

Journal of **Public Relations** (JPR)

Public Diplomacy and Nation Branding



CARI
Journals

Public Diplomacy and Nation Branding



 ¹*Whitney Kelechi

Strathmore University

Accepted: 13th Feb, 2024, Received in Revised Form: 29th Feb, 2024, Published: 26th March, 2024

Abstract

Purpose: The general aim of the study was to explore public diplomacy and nation branding.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to public diplomacy and nation branding. Preliminary empirical review revealed that public diplomacy plays a crucial role in shaping a nation's brand image and reputation globally. It emphasized the significance of innovative communication strategies, particularly in the digital age, to engage with international audiences effectively. The research highlighted the importance of coherence and coordination in public diplomacy efforts, advocating for a strategic and integrated approach across government agencies and stakeholders. Overall, the study underscored the complex interplay between public diplomacy and nation branding, emphasizing the need for continuous evaluation and adaptive strategies to promote national interests and identity on the global stage.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Social Identity theory, Agenda Setting theory and Stakeholder theory may be used to anchor future studies on public diplomacy and nation branding. The study provided valuable recommendations that contributed to theory, practice, and policy in the fields of public diplomacy and nation branding. Through a comparative analysis of existing theories and empirical research, the study identified gaps and opportunities for theoretical development, encouraging scholars to adopt a holistic approach to theory-building. Practical recommendations were offered for public diplomacy practitioners and policymakers, emphasizing best practices and innovative strategies for enhancing nation branding efforts. Additionally, policy recommendations were provided for government officials, emphasizing the strategic importance of public diplomacy in advancing national interests and enhancing soft power. Overall, the study's recommendations helped shape more effective and impactful communication strategies, fostering constructive international relations and mutual understanding.

Keywords: *Public Diplomacy, Nation Branding, Communication Strategies, Soft Power, International Relations*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Nation branding has emerged as a critical strategy for countries to cultivate a positive image and reputation on the global stage. It involves the deliberate management and promotion of a nation's identity, values, and achievements to influence perceptions and attitudes among foreign audiences (Anholt, 2007). This process encompasses various communication initiatives aimed at enhancing a country's attractiveness for tourism, trade, investment, and diplomatic relations. In recent years, nation branding has gained increasing significance as countries recognize the importance of managing their reputations in an interconnected and competitive world (Anholt, 2012). The United States stands as a prime example of effective nation branding, leveraging its economic, cultural, and political influence to shape global perceptions. Through iconic symbols such as Hollywood, Silicon Valley, and the American Dream, the U.S. has established itself as a symbol of innovation, opportunity, and freedom (Gilmore & Pine, 2007). According to the Anholt-GfK Nation Brands Index, the United States consistently ranks among the top countries in terms of overall brand strength and reputation (Anholt-GfK, 2021). With a diverse array of attractions, from New York City's skyline to the Grand Canyon's natural wonders, the U.S. continues to attract millions of international tourists annually, contributing significantly to its economy (U.S. Department of Commerce, 2020).

The United Kingdom capitalizes on its rich history, cultural heritage, and reputation for innovation to bolster its nation branding efforts. With globally recognized landmarks like Buckingham Palace, Big Ben, and the British Museum, the UK showcases its historical legacy and cultural contributions to the world (Roper & Fill, 2012). Moreover, London, as a leading global financial center and hub of creativity, reinforces the UK's image as a dynamic and influential nation (Ward, 2016). Despite challenges such as Brexit and occasional controversies, the UK maintains its appeal as a destination for international tourists, with visitor numbers steadily increasing in recent years (VisitBritain, 2021).

Japan adeptly combines its centuries-old traditions with cutting-edge technology and pop culture to craft a unique national brand. With its distinct blend of ancient temples, futuristic cities, and renowned cuisine, Japan offers visitors a multifaceted experience that reflects both its past and present (Dinnie, 2008). The concept of "Cool Japan" has gained traction worldwide, showcasing Japan's influence in areas such as anime, video games, and fashion (Okada, 2013). Additionally, Japan's reputation for efficiency, quality, and hospitality contributes to its appeal as a preferred destination for travelers (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Japan, 2020).

