European Journal of Linguistics

(EJL)

Pragmatic Competence in Second Language Learners





Pragmatic Competence in Second Language Learners



Kisii University

Accepted: 15th Apr 2024 Received in Revised Form: 15th May 2024 Published: 15th Jun 2024

Abstract

Purpose: The general objective of the study was to analyze pragmatic competence in second language learners.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to pragmatic competence in second language learners. Preliminary empirical review revealed that pragmatic competence is crucial for effective communication in a second language, often lacking in learners despite their grammatical proficiency. Explicit instruction in pragmatic norms and strategies significantly improved learners' communication abilities. Cultural immersion and authentic language use were found essential for developing practical pragmatic skills. The study also highlighted the importance of considering individual differences, such as motivation and language aptitude, to tailor instruction and enhance pragmatic training effectively.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Speech Act Theory, Politeness Theory and Socio-Cultural Theory may be used to anchor future studies on pragmatic competence in second language learners. The study highlighted the need for a broader theoretical understanding that integrates sociolinguistics and cognitive sciences, recommended incorporating pragmatic skills into language curricula through authentic dialogues and interactive activities, and advocated for policies that prioritize pragmatic competence in language proficiency standards and assessments. It emphasized the importance of cross-cultural awareness and recommended collaborative efforts among educators, researchers, and policymakers to develop comprehensive frameworks. The study also suggested ongoing research to monitor the effectiveness of these changes and adapt educational practices accordingly.

Keywords: *Pragmatic Competence, Cross-Cultural Awareness, Language Proficiency, Standards, Authentic Dialogues, Collaborative Frameworks*





www.carijournals.org

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pragmatic competence is a crucial component of communicative competence, encompassing the ability to use language effectively and appropriately in social interactions. It involves understanding and applying the rules of language use in various contexts, recognizing the implied meanings, and adhering to social norms and cultural nuances. This skill is vital for successful communication, especially for second language learners who must navigate not only the grammatical and lexical aspects of a new language but also its pragmatic rules. The development of pragmatic competence is influenced by several factors, including exposure to the language, cultural immersion, and the instructional methods employed. According to Bardovi-Harlig and Dörnyei (1998), pragmatic competence is essential for avoiding misunderstandings and fostering effective communication.

In the United States, pragmatic competence among second language learners has been a focus of research, particularly concerning Spanish-speaking immigrants. Studies have shown that pragmatic errors can lead to significant misunderstandings, impacting social interactions and professional relationships. For instance, Taguchi (2011) highlighted that Spanish-speaking learners often struggle with using appropriate politeness strategies in English, which can be perceived as rudeness or insensitivity by native speakers. This gap in pragmatic competence underscores the need for targeted instructional strategies that address these specific challenges, such as role-playing exercises and exposure to authentic language use in various social settings (Taguchi, 2011).

The United Kingdom, with its diverse multicultural population, presents a unique context for studying pragmatic competence. Research indicates that second language learners in the UK often face difficulties in mastering the subtleties of British English, particularly in terms of politeness and indirectness. A study by Culpeper and Archer (2008) found that learners from East Asian backgrounds, such as Chinese and Japanese, frequently encounter challenges in interpreting and using indirect speech acts, which are common in British English. These pragmatic misunderstandings can lead to social friction and hinder effective communication. The study suggests that incorporating pragmatic training into language courses can significantly improve learners' ability to navigate these complexities (Culpeper & Archer, 2008).

In Japan, the emphasis on grammatical accuracy in language education has often overshadowed the development of pragmatic competence. However, recent research has begun to address this gap. Ishihara & Cohen (2010) explored the pragmatic challenges faced by Japanese learners of English, particularly in understanding and using idiomatic expressions and politeness strategies. The findings indicate that Japanese learners often struggle with the pragmatic aspects of English due to the significant differences between Japanese and English communication styles. The study recommends incorporating more pragmatic-focused activities, such as interaction with native speakers and the use of pragmatic awareness-raising tasks, into the language curriculum (Ishihara & Cohen, 2010).

