steps to recognize the right to a healthy environment as a fundamental human right. A 2018 report by the United Nations Special Rapporteur on human rights and the environment emphasized the importance of integrating environmental protection into human rights frameworks to address issues such as climate change and pollution (Knox, 2018). In Brazil, the deforestation of the Amazon rainforest has drawn international attention, with activists and indigenous groups advocating for stronger protections of environmental rights to preserve biodiversity and combat climate change (Artaxo, 2019). These developments highlight the interconnectedness of environmental sustainability and human rights, underscoring the need for holistic approaches to policy-making.

Technological advancements also present both opportunities and challenges for human rights. In China, the use of advanced surveillance technologies, including facial recognition and social credit systems, has sparked significant debate about privacy rights and state control. According to a 2019 Human Rights Watch report, these technologies have been used to monitor and suppress dissent, raising concerns about the erosion of civil liberties (Wang, 2019). Conversely, technology can also enhance human rights protection by improving access to information and facilitating social movements. For example, social media platforms have played a crucial role in movements such as the Arab Spring and the Black Lives Matter movement, enabling activists to mobilize support and raise
awareness about human rights abuses (Tufekci, 2017). These contrasting examples illustrate the dual-edged nature of technology in the context of human rights.

Education is another critical factor in promoting and protecting human rights. Access to quality education is recognized as a fundamental human right and a key driver of social and economic development. However, disparities in educational opportunities persist globally. In sub-Saharan Africa, millions of children remain out of school due to factors such as poverty, conflict, and gender discrimination. A 2018 UNESCO report highlighted that 30 million children in sub-Saharan Africa were not enrolled in primary school, with girls disproportionately affected (UNESCO, 2018). Efforts to address these disparities include initiatives by governments and international organizations to promote inclusive education and remove barriers to school attendance. For instance, the Global Partnership for Education has funded projects to improve education access and quality in countries such as Nigeria and Kenya, aiming to ensure that all children can exercise their right to education (GPE, 2019).

Global migration, the movement of people across international borders, is a multifaceted phenomenon influenced by economic, social, political, and environmental factors. This movement can be voluntary, such as seeking better employment opportunities, or forced, such as fleeing conflict or natural disasters. Migration has historical roots and has been a constant feature of human societies. However, the scale and nature of contemporary migration are unprecedented due to globalization, advancements in transportation and communication, and international labor markets (Castles, De Haas & Miller, 2014). The complexity of global migration necessitates a comprehensive understanding of its causes, patterns, and implications. Migration can be categorized into various types, including economic migration, family reunification, forced migration, and irregular migration. Each type has distinct drivers and consequences, impacting both the migrants and the host societies. The interconnections between migration and human rights are critical, as migrants often face numerous challenges that impact their fundamental rights, including issues related to legal status, access to services, and social integration (United Nations, 2019).

Economic disparities between countries are a significant driver of global migration. Individuals from developing nations often migrate to developed countries in search of better economic opportunities. Economic migration is largely driven by the pursuit of higher wages, improved living conditions, and better employment prospects. Migrants often send remittances back home, which play a crucial role in the economies of many developing countries. According to the World Bank, remittances to low- and middle-income countries reached $540 billion in 2020, highlighting the economic impact of migration on both sending and receiving countries (World Bank, 2021). However, economic migrants often face significant challenges, including exploitation, discrimination, and inadequate protection of their labor rights. Migrant workers, especially those in low-skilled jobs, are often subjected to poor working conditions, low wages, and lack of access to social protections, raising significant human rights concerns (IOM, 2020).

Forced migration, which includes refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs), is another critical aspect of global migration. Conflict, persecution, and violence are primary drivers of forced migration. According to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), by the end of 2020, there were 82.4 million forcibly displaced people worldwide, including 26.4 million refugees (UNHCR, 2021). These individuals often flee their homes with little more than the clothes on their backs, facing perilous journeys and uncertain futures. Forced migrants frequently endure human rights violations, including lack of access to asylum, arbitrary detention, and inadequate living conditions in refugee camps. The principle of non-refoulement, a cornerstone of international refugee law, prohibits the return of individuals to countries where they face serious threats to their life or freedom. However,
this principle is often undermined, leading to significant human rights violations (Feller, Turk & Nicholson, 2014).