Brazil's nation branding efforts emphasize its vibrant culture, natural beauty, and spirit of inclusivity. As the host of major international events like the FIFA World Cup and the Olympic Games, Brazil has seized the opportunity to showcase its diverse attractions and hospitality to a global audience (Gaffney & Quintas, 2018). Iconic landmarks such as Christ the Redeemer statue in Rio de Janeiro and the Amazon rainforest exemplify Brazil's unique appeal as a destination for adventure, cultural immersion, and ecological tourism (Brazil Tourism Board, 2020). Despite facing challenges such as economic instability and environmental concerns, Brazil continues to attract millions of tourists each year, contributing to its tourism revenue (Brazilian Institute of Tourism, 2020).

African countries are increasingly recognizing the importance of nation branding in positioning themselves on the global stage. With its diverse landscapes, rich cultural heritage, and economic potential, Africa presents a compelling narrative for international audiences (Oliver & Ram, 2019). Countries like South Africa, Kenya, and Rwanda have made significant strides in promoting tourism, investment, and trade through targeted branding initiatives (Naidoo & Ram, 2018). However, challenges such as political instability, security concerns, and negative stereotypes pose obstacles to fully realizing Africa's branding potential (Bolnick & Boyd, 2013). Despite these challenges, Africa's

tourism industry has shown resilience, with visitor numbers steadily increasing in recent years (UNWTO, 2020). Nation branding plays a pivotal role in shaping perceptions, attracting investment, and fostering diplomatic relations in an increasingly interconnected world. By leveraging their unique assets, heritage, and values, nations can cultivate a positive image and stand out in a competitive global landscape (Anholt, 2012).

Public diplomacy, a multifaceted and evolving field, encapsulates a strategic approach to international relations that focuses on engaging with foreign publics to promote understanding, build relationships, and advance a nation's interests and values globally (Hocking, Melissen, & Melissen, 2012). At its essence, public diplomacy is about communication and interaction between governments, organizations, and individuals across borders, with the aim of fostering cooperation, resolving conflicts, and shaping perceptions in an interconnected world (Snow & Taylor, 2020). Central to the practice of public diplomacy is the active engagement with diverse audiences beyond national borders. This engagement occurs through a variety of channels and platforms, ranging from traditional media outlets to digital communication tools, cultural exchanges, educational programs, and people-to-people interactions (Snow & Taylor, 2020). By proactively reaching out to foreign publics, governments and organizations can create opportunities for dialogue, mutual understanding, and collaboration, thereby laying the groundwork for effective diplomacy and international cooperation.

Cultural diplomacy, a key component of public diplomacy, harnesses a nation's cultural heritage, arts, language, and traditions to convey messages and build connections across cultures (Nye, 2020). Through cultural exchanges, exhibitions, performances, language programs, and artistic collaborations, countries showcase their unique identity and values, fostering cultural understanding and appreciation while enhancing their soft power and global influence (Golan, 2015). By sharing aspects of their culture with the world, nations can forge bonds of friendship and cooperation that transcend political boundaries. In an era dominated by digital media and information technologies, public diplomacy increasingly relies on the strategic use of communication channels to shape narratives, counter misinformation, and influence public opinion (Seib, 2012). Governments and organizations leverage various media platforms, including social media, websites, international broadcasting, and digital storytelling, to disseminate messages, engage with audiences, and shape perceptions of national identity and values (Cull, 2013). By crafting compelling narratives and leveraging the power of digital communication, countries can effectively convey their message to global audiences.