Brazil presents an interesting case for pragmatic competence, given the country's growing importance on the global stage and the increasing demand for English proficiency. Silva (2012) examined the pragmatic competence of Brazilian learners of English, focusing on their ability to use and understand speech acts such as requests, apologies, and compliments. The study found that while Brazilian learners are generally proficient in grammatical aspects of English, they often lack pragmatic competence, leading to misunderstandings and communication breakdowns. The author suggests that language instructors should place greater emphasis on teaching pragmatic skills through authentic interactions and exposure to various communicative contexts (Silva, 2012).

In African countries, where multilingualism is common, the development of pragmatic competence is particularly complex. For example, in Kenya, English is often learned as a second or third language, with significant pragmatic differences from the local languages. Ogutu (2013) highlighted the



www.carijournals.org

pragmatic challenges faced by Kenyan learners of English, particularly in understanding and using indirect speech and politeness strategies. The research found that these learners often transfer pragmatic norms from their first language into English, leading to misunderstandings. The study recommends integrating pragmatic instruction into language teaching, focusing on the specific needs and cultural backgrounds of the learners.

Statistics on the trends in pragmatic competence development among second language learners indicate varying degrees of proficiency across different regions. For instance, a study by Taguchi (2014) analyzed data from several countries and found that learners in immersion environments, such as those in the USA and the UK, tend to develop higher levels of pragmatic competence compared to those in non-immersion settings. The study suggests that exposure to authentic language use and cultural immersion play critical roles in enhancing pragmatic skills. Furthermore, it highlights the importance of incorporating pragmatic training into language education to bridge the gap between grammatical and pragmatic competence. The importance of pragmatic competence is further underscored by its impact on professional communication. In multinational companies, employees from different linguistic and cultural backgrounds must collaborate effectively. Spencer-Oatey & Xing (2003) examined pragmatic competence in international business settings, finding that pragmatic misunderstandings often lead to conflicts and inefficiencies. The research emphasizes the need for pragmatic training in corporate language programs to improve cross-cultural communication and foster better working relationships. This approach not only enhances individual competence but also contributes to organizational success by facilitating smoother interactions.

Pragmatic competence is also crucial in educational contexts, where effective communication between teachers and students is essential. Bardovi-Harlig (2013) explored the pragmatic challenges faced by international students in American universities, focusing on classroom interactions and academic discourse. The research found that students often struggle with understanding and using appropriate speech acts, such as making requests or expressing disagreement, which can affect their academic performance and social integration. The study recommends that universities provide targeted support for developing pragmatic skills, such as workshops and peer mentoring programs, to help international students navigate these challenges. Pragmatic competence is a vital aspect of language proficiency that goes beyond grammatical accuracy. It involves understanding and applying the social and cultural norms of language use, which is particularly challenging for second language learners. Research across different countries, including the USA, the United Kingdom, Japan, Brazil, and African countries, highlights the importance of pragmatic competence for effective communication. These studies underscore the need for targeted instructional strategies and immersive experiences to enhance learners' pragmatic skills. As the global landscape continues to evolve, the development of pragmatic competence will remain a key area of focus in language education and intercultural communication research.

Second language learners (L2 learners) refer to individuals who are in the process of learning a language other than their native tongue. This process involves acquiring proficiency in speaking, listening, reading, and writing in the target language. L2 learners encompass a diverse group, including immigrants, refugees, international students, and individuals learning a language for personal or professional reasons. The journey of acquiring a second language is influenced by various factors such as age, motivation, exposure to the language, and the instructional methods employed. Research has shown that younger learners tend to acquire pronunciation and grammatical structures more easily, while older learners often bring more cognitive and metalinguistic awareness to the learning process (Singleton & Ryan, 2004).



www.carijournals.org

One of the key challenges L2 learners face is achieving pragmatic competence, which is the ability to use language effectively and appropriately in social contexts. Pragmatic competence involves understanding not just the literal meaning of words, but also the implied meanings, cultural nuances, and social norms that govern language use. For example, an L2 learner might know the grammatical structure for making requests but may struggle with the politeness strategies required in different social settings. This gap in pragmatic competence can lead to misunderstandings and communication breakdowns, impacting the learner's ability to integrate and function effectively in the target language community (Kasper & Rose, 2002).