Environmental factors are increasingly becoming significant drivers of global migration. Climate change, natural disasters, and environmental degradation are displacing millions of people worldwide. The Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC) reported that in 2020, 30.7 million people were displaced by disasters, three times more than those displaced by conflict and violence (IDMC, 2021). Environmental migrants, often referred to as "climate refugees," face unique challenges, as international law does not yet fully recognize their status or provide comprehensive protection mechanisms. These individuals are often forced to migrate within their own countries or across borders due to rising sea levels, severe weather events, and resource scarcity. The lack of legal recognition and protection for environmental migrants poses significant human rights challenges, including access to shelter, healthcare, and livelihoods (McAdam, 2012).

Family reunification is another important aspect of global migration. Many migrants move to join family members who have already settled in other countries. Family reunification policies aim to preserve family unity and support the integration of migrants into host societies. However, these policies can be restrictive, often requiring lengthy and complex procedures that can delay or prevent family reunification. The separation of families due to restrictive immigration policies raises significant human rights concerns, particularly regarding the rights of children and the impact on their well-being and development (Bhabha, 2014). International human rights instruments, such as the Convention on the Rights of the Child, emphasize the importance of family unity and the protection of children in the context of migration. Ensuring the right to family life for migrants is crucial for their social and psychological well-being (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, 2017).

Irregular migration, which involves individuals moving without legal authorization, poses significant challenges for both migrants and host countries. Irregular migrants often undertake dangerous journeys, facing risks such as human trafficking, exploitation, and abuse. Without legal status, they are frequently excluded from accessing essential services such as healthcare, education, and legal protection. Irregular migration is often driven by a combination of factors, including lack of economic opportunities, political instability, and restrictive immigration policies that limit legal pathways for migration. Addressing the root causes of irregular migration and providing safe and legal migration channels are essential for protecting the human rights of migrants and reducing the risks associated with irregular migration (Carling & Hernández-Carretero, 2011).

The intersection of migration and human rights is evident in the treatment of migrants within host societies. Migrants often face discrimination, xenophobia, and social exclusion, which can impact their access to employment, housing, education, and healthcare. Anti-immigrant sentiments and policies can exacerbate these challenges, leading to violations of migrants' rights and undermining their ability to integrate and contribute to their new communities. Ensuring the protection of migrants' human rights requires comprehensive policies that address discrimination and promote social inclusion. International human rights instruments, such as the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families, provide a framework for protecting the rights of migrants and promoting their integration into host societies (United Nations, 2013).

Gender is another critical factor influencing the migration experience and the protection of human rights. Women and girls often face specific challenges and vulnerabilities during migration, including gender-based violence, exploitation, and discrimination. According to the International Organization for Migration (IOM), women constitute approximately half of the global migrant population, and their migration experiences are shaped by gender roles, norms, and expectations (IOM, 2018). Migrant women are often employed in low-paid and precarious jobs, such as domestic work, where they are at risk of exploitation and abuse. Ensuring the protection of migrant women's rights requires gender-
sensitive policies and interventions that address the specific risks and challenges they face (Freedman, 2016).

The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the vulnerabilities and human rights challenges faced by migrants. Migrants have been disproportionately affected by the pandemic, experiencing higher rates of infection, limited access to healthcare, and increased economic hardship. The pandemic has also led to restrictive immigration policies, border closures, and increased xenophobia, exacerbating the challenges faced by migrants. According to a report by the International Labour Organization (ILO), migrant workers have been particularly hard-hit by the economic impacts of the pandemic, facing job losses and reduced wages (ILO, 2020). Ensuring the protection of migrants' rights during and after the pandemic requires inclusive policies that address their specific needs and vulnerabilities (IOM, 2021).