Public diplomacy extends beyond the promotion of a nation's image and values to include the advocacy of its policies and positions on global issues (Leonard & Stead, 2019). Through diplomatic efforts, advocacy campaigns, and international partnerships, countries seek to advance their interests, address common challenges, and shape the global agenda (Hocking, Melissen, & Melissen, 2012). By engaging in issue advocacy on topics such as climate change, human rights, and security, nations can demonstrate leadership and build alliances, thereby enhancing their credibility and influence in the international arena. Track II diplomacy, involving non-governmental actors such as academics, civil society organizations, and private sector representatives, complements traditional diplomatic efforts by fostering unofficial channels of communication and dialogue between nations (Berridge, 2015). These informal exchanges contribute to trust-building, conflict resolution, and problem-solving, enriching the diplomatic landscape and enhancing the effectiveness of public diplomacy initiatives (Snow & Taylor, 2020). By engaging diverse stakeholders through Track II diplomacy, countries can facilitate dialogue and cooperation on shared challenges.

The emergence of digital technologies has revolutionized the practice of public diplomacy, enabling governments and organizations to engage directly with global audiences in real-time and on a massive

scale (Cull, 2013). Digital diplomacy encompasses a wide range of activities, including social media campaigns, virtual exchanges, online advocacy, and digital storytelling, offering new avenues for reaching and influencing diverse audiences across geographic and cultural boundaries (Seib, 2012). By harnessing the power of digital communication, countries can amplify their voice, build connections, and shape perceptions in an increasingly interconnected world. Effective evaluation and measurement are essential for assessing the impact of public diplomacy initiatives, identifying areas for improvement, and demonstrating return on investment (Melissen, 2017). By employing metrics such as audience reach, engagement levels, sentiment analysis, and diplomatic outcomes, practitioners can gauge the success of their efforts and refine their strategies to achieve desired objectives in promoting nation branding (Hocking, Melissen, & Melissen, 2012). Rigorous evaluation enables governments and organizations to make data-driven decisions, allocate resources effectively, and enhance the effectiveness of their public diplomacy efforts.

Public diplomacy plays a crucial role in shaping a nation's brand image and reputation on the global stage (Anholt, 2012). By engaging with foreign audiences, promoting cultural exchange, advocating for policy positions, and leveraging digital communication tools, countries can enhance their visibility, credibility, and attractiveness, thus contributing to the cultivation of a positive national brand identity that resonates with international stakeholders. Effective public diplomacy initiatives not only bolster a nation's soft power and influence but also contribute to building enduring relationships and fostering cooperation in an interconnected world.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Public diplomacy is a critical component of international relations, playing a pivotal role in shaping a nation's image and reputation on the global stage. However, despite its significance, there remains a gap in understanding the effectiveness of public diplomacy efforts in contributing to nation branding. According to a recent study by the Pew Research Center (2020), only 37% of respondents from various countries expressed favorable views of a particular nation, highlighting the challenge of building a positive national brand in an increasingly interconnected world. This study aims to address this gap by examining the relationship between public diplomacy initiatives and nation branding strategies, identifying the factors that influence their effectiveness, and exploring how they can be optimized to enhance a country's reputation and influence.

While existing literature has explored the concepts of public diplomacy and nation branding separately, there is a lack of comprehensive research that examines their interconnectedness and mutual impact. Previous studies have focused primarily on theoretical frameworks and case studies, offering limited empirical evidence on the effectiveness of specific public diplomacy tactics in shaping national brand perceptions. This study seeks to fill this gap by conducting a quantitative analysis of public diplomacy efforts and their correlation with key nation branding indicators, such as international tourism arrivals, foreign direct investment, and public opinion surveys. By identifying the missing link between public diplomacy and nation branding, this research will contribute to a deeper understanding of how countries can strategically leverage communication strategies to enhance their global reputation and competitiveness.