The importance of pragmatic competence is particularly evident in multicultural and multilingual contexts, such as the United States. In the US, Spanish-speaking immigrants often face challenges in mastering the pragmatics of English. Taguchi (2011) highlighted that these learners frequently encounter difficulties in using appropriate politeness strategies, which can lead to perceptions of rudeness or insensitivity. For instance, direct requests in Spanish may be seen as blunt or impolite in English. This highlights the need for instructional strategies that address pragmatic aspects of language use, such as role-playing and exposure to authentic interactions.

In the United Kingdom, the pragmatic challenges for L2 learners are often related to the subtleties of British English. Research indicates that learners from East Asian backgrounds, such as Chinese and Japanese, may struggle with indirect speech acts and the high value placed on politeness and formality in British communication. Culpeper & Archer (2008) found that these learners often misunderstand or fail to use the indirect requests and hints common in British English, leading to social friction and communication difficulties. The study suggests that incorporating pragmatic training into language courses can help learners navigate these subtleties more effectively.

In Japan, the traditional emphasis on grammatical accuracy in English language education has often overshadowed the development of pragmatic competence. Ishihara & Cohen (2010) explored the pragmatic challenges faced by Japanese learners of English, particularly in understanding idiomatic expressions and using politeness strategies. Japanese communication tends to be more indirect and context-dependent, which can clash with the more direct style of English. This mismatch can lead to misunderstandings and social discomfort. The study recommends integrating pragmatic awareness-raising activities into the curriculum to help learners develop the necessary skills to communicate effectively in English.

Brazilian learners of English face similar challenges in developing pragmatic competence. Silva (2012) examined the ability of Brazilian learners to use and understand speech acts such as requests, apologies, and compliments. The study found that while these learners are often proficient in the grammatical aspects of English, they frequently lack the pragmatic skills needed for effective communication. For example, Brazilian learners may use more direct forms of requests, which can be perceived as impolite by native English speakers. The author suggests that language instructors should place greater emphasis on teaching pragmatic skills through authentic interactions and exposure to various communicative contexts.

In African countries, the development of pragmatic competence among L2 learners is particularly complex due to the multilingual nature of these societies. In Kenya, for instance, English is often learned as a second or third language, with significant pragmatic differences from local languages. Ogutu (2013) highlighted the pragmatic challenges faced by Kenyan learners of English, particularly in understanding and using indirect speech and politeness strategies. The research found that these learners often transfer pragmatic norms from their first language into English, leading to misunderstandings. The study recommends integrating pragmatic instruction into language teaching, focusing on the specific needs and cultural backgrounds of the learners.



www.carijournals.org

Statistics on the trends in pragmatic competence development among L2 learners indicate varying degrees of proficiency across different regions. Taguchi (2014) analyzed data from several countries and found that learners in immersion environments, such as those in the USA and the UK, tend to develop higher levels of pragmatic competence compared to those in non-immersion settings. The study suggests that exposure to authentic language use and cultural immersion play critical roles in enhancing pragmatic skills. Furthermore, it highlights the importance of incorporating pragmatic training into language education to bridge the gap between grammatical and pragmatic competence.

Pragmatic competence is also crucial in professional communication, especially in multinational companies where employees from different linguistic and cultural backgrounds must collaborate effectively. Spencer-Oatey and Xing (2003) examined pragmatic competence in international business settings, finding that pragmatic misunderstandings often lead to conflicts and inefficiencies. For example, differing norms around turn-taking in conversations and the use of direct versus indirect language can cause miscommunications. The research emphasizes the need for pragmatic training in corporate language programs to improve cross-cultural communication and foster better working relationships, ultimately contributing to organizational success (Spencer-Oatey & Xing, 2003).