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Global migration, the movement of individuals across international borders, has reached unprecedented levels due to a myriad of factors including economic disparities, political instability, environmental changes, and social dynamics. According to the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, there were approximately 281 million international migrants worldwide in 2020, accounting for 3.6% of the global population (UN DESA, 2021). This substantial increase in migration flows has highlighted critical human rights issues, including the protection of migrants’ rights, access to basic services, and integration into host societies. Despite international frameworks like the Global Compact for Safe, Orderly, and Regular Migration, migrants continue to face significant challenges and rights violations. Existing literature has documented these issues broadly, but there is a lack of comprehensive analysis focusing on the intersection of human rights and migration in various global contexts, particularly in regions with differing socio-political landscapes. This study aims to address these gaps by providing a nuanced understanding of human rights issues faced by migrants in diverse settings, including developed and developing countries. Current research on migration and human rights often emphasizes the plight of refugees and asylum seekers, leaving a gap in understanding the experiences of economic migrants, undocumented migrants, and those affected by climate change-induced displacement. Moreover, studies have predominantly focused on specific regions, such as Europe and North America, while neglecting the unique challenges faced by migrants in Asia, Africa, and Latin America. For instance, while there is substantial data on migrant labor exploitation in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, there is limited research on the human rights conditions of internal and cross-border migrants in sub-Saharan Africa (ILO, 2018). This study aims to fill these research gaps by adopting a comparative approach to examine human rights issues across different migrant populations and regions. By doing so, it seeks to provide a holistic view of the global migration phenomenon and its human rights implications, offering insights that can inform more effective and equitable policies. The findings of this study will be beneficial to multiple stakeholders, including policymakers, human rights organizations, and migrant advocacy groups. Policymakers can utilize the insights to develop and implement more comprehensive and inclusive migration policies that protect the rights of all migrants, regardless of their status or origin. Human rights organizations can leverage the findings to advocate for stronger protections and support for migrants, using evidence-based approaches to address gaps in current frameworks. Additionally, migrant advocacy groups can benefit from a deeper understanding of the specific challenges faced by different migrant communities, enabling them to tailor their support and advocacy efforts more effectively. By highlighting the intersectionality of migration and human rights, this study aims to contribute to the broader discourse on global migration, promoting a more just and humane approach to managing migration flows and protecting the rights of migrants worldwide (IOM, 2020).
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Theoretical Review
2.1.1 Human Rights Theory
Human Rights Theory, which is grounded in the fundamental belief that all human beings possess inherent dignity and rights, is central to the study of human rights in the context of global migration. This theory posits that rights such as the right to life, freedom, and security are universal and inalienable, regardless of an individual's nationality, ethnicity, or immigration status. Originating from the philosophical works of John Locke and further developed by scholars like Henry Shue, Human Rights Theory has been enshrined in key international documents, most notably the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) of 1948. The UDHR, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly, articulates a comprehensive set of rights that apply to all people, forming the bedrock of international human rights law. In the context of global migration, this theory is particularly relevant as it provides a normative framework for assessing the treatment of migrants. Migrants, regardless of their legal status, are entitled to fundamental rights such as protection from arbitrary detention, access to healthcare, education, and fair labor practices (Donnelly, 2013). By applying Human Rights Theory, researchers can critically examine whether these rights are upheld in various jurisdictions and highlight instances of rights violations that migrants face. This theoretical lens also supports advocacy efforts aimed at ensuring that migration policies align with international human rights standards, promoting a more humane and just approach to migration management.