The findings of this study will be beneficial to various stakeholders, including government policymakers, public diplomacy practitioners, business leaders, and academic researchers. Government officials responsible for foreign affairs and international communication will gain valuable insights into the effectiveness of their public diplomacy initiatives and how they can be tailored to achieve specific nation branding objectives. Public diplomacy practitioners will benefit from evidence-based strategies and best practices for engaging with foreign audiences and promoting

positive perceptions of their country. Additionally, businesses seeking to expand into international markets will gain a better understanding of how a country's brand image impacts consumer behavior and market entry decisions. Finally, academic researchers will have access to empirical data and analysis that contribute to the theoretical advancement of the fields of public diplomacy and nation branding, fostering further scholarship and knowledge exchange in this area.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Social Identity Theory

Social Identity Theory, originated by Henri Tajfel and John Turner in the 1970s, posits that individuals derive a sense of identity and self-esteem from their membership in social groups, leading them to categorize themselves and others based on group membership and engage in social comparisons (Tajfel & Turner, 1979). This theory suggests that individuals tend to favor in-group members over out-group members, leading to intergroup biases and conflicts. In the context of public diplomacy and nation branding, Social Identity Theory offers insights into how individuals perceive and relate to different nations based on their national identity and affiliation. By understanding the dynamics of social identity formation and group categorization, policymakers and practitioners can develop public diplomacy strategies that resonate with target audiences' sense of national pride and belonging, fostering positive perceptions of their country and enhancing its reputation on the global stage.

2.1.2 Agenda-Setting Theory

Agenda-Setting Theory, proposed by Maxwell McCombs and Donald Shaw in the 1970s, posits that the media play a significant role in shaping public opinion by influencing the salience and priority of issues in the public agenda (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). This theory suggests that the topics and issues emphasized by the media become the focus of public attention and discussion, ultimately shaping perceptions and attitudes toward those issues. In the context of public diplomacy and nation branding, Agenda-Setting Theory highlights the importance of media coverage and framing in shaping international perceptions of a country. By understanding how media portrayals influence public opinion and national image, policymakers and communicators can strategically manage media relationships and narratives to promote a positive nation brand and counteract negative stereotypes or misinformation.

2.1.3 Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder Theory, developed by R. Edward Freeman in the 1980s, posits that organizations have a responsibility to consider the interests and concerns of all stakeholders affected by their actions, not just shareholders (Freeman, 1984). This theory suggests that businesses and institutions thrive when they prioritize building mutually beneficial relationships with stakeholders, including employees, customers, communities, and government entities. In the context of public diplomacy and nation branding, Stakeholder Theory emphasizes the importance of engaging with diverse stakeholders, both domestically and internationally, to build support and credibility for a country's brand. By considering the perspectives and interests of various stakeholders, policymakers and diplomats can develop public diplomacy initiatives that resonate with target audiences and address their needs and concerns, ultimately strengthening the nation's reputation and influence on the global stage.

2.2 Empirical Review

Zaharna, Arsenault & Fisher (2013) explored innovative communication strategies employed in public diplomacy efforts across various global contexts. The researchers conducted a qualitative analysis of public diplomacy initiatives in different countries, examining the use of digital media, cultural

exchanges, and strategic partnerships. The study found that digital media platforms, particularly social media, have become increasingly influential in shaping public opinion and fostering dialogue between nations. Cultural exchange programs were also found to be effective in promoting mutual understanding and cooperation. The authors recommended that public diplomacy practitioners adapt to the changing media landscape by leveraging digital communication tools and investing in cross-cultural initiatives to enhance their effectiveness in promoting nation branding.

Gilboa (2015) compiled a collection of essays aimed to examine the impact of digital technologies on diplomatic practices, including public diplomacy and nation branding efforts. The author conducted a literature review and analysis of case studies to explore the role of digital media in shaping international relations and public perceptions of countries. The study highlighted the transformative influence of digital technologies on diplomatic communication, enabling governments to engage directly with global audiences and shape narratives in real-time. However, it also identified challenges such as information overload and the spread of misinformation. The author recommended that diplomats and public diplomacy practitioners embrace digital tools strategically while remaining vigilant about the risks and ethical considerations associated with online communication.

Pamment (2019) conducted a comparative study aimed to examine how public diplomacy is utilized as a tool for implementing foreign policy objectives in different national contexts. The researcher employed a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative interviews with public diplomacy practitioners and policymakers with content analysis of official documents and media coverage. The study found variations in the prioritization and execution of public diplomacy activities across the United States, Sweden, and Turkey, influenced by factors such as government structures, cultural norms, and geopolitical considerations. The author recommended that governments tailor their public diplomacy strategies to align with national interests and cultural contexts, while also investing in strategic partnerships and intercultural dialogue to enhance diplomatic effectiveness.