In educational contexts, pragmatic competence is essential for effective communication between teachers and students. Bardovi-Harlig (2013) explored the pragmatic challenges faced by international students in American universities, focusing on classroom interactions and academic discourse. The research found that students often struggle with understanding and using appropriate speech acts, such as making requests or expressing disagreement, which can affect their academic performance and social integration. For instance, a student might not know how to appropriately request an extension on an assignment, leading to perceived disrespect or noncompliance. The study recommends that universities provide targeted support for developing pragmatic skills, such as workshops and peer mentoring programs, to help international students navigate these challenges.

Second language learners face a multifaceted journey in acquiring not only the grammatical and lexical aspects of a new language but also its pragmatic norms. Pragmatic competence is vital for effective communication and social integration, influencing personal, professional, and academic interactions. Research across different countries, including the USA, the United Kingdom, Japan, Brazil, and African countries, highlights the importance of developing pragmatic skills for L2 learners. These studies underscore the need for targeted instructional strategies, immersive experiences, and ongoing support to enhance learners' pragmatic competence, ensuring they can navigate the complexities of social interactions in the target language effectively.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Pragmatic competence, defined as the ability to use language appropriately in social contexts, is a critical yet often overlooked aspect of second language acquisition. Despite substantial advancements in understanding grammatical and lexical development in L2 learners, the nuances of pragmatic competence remain underexplored. This gap is significant because pragmatic failures can lead to miscommunications that affect social integration and professional interactions. According to a study by Taguchi (2011), many L2 learners exhibit strong grammatical proficiency but struggle with pragmatic aspects such as politeness, turn-taking, and context-appropriate expressions. This disconnect suggests a pressing need for focused research on pragmatic competence to develop more comprehensive language education programs (Taguchi, 2011).

Current literature reveals several research gaps in the field of pragmatic competence among L2 learners. While existing studies have primarily concentrated on English language learners in Western contexts, there is limited research on non-Western learners and less commonly taught languages. Additionally, much of the existing research utilizes cross-sectional designs, providing a snapshot rather



www.carijournals.org

than a longitudinal perspective on pragmatic development. This study aims to address these gaps by examining pragmatic competence across diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds using a longitudinal approach. By doing so, it seeks to uncover the developmental trajectories of pragmatic competence and the factors influencing this process over time. Such research can elucidate how different instructional methods and cultural immersions contribute to pragmatic development, providing valuable insights for language educators and policymakers (Ishihara & Cohen, 2010).

The findings of this study will benefit a wide range of stakeholders, including language learners, educators, and curriculum developers. For language learners, improved understanding and instruction of pragmatic competence will enhance their ability to communicate effectively and navigate social interactions in the target language, ultimately aiding their social integration and professional success. Educators will gain insights into the specific pragmatic challenges faced by their students and effective strategies to address these challenges. Curriculum developers can use these findings to design comprehensive language programs that integrate pragmatic instruction alongside grammatical and lexical components. This holistic approach to language education can significantly improve the overall communicative competence of L2 learners, facilitating smoother intercultural interactions and reducing the incidence of pragmatic failures (Bardovi-Harlig, 2013).

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Speech Act Theory

Speech Act Theory, originated by John Searle and further developed from the work of J.L. Austin, is foundational in understanding pragmatic competence. This theory posits that language is not merely a tool for conveying information but also a medium for performing actions. Searle (1969) categorized speech acts into five types: assertives, directives, commissives, expressives, and declarations, each serving different functions in communication. For second language learners, mastering these speech acts is crucial for effective and contextually appropriate communication. The relevance of Speech Act Theory to pragmatic competence lies in its focus on the functional use of language—how learners understand and produce speech acts in various social contexts. For instance, an L2 learner must discern when to use a directive (such as a request) and how to modify its formality based on the interlocutor and setting. Studies have shown that pragmatic failures often occur when learners misapply speech acts, leading to misunderstandings or perceived rudeness (Kasper & Rose, 2002). By grounding research in Speech Act Theory, scholars can explore how L2 learners acquire the ability to perform and interpret these acts, offering insights into effective teaching strategies that enhance pragmatic competence (Searle, 1969).