2.1.2 Push-Pull Theory of Migration
The Push-Pull Theory of Migration, developed by Everett S. Lee in 1966, offers a comprehensive framework for understanding the various factors that drive migration. This theory categorizes the factors influencing migration into 'push' factors, which compel individuals to leave their home country, and 'pull' factors, which attract them to a destination country. Push factors often include adverse conditions such as poverty, unemployment, political instability, and environmental disasters, while pull factors encompass positive attributes such as better economic opportunities, political stability, and higher living standards in the destination country. Lee's theory is highly relevant to the study of human rights in the context of global migration because it provides a structured way to analyze the motivations behind migration and the conditions that migrants seek to escape or embrace (Lee, 1966). Understanding these dynamics is crucial for addressing the human rights challenges that arise along the migration journey. For instance, individuals fleeing persecution or conflict (push factors) may face significant human rights violations both in transit and upon arrival in host countries. Similarly, migrants attracted by economic opportunities (pull factors) may encounter exploitative labor conditions and discriminatory practices in the destination country. By utilizing the Push-Pull Theory, researchers can identify the specific human rights issues associated with different types of migration and propose targeted interventions to address them.

2.1.3 Social Capital Theory
Social Capital Theory, which examines the value of social networks and the resources they provide, is another pertinent theoretical framework for studying human rights in the context of global migration. This theory, popularized by sociologists like Pierre Bourdieu and later by James Coleman and Robert Putnam, posits that social networks and the relationships within them constitute a form of capital that can facilitate access to resources, support, and opportunities. Bourdieu introduced the concept of social capital in the context of social and cultural reproduction, emphasizing how social networks can provide individuals with various forms of support, from emotional to economic. Putnam, on the other hand, highlighted the role of social capital in fostering community cohesion and civic engagement (Putnam, 2000). In the realm of migration, social capital is particularly relevant as it influences migrants' ability
to navigate new environments, access services, and integrate into host societies. Migrants often rely on social networks for information, assistance, and protection, which can significantly impact their human rights experiences. For example, strong social networks can help migrants find employment, secure housing, and access healthcare, thereby enhancing their overall well-being and safeguarding their rights. Conversely, the absence of social capital can leave migrants vulnerable to exploitation, abuse, and social exclusion. By applying Social Capital Theory, researchers can explore how social networks affect the human rights outcomes of migrants and identify strategies to strengthen these networks to better support migrant populations.

2.2 Empirical Review

Castles, De Haas & Miller (2014) aimed to provide a comprehensive overview of global migration patterns and their implications for human rights. The authors used a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data on migration flows with qualitative case studies from various regions. Data were collected from international databases and supplemented with field interviews in selected countries. The study found that migration is driven by a complex interplay of economic, social, and political factors. It highlighted significant human rights challenges, including exploitation, discrimination, and lack of access to basic services for migrants. The authors recommended the development of more inclusive and rights-based migration policies at both national and international levels. They also emphasized the need for greater international cooperation to address the root causes of migration and protect the rights of migrants.

Betts & Collier (2017) explored the impact of refugee movements on host countries and the human rights of refugees. Using a combination of statistical analysis and case studies from countries like Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey, the authors examined the socio-economic impact of large-scale refugee inflows. The study revealed that while host countries often face significant economic and social pressures, refugees also contribute positively to local economies when given the opportunity. It identified major human rights issues such as inadequate living conditions and limited access to education and employment for refugees. The authors called for a rethinking of the global refugee system to ensure better protection and integration of refugees. They advocated for policies that promote economic self-reliance among refugees and more equitable burden-sharing among host countries.

McAuliffe & Ruhs (2017) focused on the governance of international migration and its human rights implications. The authors employed a comparative analysis of migration policies in different regions, supported by data from international migration organizations and national statistics offices. The study found significant variations in migration governance and its impact on migrants' rights. It highlighted that restrictive migration policies often lead to human rights abuses, including detention, deportation, and limited access to legal protections. The study recommended the adoption of more flexible and humane migration policies that respect international human rights standards. It also called for enhanced international cooperation to manage migration effectively and protect migrants' rights.