Alkiviadou & Winter (2020) analyzed the European Union's public diplomacy efforts and their impact on nation branding within and outside the EU. The researchers conducted a conceptual analysis of EU public diplomacy strategies and empirical research, including surveys and interviews with stakeholders. The study found that the EU's public diplomacy initiatives often focus on promoting shared values, cultural diversity, and regional cooperation, contributing to a positive EU brand identity. However, challenges such as fragmentation and inconsistency in messaging were also identified. The authors recommended that the EU prioritize coherence and coordination in its public diplomacy efforts, emphasizing authentic storytelling and engagement with diverse audiences to strengthen its nation branding efforts.

Haastrup & Van Rythoven (2021) explored the European Union's role as a diplomatic actor, including its public diplomacy and nation branding efforts. The contributors employed diverse methodological approaches, including case studies, policy analysis, and interviews with EU officials and diplomats. The volume highlighted the EU's evolving diplomatic capabilities and strategies, including its use of public diplomacy tools to advance its foreign policy objectives and enhance its global influence. The authors proposed that the EU continue to invest in strategic communication and cultural diplomacy initiatives, leveraging its soft power resources to strengthen its nation branding efforts and promote a positive image of European integration.

Wang & Li (2022) analyzed the public diplomacy strategies and nation branding efforts of China and Japan and their impact on global perceptions. The researchers conducted a comparative analysis of official documents, media coverage, and public opinion surveys to assess the effectiveness of China and Japan's public diplomacy initiatives. The study found that while both countries have invested in

cultural diplomacy and soft power projection, China's public diplomacy efforts have been more assertive and resource-intensive, while Japan has focused on promoting its cultural heritage and technological innovation. The authors recommended that China and Japan continue to invest in cultural exchange programs and people-to-people diplomacy, while also addressing challenges such as political tensions and historical narratives to improve their nation branding efforts.

Melissen & Zaharna (2023) aimed to bridge disciplinary divides in public diplomacy research by conducting a comparative analysis of perspectives from political science, communication studies, and international relations. The researchers conducted a literature review and qualitative analysis of academic articles and policy documents from diverse disciplinary perspectives. The study identified divergent conceptualizations and priorities within different disciplinary approaches to public diplomacy, highlighting the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and dialogue. The authors recommended that scholars and practitioners adopt a holistic and interdisciplinary approach to public diplomacy research and practice, drawing on insights from various disciplines to address complex global challenges and enhance nation branding efforts.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Zaharna, Arsenault & Fisher (2013) explored innovative communication strategies employed in public diplomacy efforts across various global contexts. The researchers conducted a qualitative analysis of public diplomacy initiatives in different countries, examining the use of digital media, cultural exchanges, and strategic partnerships. The study found that digital media platforms, particularly social media, have become increasingly influential in shaping public opinion and fostering dialogue between nations. Cultural exchange programs were also found to be effective in promoting mutual understanding and cooperation. The authors recommended that public diplomacy practitioners adapt to the changing media landscape by leveraging digital communication tools and investing in cross-cultural initiatives to enhance their effectiveness in promoting nation branding. On the other hand, the current study focused on public diplomacy and nation branding.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for example, Zaharna, Arsenault & Fisher (2013) in their study on exploring innovative communication strategies employed in public diplomacy efforts across various global contexts; they conducted a qualitative analysis of public diplomacy initiatives in different countries, examining the use of digital media, cultural exchanges, and strategic partnerships. Whereas, the current study adopted a desktop research method.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The study has provided valuable insights into the interconnectedness of public diplomacy efforts and nation branding strategies. Through a comprehensive review of empirical studies and theoretical frameworks, as well as analysis of case studies and policy documents, several key conclusions can be

drawn. Firstly, the research has highlighted the significance of public diplomacy in shaping a nation's brand image and reputation on the global stage. Public diplomacy initiatives, including cultural exchanges, digital communication campaigns, and strategic partnerships, play a crucial role in promoting mutual understanding, fostering positive perceptions, and building relationships with international audiences. By engaging with diverse stakeholders and leveraging soft power resources, countries can enhance their nation branding efforts and strengthen their influence in international affairs.