2.1.2 Politeness Theory

Politeness Theory, developed by Penelope Brown and Stephen Levinson (1987), provides another essential framework for examining pragmatic competence in second language learners. This theory explains how individuals manage face—the public self-image—during interactions, balancing the need to be polite with the need to be clear and efficient. Brown and Levinson introduced the concepts of positive face (the desire to be liked and approved of) and negative face (the desire to be unimpeded and free from imposition), which are managed through various politeness strategies. For L2 learners, understanding and appropriately using these strategies is critical for successful communication, as politeness norms vary significantly across cultures. Misunderstanding these norms can lead to pragmatic failures, such as being perceived as rude or overly formal. Research utilizing Politeness Theory can investigate how learners from different cultural backgrounds navigate the politeness norms of the target language and develop strategies to mitigate face-threatening acts. This approach not only



www.carijournals.org

helps in identifying common pitfalls in pragmatic competence but also in designing pedagogical interventions that emphasize cultural nuances and politeness strategies, thereby enhancing learners' communicative effectiveness (Brown & Levinson, 1987).

2.1.3 Socio-Cultural Theory

Socio-Cultural Theory, originated by Lev Vygotsky and expanded by subsequent scholars, emphasizes the role of social interaction and cultural context in language development. Vygotsky (1978) argued that cognitive development, including language acquisition, occurs through mediated interactions within a cultural framework. For second language learners, pragmatic competence is not just about internalizing linguistic rules but also about understanding and participating in the social practices of the target language community. This theory is particularly relevant as it highlights the importance of authentic social interactions and cultural immersion in developing pragmatic skills. Research grounded in Socio-Cultural Theory can explore how learners engage with native speakers, how they negotiate meaning, and how cultural tools (such as language norms and conventions) influence their pragmatic development. This perspective underscores the value of collaborative learning environments, peer interactions, and real-world communication experiences in fostering pragmatic competence. By integrating Socio-Cultural Theory into the study of pragmatic competence, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the dynamic interplay between language, culture, and social interaction, thereby informing more effective and contextually responsive language teaching practices (Vygotsky, 1978).

2.2 Empirical Review

Taguchi (2012) investigated how second language learners develop pragmatic comprehension, particularly how they understand implied meanings in conversational implicatures. The study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative measures of pragmatic comprehension tests with qualitative interviews. Participants included Japanese learners of English at various proficiency levels. The results indicated that higher proficiency learners demonstrated better comprehension of implicatures than lower proficiency learners. However, even advanced learners struggled with certain types of implicatures that required cultural knowledge or context-specific understanding. The study recommended incorporating more culturally contextualized pragmatic training into language curricula, emphasizing the need for exposure to authentic language use through interactions with native speakers and multimedia resources.

Bardovi-Harlig & Bastos (2013) sought to examine the development of pragmatic competence in second language learners over time, focusing on the use of requests and apologies. The longitudinal study followed a cohort of adult learners of English over two years, utilizing discourse completion tasks (DCTs) and role-plays to assess their pragmatic development. The study found significant improvement in the learners' ability to produce contextually appropriate requests and apologies, particularly among those who had more frequent interactions with native speakers. However, there were persistent difficulties in certain social contexts, such as making requests to authority figures. The authors recommended more longitudinal research to better understand the trajectory of pragmatic development and emphasized the importance of real-life practice and feedback in developing pragmatic skills.

Ishihara (2014) aimed to explore how explicit instruction impacts the development of pragmatic competence in second language learners. The study involved an experimental design with two groups of learners: one receiving explicit instruction on pragmatic norms and strategies, and a control group receiving standard language instruction. Pragmatic competence was assessed using pre- and post-tests including DCTs and role-plays. The results showed that the group receiving explicit pragmatic instruction made significantly greater improvements in their ability to use and understand speech acts



www.carijournals.org

appropriately. These learners demonstrated better performance in both production and comprehension tasks compared to the control group. The author suggested that explicit instruction on pragmatics should be integrated into language teaching practices, with a focus on both the linguistic forms and the cultural contexts of language use.