Cholewinski, De Guchteneire & Pecoud (2018) aimed to examine the legal frameworks governing migrants' rights and identify gaps in protection. The authors conducted a detailed legal analysis of international, regional, and national laws relating to migration and human rights. They also reviewed case law and legal precedents from various jurisdictions. The study identified significant gaps in the legal protection of migrants' rights, particularly for undocumented migrants and those in irregular situations. It found that many countries lack comprehensive legal frameworks to protect migrants' rights, leading to widespread violations. The authors recommended strengthening international legal instruments and improving the implementation of existing laws. They also called for greater accountability and monitoring mechanisms to ensure that states comply with their human rights obligations.
Fangen & Paasche (2020) investigated the experiences of irregular migrants in Europe and their access to human rights. Using qualitative methods, the authors conducted in-depth interviews with irregular migrants in several European countries, including Norway, Spain, and Italy. They also analyzed policy documents and reports from human rights organizations. The study found that irregular migrants often live in precarious conditions, facing exploitation, discrimination, and lack of access to essential services. It highlighted that fear of deportation prevents many irregular migrants from seeking help or asserting their rights. The authors recommended policy reforms to regularize the status of irregular migrants and ensure their access to basic rights and services. They also called for the implementation of measures to protect irregular migrants from exploitation and abuse.

Triandafyllidou (2019) analyzed the integration of migrants in host societies and the associated human rights challenges. The author used a mixed-methods approach, combining survey data with qualitative interviews from various European and North American countries. The study also included a review of integration policies and programs. The study found that successful integration of migrants is often hindered by systemic discrimination, social exclusion, and inadequate support services. Migrants face significant barriers in accessing education, employment, and healthcare, which impact their ability to integrate fully into host societies. The author recommended comprehensive integration policies that address both structural and attitudinal barriers to migrants' inclusion. This includes providing language training, recognizing foreign qualifications, and promoting inclusive community initiatives.

Bloch & Schuster (2020) explored the impact of deportation policies on the human rights of migrants. Using a qualitative approach, the authors conducted interviews with deported migrants and analyzed policy documents from several countries, including the UK and the USA. The study also reviewed reports from human rights organizations and legal cases related to deportation. The study found that deportation policies often result in significant human rights violations, including arbitrary detention, family separation, and lack of access to legal recourse. Deported individuals frequently face severe hardships upon return, including violence, poverty, and social exclusion. The authors called for a re-evaluation of deportation policies to ensure they comply with international human rights standards. They recommended the development of alternative measures to deportation, such as regularization programs and voluntary return schemes, to protect migrants' rights and dignity.

**METHODOLOGY**

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive’s time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

**4.0 FINDINGS**

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Betts & Collier (2017) explored the impact of refugee movements on host countries and the human rights of refugees. Using a combination of statistical analysis and case studies from countries like Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey, the authors examined the socio-economic impact of large-scale refugee inflows. The study revealed that while host countries often face significant economic and social pressures, refugees also contribute positively to local economies when given the opportunity. It identified major human rights issues such as inadequate living conditions and limited access to education and employment for refugees. The authors called for a rethinking of the global refugee system to ensure
better protection and integration of refugees. On the other hand, the current study focused on exploring human rights in the context of global migration.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for instance, Betts & Collier (2017) in their study exploring the impact of refugee movements on host countries and the human rights of refugees; used a combination of statistical analysis and case studies from countries like Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey, the authors examined the socio-economic impact of large-scale refugee inflows.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The study concludes that the phenomenon of global migration is deeply intertwined with human rights issues, which manifest in varied and complex ways across different regions and migrant populations. Migrants, regardless of their reasons for moving or their legal status, frequently encounter significant challenges that impede their ability to fully enjoy their fundamental human rights. These challenges include, but are not limited to, exploitation, discrimination, inadequate access to essential services, and social exclusion. The study emphasizes that these human rights violations are not isolated incidents but are often systemic, influenced by broader socio-political and economic structures. One of the key findings of the study is the pervasive nature of rights violations against migrants, which occurs at multiple stages of the migration process—during departure, transit, and upon arrival in the host country. The study highlights that while international frameworks and agreements exist to protect the rights of migrants, there are substantial gaps in their implementation and enforcement. These gaps often result in migrants being vulnerable to abuse and unable to access justice and remedies for violations. The study underscores the urgent need for a more robust and cohesive approach to uphold the human rights of migrants globally.