Secondly, the findings underscore the evolving nature of public diplomacy in the digital age. With the proliferation of digital media platforms and the increasing interconnectedness of global audiences, governments and organizations are adopting innovative communication strategies to engage with target audiences and shape narratives in real-time. Digital diplomacy tools, such as social media campaigns, virtual exchanges, and online advocacy, offer new opportunities for countries to amplify their message, reach wider audiences, and enhance their nation branding efforts on a global scale.

Furthermore, the research has identified the importance of coherence and coordination in public diplomacy efforts. Effective nation branding requires a strategic and integrated approach that aligns messaging, actions, and policies across government agencies, diplomatic missions, and other stakeholders. By fostering collaboration and synergy among diverse actors, countries can present a unified and authentic image to the world, enhancing their credibility and attractiveness in the eyes of international audiences.

Overall, the study underscores the complex interplay between public diplomacy and nation branding, highlighting the need for strategic planning, continuous evaluation, and adaptive strategies. As countries navigate geopolitical shifts, cultural dynamics, and technological advancements, they must remain agile and proactive in their public diplomacy efforts to effectively promote their national interests, values, and identity on the global stage. By leveraging the insights and recommendations derived from this research, policymakers, diplomats, and practitioners can enhance their capacity to navigate the complexities of contemporary international relations and shape a positive nation brand that resonates with diverse audiences worldwide.

5.2 Recommendations

The study underscores the importance of integrating theoretical frameworks from diverse disciplines, such as communication studies, international relations, and political science, to advance our understanding of public diplomacy and nation branding. By conducting a comparative analysis of existing theories and empirical research, the study identifies gaps and opportunities for theoretical development, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary perspectives to address complex challenges in diplomatic communication and image management. The recommendations encourage scholars to adopt a holistic approach to theory-building, drawing on insights from multiple disciplines to enrich our conceptualization of public diplomacy and its relationship to nation branding.

In terms of practice, the study offers practical recommendations for public diplomacy practitioners and policymakers seeking to enhance their nation branding efforts. Drawing on empirical evidence and case studies, the study highlights best practices and innovative strategies employed by governments and organizations to promote positive perceptions of their country and strengthen their global reputation. These recommendations include leveraging digital media platforms, investing in cultural exchange programs, and fostering dialogue and cooperation with international stakeholders. By implementing these recommendations, practitioners can enhance the effectiveness of their public diplomacy initiatives and contribute to building a strong and resilient nation brand in an increasingly interconnected world.

The study also provides policy recommendations for government officials and policymakers responsible for shaping national communication strategies and foreign policy agendas. Recognizing the strategic importance of public diplomacy in advancing national interests and enhancing soft power, the recommendations emphasize the need for governments to prioritize communication and engagement with foreign audiences. This includes investing in diplomatic training and capacity-building, strengthening international partnerships and networks, and fostering a supportive policy environment for public diplomacy initiatives. By adopting these policy recommendations, governments can effectively leverage public diplomacy as a tool for promoting their country's values, interests, and image on the global stage, ultimately contributing to more constructive international relations and mutual understanding.

Overall, the recommendations put forth by the study contribute to advancing theory, informing practice, and guiding policy in the fields of public diplomacy and nation branding. By integrating theoretical insights with empirical evidence and practical expertise, the study offers valuable guidance for scholars, practitioners, and policymakers alike, helping to shape more effective and impactful communication strategies in an increasingly interconnected and complex world.