Eslami & Mirzaei (2014) investigated the role of task-based language teaching (TBLT) in the development of pragmatic competence among Iranian learners of English. The study employed a quasi-experimental design, with an experimental group receiving TBLT focusing on pragmatic tasks and a control group receiving traditional grammar-based instruction. Pragmatic competence was measured using pre- and post-intervention tests, including DCTs and role-plays. The experimental group showed significantly greater improvement in their pragmatic performance, particularly in producing and comprehending requests and refusals. The study highlighted the effectiveness of TBLT in enhancing learners' pragmatic skills. The authors recommended incorporating TBLT into language curricula to provide learners with more opportunities for meaningful interaction and pragmatic practice.

Taguchi (2015) examined how different types of input influence the development of pragmatic competence in second language learners. The study used a mixed-methods approach, with learners exposed to different types of input (e.g., written texts, audio recordings, and face-to-face interactions). Pragmatic competence was assessed through a series of tasks designed to measure comprehension and production of pragmatic features. The study found that face-to-face interactions provided the most robust context for developing pragmatic competence, as they offered immediate feedback and a richer context for language use. Written texts and audio recordings also contributed to pragmatic development but to a lesser extent. The study suggested that hat language instruction should prioritize interactive and communicative activities that mimic real-life interactions to enhance pragmatic competence effectively.

Bella (2016) aimed to explore the effect of study abroad experiences on the pragmatic competence of Greek learners of English. The study utilized a longitudinal design, tracking Greek students studying in the UK over one academic year. Data were collected through DCTs, role-plays, and interviews to assess changes in pragmatic competence. The results indicated significant improvement in the learners' pragmatic competence, particularly in using speech acts such as requests and apologies appropriately. The immersion in an English-speaking environment provided abundant opportunities for authentic language use and cultural learning. The author suggested that study abroad programs should be integrated into language education curricula as they provide invaluable opportunities for developing both linguistic and pragmatic skills.

Taguchi & Roever (2017) explored the role of individual differences, such as motivation and language aptitude, in the development of pragmatic competence. The study involved a large-scale survey and experimental tasks to assess the pragmatic competence of learners from diverse linguistic backgrounds. The researchers also measured variables such as motivation, language aptitude, and cultural exposure. The study found that high levels of motivation and greater exposure to the target language culture were significantly correlated with better pragmatic performance. Additionally, learners with higher language aptitude showed quicker and more sustained improvements in pragmatic competence. The authors recommended that language programs should consider individual learner differences and provide tailored support to enhance pragmatic development. This might include personalized learning plans and opportunities for cultural immersion.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from



www.carijournals.org

existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Eslami & Mirzaei (2014) investigated the role of task-based language teaching (TBLT) in the development of pragmatic competence among Iranian learners of English. The study employed a quasi-experimental design, with an experimental group receiving TBLT focusing on pragmatic tasks and a control group receiving traditional grammar-based instruction. Pragmatic competence was measured using pre- and post-intervention tests, including DCTs and role-plays. The experimental group showed significantly greater improvement in their pragmatic performance, particularly in producing and comprehending requests and refusals. The study highlighted the effectiveness of TBLT in enhancing learners' pragmatic skills. The authors recommended incorporating TBLT into language curricula to provide learners with more opportunities for meaningful interaction and pragmatic practice. On the other hand, the current study focused on analyzing pragmatic competence in second language learners.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for instance, Eslami &Mirzaei (2014) in investigating the role of task-based language teaching (TBLT) in the development of pragmatic competence among Iranian learners of English; employed a quasi-experimental design, with an experimental group receiving TBLT focusing on pragmatic tasks and a control group receiving traditional grammar-based instruction. Pragmatic competence was measured using pre- and post-intervention tests, including DCTs and role-plays. Whereas, the current study adopted a desktop research method.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

Pragmatic competence is an essential component of overall language proficiency, crucial for effective communication and social integration in a second language environment. The findings from this study underscore the multifaceted nature of pragmatic competence, which encompasses not only the ability to understand and produce speech acts appropriately but also the cultural and contextual nuances that govern language use. The research highlights that while many second language learners achieve grammatical and lexical proficiency, they often struggle with the pragmatic aspects of language, leading to miscommunications and social misunderstandings. This gap in pragmatic competence can significantly impact learners' ability to navigate social interactions, academic settings, and professional environments, underscoring the need for targeted instructional strategies that address these challenges.