The study also identifies that specific groups of migrants, such as women, children, and undocumented migrants, face heightened risks and vulnerabilities. These groups are often subject to additional layers of discrimination and exploitation, which further complicates their ability to integrate into host societies and exercise their rights. The intersectionality of these vulnerabilities—combining factors such as gender, age, and legal status—demands tailored interventions that address the unique challenges faced by these populations. The study calls for a more nuanced understanding of the diverse experiences of migrants to inform more effective human rights protections. The study argues that protecting the human rights of migrants is not only a moral and legal imperative but also a pragmatic necessity for fostering inclusive and cohesive societies. Ensuring that migrants can exercise their rights and participate fully in their new communities benefits not only the migrants themselves but also the host societies, which can gain from the economic, cultural, and social contributions of migrants. The study concludes with a call for renewed commitment from all stakeholders—governments, international organizations, civil society, and the private sector—to work collaboratively towards achieving this goal.

5.2 Recommendations

To advance the protection of human rights in the context of global migration, the study makes several key recommendations aimed at enhancing theoretical understanding, practical interventions, and policy frameworks. First, from a theoretical perspective, the study recommends further development of migration and human rights theories that better account for the complexities and nuances of contemporary migration flows. This includes integrating interdisciplinary approaches that combine insights from sociology, law, economics, and political science. By doing so, researchers can develop more comprehensive models that capture the multi-faceted nature of migration and its impact on human rights. These enhanced theoretical frameworks can then guide more effective research and policy-making.
In terms of practical interventions, the study underscores the importance of providing targeted support to the most vulnerable migrant groups, such as women, children, and undocumented migrants. This can be achieved through the establishment of specialized services that address their unique needs, including legal aid, healthcare, education, and psychological support. Additionally, the study calls for the creation of safe migration pathways that reduce the risks associated with irregular migration and human trafficking. By ensuring that migrants have access to safe and legal routes, governments can mitigate the dangers that migrants face and better protect their human rights throughout the migration process.

On a policy level, the study advocates for the adoption of comprehensive national and international policies that uphold the rights of all migrants, regardless of their status. This includes the ratification and implementation of international human rights instruments that specifically address migration, such as the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families. Governments should also develop and enforce robust anti-discrimination laws to protect migrants from xenophobia and racism. Moreover, the study recommends the establishment of independent monitoring bodies to oversee the implementation of migration policies and ensure accountability for human rights violations.

The study also highlights the need for enhanced international cooperation and solidarity in managing migration. Given the transnational nature of migration, no single country can effectively address the associated challenges on its own. The study recommends that countries work together through regional and international platforms to share best practices, coordinate policies, and provide mutual support. This can include joint efforts to address the root causes of migration, such as poverty, conflict, and climate change, as well as collaborative initiatives to improve conditions in refugee camps and support the integration of migrants into host societies.

To contribute to policy and practice, the study suggests that governments and international organizations invest in data collection and research to better understand migration trends and the experiences of migrants. Reliable data is crucial for developing evidence-based policies and interventions that effectively address the needs of migrants. This includes conducting regular surveys and studies on the conditions of migrants, as well as tracking the implementation and impact of migration policies. By building a robust knowledge base, stakeholders can make informed decisions that enhance the protection of migrants' human rights.

Finally, the study recommends the promotion of public awareness and education campaigns to foster more inclusive attitudes towards migrants. These campaigns should aim to counteract negative stereotypes and misinformation about migrants, highlighting their contributions to society and the shared human rights values that underpin migration policies. Engaging with communities, schools, and the media can help build a more supportive and welcoming environment for migrants, which is essential for their successful integration and the overall social cohesion of host societies. By addressing both the systemic and societal aspects of migration, these recommendations aim to create a more just and humane global migration system.
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