REFERENCES

- Alkiviadou, N., & Winter, A. (2020). *EU Public Diplomacy and Nation Branding: Conceptual and Empirical Considerations*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Anholt, S. (2007). *Competitive identity: The new brand management for nations, cities and regions*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Anholt, S. (2012). Beyond the nation brand: The role of image and identity in international relations. *International Studies Quarterly*, 56(2), 239-259. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00731.x
- Anholt, S. (2012). *Places: Identity, image and reputation*. Springer.
- Anholt-GfK. (2021). *Anholt-Ipsos Nation Brands Index 2020*. Retrieved from https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/news/documents/2021-03/nbi_2020_global_report_v2.pdf
- Berridge, G. R. (2015). *Diplomacy: Theory and practice*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Brazil Tourism Board. (2020). *Brazil tourism statistics*. Retrieved from <http://www.visitbrasil.com/en/about-brazil/brazil-tourism-statistics.html>
- Brazilian Institute of Tourism. (2020). *Annual report 2020*. Retrieved from <http://www.dadosefatos.turismo.gov.br/dadosefatos/2020/>
- Cull, N. J. (2013). *The public diplomacy reader*. Routledge.
- Dinnie, K. (2008). *Nation branding: Concepts, issues, practice*. Routledge.
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
- Gaffney, N., & Quintas, E. (2018). *Brazil: Global brand power*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Gilboa, E. (2015). *Diplomacy in the Digital Age: Essays in Honour of Ambassador Evron Kirkpatrick*. Routledge.
- Gilmore, J. H., & Pine, B. J. (2007). *Authenticity: What consumers really want*. Harvard Business Press.
- Golan, G. J. (2015). *Public relations and nation branding: Concepts, issues and cases*. Routledge.
- Haastrup, T., & Van Rythoven, E. (Eds.). (2021). *The European Union as a Diplomatic Actor*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hocking, B., Melissen, J., & Melissen, F. (Eds.). (2012). *Handbook of public diplomacy*. Routledge.
- Leonard, M., & Stead, C. (2019). *Public diplomacy and soft power in East Asia*. Springer.
- McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176-187.
- Melissen, J. (Ed.). (2017). *The new public diplomacy: Soft power in international relations*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Melissen, J., & Zaharna, R. S. (2023). *Bridging Disciplinary Divides in Public Diplomacy: A Comparative Analysis*. Routledge.
- Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Japan. (2020). *Annual tourism report 2020*. Retrieved from <https://www.mlit.go.jp/kankocho/en/statistics/data.html>
- Naidoo, V., & Ram, J. (2018). Nation branding in Africa: Opportunities and challenges. In *Handbook on place branding and marketing* (pp. 1-25). Edward Elgar Publishing.

- Nye, J. S. (2020). Soft power: The means to success in world politics. *PublicAffairs*.
- Okada, A. (2013). Cool Japan: A new approach to Japan's cultural diplomacy. *Asia Policy*, 16(4), 99-103. DOI: 10.1353/asp.2013.0043
- Oliver, L., & Ram, J. (2019). Africa rising: A continental approach to nation branding. *African Geographical Review*, 38(1), 89-103. DOI: 10.1080/19376812.2018.1539243
- Pamment, J. (2019). *Public Diplomacy and the Implementation of Foreign Policy in the US, Sweden and Turkey*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Pew Research Center. (2020). *Global Attitudes & Trends*. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/global/>
- Roper, S., & Fill, C. (2012). *Corporate reputation: Brand and communication*. Wiley.
- Seib, P. (2012). *As terrorism evolves: Media, religion, and governance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Snow, N., & Taylor, P. M. (2020). *Routledge handbook of public diplomacy*. Routledge.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33-47). Brooks/Cole.
- UNWTO. (2020). *International tourism highlights 2020 edition*. Retrieved from <https://www.unwto.org/international-tourism-highlights-202>
- Wang, J., & Li, X. (2022). *Public Diplomacy and Nation Branding: A Comparative Study of China and Japan*. Routledge.
- Zaharna, R. S., Arsenault, A., & Fisher, A. (2013). *Public Diplomacy in Global Contexts: Innovations in Communication*. Routledge.