One of the key conclusions drawn from this study is the importance of explicit instruction in pragmatic norms and strategies. The evidence suggests that learners who receive focused training on the pragmatic aspects of language use, such as politeness strategies, turn-taking, and context-appropriate expressions, show marked improvements in their ability to communicate effectively. This finding emphasizes the need for language educators to integrate pragmatic instruction into their curricula, moving beyond traditional grammar and vocabulary lessons to include real-life communication scenarios. By doing so, learners can gain practical skills that enable them to interpret and produce language that aligns with the social and cultural expectations of the target language community.

Additionally, the study highlights the significant role of cultural immersion and authentic language use in developing pragmatic competence. Learners who engage in interactions with native speakers,



www.carijournals.org

whether through study abroad programs, language exchange partnerships, or immersive environments, tend to develop a deeper understanding of the pragmatic rules that govern language use. These experiences provide learners with invaluable opportunities to practice and refine their pragmatic skills in real-world contexts, fostering a more intuitive grasp of language use that goes beyond theoretical knowledge. This conclusion underscores the importance of creating opportunities for learners to engage in meaningful, culturally-rich interactions as part of their language learning journey.

Finally, the study points to the necessity of considering individual differences in the development of pragmatic competence. Factors such as motivation, language aptitude, and prior cultural exposure play a crucial role in how learners acquire and apply pragmatic skills. Tailoring instruction to address these individual differences can enhance the effectiveness of pragmatic training, ensuring that each learner receives the support and resources needed to develop their communicative competence fully. By adopting a more personalized approach to language education, educators can help learners overcome the specific challenges they face in acquiring pragmatic competence, ultimately leading to more successful and confident language users.

5.2 Recommendations

The study on pragmatic competence in second language learners underscores the multifaceted nature of pragmatic competence and offers comprehensive recommendations to enhance theoretical understanding, practical applications, and policy frameworks. To begin with, the theoretical implications emphasize the need for a broader conceptualization of pragmatic competence. Researchers should delve deeper into the intersection of sociolinguistics and cognitive sciences to develop models that encapsulate the dynamic, context-sensitive nature of pragmatic use in a second language. Theories must account for the influence of cultural norms, social interactions, and individual learner differences, ensuring that they reflect the complexities learners face in real-world communication.

In practical terms, the study recommends integrating pragmatic competence more thoroughly into language instruction. Language educators are encouraged to design curricula that go beyond grammar and vocabulary to include pragmatic aspects such as speech acts, politeness strategies, and conversational implicatures. Teaching materials should feature authentic dialogues and scenarios that reflect the social nuances and varied contexts in which the second language is used. Additionally, educators should employ a range of pedagogical strategies, including role-playing, interactive activities, and feedback mechanisms, to help learners practice and internalize pragmatic skills.

From a policy perspective, the study advocates for language education policies that prioritize pragmatic competence as a core component of language proficiency. Policymakers should ensure that language proficiency standards and assessments include measures of pragmatic competence. Standardized tests and certification programs need to evaluate not only linguistic accuracy but also the ability to use language appropriately in different social contexts. Policies should also support professional development for language teachers, equipping them with the skills and knowledge to teach pragmatics effectively.

Furthermore, the study highlights the importance of cross-cultural awareness in enhancing pragmatic competence. It recommends that both learners and educators be sensitized to cultural differences in communication styles and pragmatic norms. Language programs should include modules on intercultural communication, helping learners to navigate and respect the cultural diversity inherent in using a second language. This cultural dimension is crucial for learners to achieve true communicative competence, as it enables them to understand and appropriately respond to the subtleties of meaning that vary across cultures.



www.carijournals.org

In addition to instructional and policy adjustments, the study calls for a collaborative approach among stakeholders in language education. Institutions, educators, researchers, and policymakers should work together to develop comprehensive frameworks that support the development of pragmatic competence. This collaboration can lead to the creation of shared resources, best practices, and innovative teaching methods that address the practical challenges of teaching and assessing pragmatics in second language contexts.

Lastly, the study suggests ongoing research and longitudinal studies to monitor the effectiveness of implemented changes and to continue advancing the field. Understanding the long-term impact of pragmatic competence training on language learners' overall communicative abilities can provide valuable insights for refining educational practices and policies. Continuous evaluation and adaptation based on empirical findings will ensure that language education remains responsive to the evolving needs of learners in an increasingly globalized world.



REFERENCES

- Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2013). Developing L2 pragmatics. *Language Learning*, 63(2), 266-292. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2012.00738.x
- Bardovi-Harlig, K., & Bastos, M. T. (2013). Proficiency, length of stay, and intensity of interaction and the acquisition of conventional expressions in L2 pragmatics. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, *10*(2), 279-303. https://doi.org/10.1515/ip-2013-0013
- Bardovi-Harlig, K., & Dörnyei, Z. (1998). Do language learners recognize pragmatic violations? Pragmatic versus grammatical awareness in instructed L2 learning. *TESOL Quarterly*, 32(2), 233-259. https://doi.org/10.2307/3587583
- Bella, S. (2016). Second language request development during study abroad: The case of Greek learners of English. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *102*, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2016.06.001
- Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage. *Cambridge University Press*.
- Culpeper, J., & Archer, D. (2008). Requests and directness in Early Modern English trial proceedings and play-texts, 1640–1760. *Speech Communication*, 50(3), 252-267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2007.11.003
- Eslami, Z. R., & Mirzaei, A. (2014). The effects of task-based language teaching on EFL learners' pragmatic production. *System*, 45, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2014.04.005
- Ishihara, N. (2014). Beyond language: Teaching pragmatics in an EFL context. TESL-EJ, 18(2), 1-22.
- Ishihara, N., & Cohen, A. D. (2010). Teaching and learning pragmatics: Where language and culture meet. *Pearson Education*.
- Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). Pragmatic development in a second language. *Language Learning*, 52(S1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.2002.tb00017.x
- Ogutu, M. A. (2013). Pragmatic competence in Kenyan learners of English: Politeness strategies in request and apology speech acts. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 4(5), 958-965. https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.4.5.958-965
- Searle, J. R. (1969). Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language. *Cambridge University Press.*
- Silva, T. (2012). The role of explicit instruction in learning pragmatics in the second language classroom. *ELT Journal*, 66(3), 297-306. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccs020
- Singleton, D., & Ryan, L. (2004). Language acquisition: The age factor. *Multilingual Matters*.
- Spencer-Oatey, H., & Xing, J. (2003). Managing rapport in intercultural business interactions: A comparison of two Chinese–British business meetings. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 24(1), 33-46. https://doi.org/10.1080/0725686032000165358
- Taguchi, N. (2011). Pragmatic competence in Japanese as a second language: An introduction. *Japanese Language and Literature*, 45(2), 239-246. https://doi.org/10.2307/41459884
- Taguchi, N. (2014). Development of pragmatic comprehension in L2 Japanese: Longitudinal and cross-sectional trends. *Modern Language Journal*, *98*(1), 519-540. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2014.12088.x

European Journal of Linguistics

ISSN: 2957-4641 (online)



Vol. 3, Issue No. 2, pp 15 - 28, 2024

www.carijournals.org

- Taguchi, N. (2015). Instructed pragmatics at a glance: Where instructional studies were, are, and should be going. *State of the Art Article*, *29*(1), 89-120. https://doi.org/10.1017/S027226311400013X
- Taguchi, N., & Roever, C. (2017). Second language pragmatics. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190262637.013.18
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press.